

Letters to Court

Tab 1

COURTESY COPY

February 1, 2018

Hon. Richard J. Sullivan
United States District Judge
Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse
40 Foley Square
New York, NY 10007

Re: *CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen, & Katz, et al.*, No. 14-cv-06566 (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Judge Sullivan,

This is a pre-motion letter submitted under Rule 2.G of Your Honor's Individual Rules. Following the Court's December 11, 2017 order (referenced below), counsel spoke by telephone regarding the matters at issue for 45 minutes at 2:00 p.m. on January 19 (with Vincent Levy and Herbert Beigel participating).

Defendants' Position

This Court's September 19, 2017 scheduling order set October 4, 2017 as the deadline for service of supplemental document requests and January 17, 2018 as the deadline for all fact discovery, including the production of documents and subsequent fact witness depositions. Dkt No. 114. In accordance with that order, defendant Wachtell Lipton served a second set of document requests on plaintiff CVR and its controlling stockholders, Carl Icahn and Icahn Enterprises, L.P. (collectively, "Icahn"), on October 4, 2017. By December 1, however, CVR and Icahn, which are represented by the same counsel, had produced only two documents and rejected Wachtell Lipton's proposed search protocol without providing a reasonable alternative. And, in violation of Fed. Rule Civ. P. 34(b)(2)(B), neither CVR nor Icahn had provided a date certain by which their production of responsive documents would be complete.

On December 8, Wachtell Lipton sought the Court's intervention. Dkt No. 116. By pre-motion letter, it asked the Court to order CVR and Icahn to produce all non-privileged documents responsive to its second set of document requests, as identified by the search protocol it had proposed to them on October 26, as well as a log of any documents claimed as privileged. Wachtell Lipton also asked the Court to order a date range of January 1, 2012 to May 15, 2017 for the search because CVR's amended complaint alleges negligence by Wachtell Lipton during its representation of CVR, which began in January 2012, and damages stemming from litigation and other proceedings, which ended in early 2017. (Wachtell Lipton asked the Court to order Icahn to apply a modified date range beginning on May 1, 2012 because Icahn did not become CVR's controlling stockholder until May 2012.) Wachtell Lipton also asked the Court to order CVR to produce a revised privilege log for the documents it had produced in response to Wachtell Lipton's first set of document requests because the original log's descriptions of the withheld documents were facially inadequate.

In response, CVR and Icahn's counsel told the Court that "much of what [defendants] are seeking would soon be produced (assuming it exists), or has already been produced" and stated that he was willing to meet and confer with Wachtell Lipton's counsel. Dkt No. 117.

On December 11, the Court ordered the parties to meet and confer, which they did. Thereafter, in a final effort to reach a compromise, Wachtell Lipton sent CVR and Icahn a revised protocol including 28 tailored sets of search terms, as well as a pre-motion letter again seeking a Court order that they produce documents responsive to its second set of document requests and compliant privilege logs. In response, CVR and Icahn agreed to use Wachtell Lipton's revised protocol to identify a review set of potentially responsive documents and to produce responsive documents found in that review set, as well as compliant privilege logs, by January 31. CVR and Icahn reserved its rights as to only two aspects of the revised protocol: (1) They did not agree to include CVR's counsel in the SEC investigation, Herbert Beigel, as a custodian; (2) They did not agree to search for documents going back to 2012, but only back to October 24, 2013, the date CVR filed this action. Their agreement to search the review set proposed in the revised search protocol was otherwise unconditional.

Wachtell Lipton reserved its rights with respect to the two deviations from its proposed search protocol. Satisfied, however, that a partial compromise had been reached, Wachtell Lipton informed CVR and Icahn that it would not oppose their proposed request for an extension of the schedule to give CVR and Icahn time to carry out the terms of the agreement and to give the parties more time to try to resolve the two remaining points of dispute.

The next day, December 19, CVR and Icahn's counsel informed the Court that the parties' meet-and-confer discussions had "obviate[d] the need for the Court's assistance at the present time" and that "[t]he parties' resolution includes, *inter alia*, Plaintiff's agreement to run numerous electronic searches for additional documents concerning the amended complaint's new allegations, and to review and produce non-privileged responsive documents by January 31, 2018 (at which point further depositions can be taken)." Dkt No. 119. The Court ordered a new schedule extending CVR and Icahn's time to produce documents until January 31. Dkt No. 123.

In January, CVR and Icahn's counsel sent Wachtell Lipton hit reports for the agreed-upon search protocol, as narrowed by modifications Wachtell Lipton agreed to on January 12 after receiving the initial reports. It is unclear to what extent, if any, the final hit reports are de-duplicated, but they show the protocol as identifying between 80,000 and 100,000 potentially responsive documents in CVR's files and 280,000 such documents in Icahn's files. Those numbers do not include any documents in the files of Edward Gross, CVR's general counsel at the time of Wachtell Lipton's representation and a key witness in this matter. That is because CVR informed Wachtell Lipton in January that it had deleted his entire e-mail account after he retired in 2014, despite the pendency of this matter and the obvious relevance of his files.

Yet, as of January 31, CVR and Icahn have produced only 159 documents in response to Wachtell Lipton's second request for documents and have declared their production complete. Notwithstanding their undertaking to do so, CVR and Icahn have declared that they will not review the documents identified by the agreed-to search protocol because, in their view, the number of those documents is too big. They have neither supported their assertion—through, for example, the results of random sampling or otherwise—nor have they proposed reasonable revisions to the protocols they previously approved. Rather, they have informed Wachtell Lipton that they reviewed a subset of documents, of their own choosing and of unknown number, and that any responsive documents they have withheld are—in their view—irrelevant, privileged, or

both. They have further declared that they will not produce a privilege log at all in response to Wachtell Lipton's second set of document requests, or revise the non-compliant privilege log CVR produced in response to Wachtell Lipton's first set of document requests.

CVR and Icahn's production of only 159 documents in response to Wachtell Lipton's second set of discovery requests is deficient on its face, given the number of potentially responsive documents identified in the hit reports. It is also inconsistent with their commitment to run the agreed searches and to produce responsive documents they generated. CVR claims damages of at least \$45 million. Having decided to sue Wachtell Lipton for tens of millions of dollars, CVR and Icahn should be required to provide robust discovery of all relevant evidence.

Furthermore, CVR has no legal basis to refuse to apply the search protocol to the files of Herbert Beigel, who it acknowledges was its counsel in the SEC investigation for which it seeks damages from Wachtell Lipton. CVR's only excuse is that Beigel is a non-party, but that does not change the fact that his files contain relevant documents over which CVR has control. Nor do CVR and Icahn have a legal basis to refuse to search their files for responsive documents in the period before CVR filed this suit. CVR's amended complaint includes new allegations of negligence occurring in that period, *see* Am. Compl. (Dkt No. 75) ¶¶ 7, 49, 50, and Wachtell Lipton's first set of document requests and CVR's prior document productions were made before CVR amended its complaint. Additional discovery of that period is therefore justified.

Accordingly, Wachtell Lipton asks the Court to order CVR and Icahn to (1) review the documents identified by the protocol they agreed to on December 18 (as modified on January 12), using a date range of January 1, 2012 to May 15, 2017 for CVR and May 1, 2012 to May 15, 2017 for Icahn; (2) produce all non-privileged documents responsive to Wachtell Lipton's second set of document requests, whether those documents are "relevant" in CVR and Icahn's view or not; and (3) produce a log of all documents claimed as privileged.

Wachtell Lipton also asks the Court to order CVR to produce a privilege log for its production in response to Wachtell Lipton's first set of document requests that complies with Local Rule 26.2, as CVR agreed to do in December. Consistent with the Local Rule 26.2, CVR should be required to identify for each entry "the nature of the privilege (including work product) which is being claimed" as well as "the general subject matter of the document."

Plaintiff's and Icahn's Position

Defendants' pre-motion letter concerns document demands, and related electronic-search-terms, that are manifestly unreasonable, if not unprecedented, as the requests involve a blunderbuss exercise designed to put CVR and a non-party (Icahn) to a completely unnecessary burden, seeking documents that are irrelevant and insisting on a useless and expensive exercise of no relation to the pending action. In short, they demand documents that post-date the commencement of this litigation by *four years*, and insist upon the running of many search terms which we repeatedly cautioned were way overbroad, both as to the terms themselves and, even worse, a time period in which there is no good reason to believe that any relevant, non-privileged documents, even exist (and none do exist). Moreover, they make such demands while refusing to produce any such post-litigation documents themselves on the sole relevant issue:

communications with the SEC, which we freely produced, despite the fact they post-date the commencement and amendment of this action.

It turns out our fears about their desired search terms were correct, because after running them – which is itself a burdensome task requiring the collection of ***over two million documents, resulting in approximately 400,000 “hits,”*** that has consumed many hours and an anticipated expense of over \$100,000 to an outside vendor. Having examined, and continuing to examine, a representative sampling of the “hits” generated, we have not found a single relevant non-privileged document (other than the communications between Mr. Beigel and the SEC during the investigation, which were already produced last month). Defendants were apprised of this and sent the search “hit” reports as they were received, but they refused to recognize that their search terms were still extremely overbroad, despite some (obviously insufficient) modifications they unilaterally made to them. Regardless, we cannot emphasize too strongly that Defendants’ requests seek documents that simply do not exist or are otherwise not relevant or are subject to attorney-client and work-product privilege/protections.

Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure does not require more than a reasonable search and we never agreed to waive any rights and do more than required. Accordingly, Defendants’ claim that our “agreement to search the review set proposed in the revised search protocol was otherwise unconditional” is false. Their attempt to require the review of hundreds of thousands of documents that we already know from a random check are not relevant is unreasonable, and Defendants surely know this. Defendants’ conduct and their proposed motion are merely a disingenuous form of harassment.

More specifically, Defendants purport to seek documents that concern the additional allegations appearing in Plaintiff’s amended complaint. Those additional allegations concern the SEC’s investigation of CVR concerning certain SEC Form 14D-9 disclosures, which Wachtell drafted in 2012 (before the Icahn tender was completed) and on whom CVR relied. Before the complaint was amended, CVR had already produced (in 2014) all documents concerning those forms and Wachtell’s role in drafting them. And, although CVR and Icahn (which was not even a subject of the investigation) objected to producing documents after this litigation was commenced in October 2013, they nonetheless agreed in December to produce, ***and already have produced, all*** (i) post-October 2013 communications and other documents exchanged with the SEC in connection with its investigation and the cease-and-desist order that ultimately followed in 2017, and (ii) communications concerning CVR’s settlement of the underlying litigation with Goldman, Sachs & Co. and Deutsche Bank. While Mr. Beigel’s role in representing CVR in the investigation was limited to a short period of time at the conclusion of the investigation, Fried Frank, original counsel for CVR, produced (pursuant to Defendants’ subpoena) 400,000 pages of documents. That production was made at Defendants’ (unreasonable) insistence, despite the fact that they had already received nearly all of them in 2014 from Mr. Beigel – and at enormous cost of hundreds of thousand dollars of billable time, all because Wachtell, disingenuously, was claiming to be interested solely whether the very substantial amount Fried Frank charged CVR for representing it in the litigation was reasonable.

Dissatisfied with the massive amount of documents they have already received, Defendants now vexatiously insist on demanding that CVR and Icahn review all of the results of

their overly broad search terms in order to find something that surely does not exist: the proverbial “needle-in-a-haystack” which they desperately hope will exonerate their failures in connection with the Forms 14D-9. But, they have provided no reason for anyone to believe such a document exists, and there is none.

While our review of the documents is continuing, and will very likely generate nothing discoverable, neither CVR nor Icahn should be required to do more than they have already done: reviewed (as of the date of this letter) a representative sampling of 5000 e-mails/documents where the search terms produced 500 or less “hits”, and a random, representative sampling of the search terms results that resulted in over 500 “hits” per custodian, which comprises approximately 10,000 e-mails, not including attachments. Notably, thus far, not a single relevant non- privileged document has surfaced, which is no surprise because there are simply no non-privileged documents regarding the SEC investigation or the settlement with the investment banks that have not already been produced.

Lastly, consistent with our objections to producing any documents that post-date the commencement of the litigation, neither CVR nor Icahn should be required to log privileged documents from the post-litigation time period, as substantial case precedent confirms; in other words, its generous agreement to produce all of its communications with the SEC and the banks was not some waiver of its objections. That objection is consistent with normal practice in federal court and applicable case law, and indeed, even Defendants have interposed it here. But, unlike CVR and Icahn, Defendants are refusing (disingenuously) to produce their own communications with the SEC concerning the investigation (or any other documents from after the time the litigation was commenced). Indeed, in addition to withholding such documents (and others) their lead counsel/firm has even refused to identify who represented Wachtell before the SEC.

We respectfully suggest that any motion to compel filed by Wachtell is destined to involve a waste of judicial and party resources, and will fail to further a just adjudication of the merits of Plaintiff’s claims. The discovery rules were not designed to permit a party to engage in rank harassment, and, sadly, Wachtell and their lawyers have done just that.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Vincent Levy

/s/ Herbert Beigel

Tab 2

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (646) 837-5151
Fax: (646) 837-5150
www.hsgllp.com

February 8, 2018

The Honorable Richard J. Sullivan
Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse
40 Foley Square
New York, New York 10007

Re: *CVR Energy, Inc., v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, et al.*, 1:14-cv-06566-RJS

Dear Judge Sullivan:

We are counsel to defendants and write regarding the schedule in this referenced action. *See* Individual Rule I.C.

By order dated September 19, 2017, this Court set October 4, 2017 as the deadline for service of initial supplemental document discovery demands and January 17, 2018 as the deadline for fact discovery in the action. Dkt No. 114. On October 4, defendants timely served their document requests. By early-December 2017, however, plaintiff CVR had produced only two documents and indicated that it had not even begun to run the necessary searches. In response to defendants' raising these issues with the Court by pre-motion letter, CVR undertook to search its files according to a series of agreed search terms and to produce responsive non-privileged documents generated by that search. But CVR stated that it would be unable to produce documents at or even close to the Court's deadline. CVR also was unable to make its witnesses available for deposition within the prescribed fact discovery period. CVR thus requested an extension of the time to produce documents until January 31, 2018 and an extension of the time to complete fact depositions until March 30, 2018. The Court granted CVR's request. Dkt No. 123.

Having secured these extended deadlines, however, CVR has failed to meet them. As set forth in the February 1 pre-motion letter defendants submitted to the Court, plaintiff and its controlling stockholders, Carl Icahn and Icahn Enterprises, L.P., decided not to run the agreed searches and produce the responsive documents they generated. Instead they have reviewed only a subset of documents in the agreed search set — a subset of their own choosing and of unknown number. As a result, as of the new document discovery deadline of January 31, CVR had produced only 159 additional documents. Defendants have sought the Court's intervention with respect to CVR's failure to meet the discovery obligations it agreed to in December, and the Court has scheduled a conference to address the dispute on February 16. Dkt Nos. 131, 132.

CVR has also undermined the Court-ordered schedule regarding depositions. The schedule, extended as CVR requested, anticipated that depositions would take place between February 1 and March 30, 2018. But CVR has declared that it is unwilling to schedule any deposition, for any party or any non-party, at any time before the second week of March. CVR has also declared that nearly all Mondays in the remainder of March are off-limits for

depositions. CVR justifies this position on the ground that one of its lawyers is unavailable in February and early March, and is generally unavailable on Mondays, too.

CVR has thus proposed that the two-month deposition period ordered by the Court at CVR's request should be compressed into three weeks and that some 15 witnesses be scheduled for deposition in 16 business days at the end of the fact discovery period. Defendants believe the deposition schedule CVR now insists on is both prejudicial and impractical (given the need to coordinate the schedule of persons other than the one CVR lawyer).

To accommodate CVR's scheduling demands, defendants proposed that CVR seek a further extension that would restore to the deposition calendar the time CVR unilaterally lopped off. Counsel to CVR agreed to such an extension but refused to be the party that requested it.

Accordingly, for the sake of the orderly completion of fact discovery, and to avoid further prejudice to defendants from plaintiff's failure to conduct appropriate document and testimonial discovery, we request that the Court extend the period for fact discovery by four weeks to April 30. Counsel to CVR has stated that it does not oppose the extension.

Enclosed is a proposed revised scheduling order, as the requested extension would affect other deadlines. This is defendants' second request to modify the schedule since the amended complaint was filed in February 2015, and the fourth request for modification by either party since the commencement of the action; all prior requests for modification have been granted. *See* Individual Rule 1.C.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Vincent Levy

cc: Counsel of record

Tab 3

LAW OFFICES OF
HERBERT BEIGEL

38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, Arizona 85739

Office: 520-825-1995
Cellular: 520-869-5836
Facsimile: 520-844-6215
E-mail: hbeigel@gmail.com

767 Fifth Avenue #4700
New York, New York 10153

Herbert Beigel admitted:
Arizona, New York
District of Columbia
Illinois

Via S.D.N.Y. E-Filing and E-mail

February 9, 2018

The Honorable Richard J. Sullivan
U.S. District Court for the S.D.N.Y.
40 Foley Square
New York, New York 10007

Re: CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz et al. (No. 14-cv-06566)

Dear Judge Sullivan:

On behalf of Plaintiff CVR Energy Inc. (“CVR”), I write to respond briefly to last evening’s letter from Defendants (collectively, “Wachtell”), which seeks another extension of the discovery schedule.

While it is true that CVR is not objecting to the extension request, we do object strongly to Wachtell’s disingenuous mischaracterizations of the reasons behind it and look forward to discussing it at next Friday’s conference. In short, we did not think an extension was actually necessary, but generously accommodated them, and Wachtell has only itself to blame for any lack of progress during discovery.

More specifically, in addition to refusing to search for and produce certain key documents CVR has requested, Wachtell has consistently failed to offer or accept in a timely manner, if at all, proposed deposition dates. Its purported reason for that failure – that it supposedly still awaits documents from CVR – is nonsense; especially its refusal to go forward with our deposition of Defendant Andrew Brownstein on March 8, a date previously agreed to, whose testimony will only concern events in 2012, for which document discovery was concluded in 2014. Moreover, contrary to their letter, we did agree to (two) Monday depositions in March.

As we will further explain next Friday, CVR believes that its document production was completed by January 31, and so there is no reason for Wachtell to schedule depositions after March 31 based on some hope of receiving more documents. Wachtell should know that there are simply no more documents to produce, and it has previously received 250,000 documents from CVR and Icahn, and another 400,000 documents from Fried Frank. Moreover, this is the first time we are hearing of the need to take the deposition of fifteen witnesses; and the witnesses it previously noticed for a deposition (other than the undersigned and Fried Frank) have no knowledge of the new (post-complaint-amendment) topics – that is, CVR’s settlement with the banks and its dealings with the S.E.C. – and, in any event, it has already received all documents concerning those topics.

Thus, the only reason to delay depositions was that which we previously disclosed to Your Honor on December 19 when seeking an extension through March 31: witnesses’ schedules and a three-week trial, in which I am lead counsel for one of the defendants, that began Tuesday in Federal court in

Pittsburgh. Accordingly, Wachtell's complaint that depositions cannot take place this month rings hollow, as it previously agreed to that when we sought the current March 31 fact-discovery deadline – and because, notwithstanding their purported insistence on receiving more documents (which do not exist) before taking more depositions, they are proceeding with depositions in March anyway.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Herbert Beigel

cc: Defendants' counsel, via e-filing and e-mail
Robert R. Viducich, Esq. (co-counsel, via e-filing and e-mail)

Wachtell Lipton's Document Requests

Tab 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CVR ENERGY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 14-cv-6566 (RJS)

**DEFENDANT WACHTELL
LIPTON'S FIRST REQUEST
FOR PRODUCTION AND
INSPECTION OF
DOCUMENTS TO CVR**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ,

Plaintiff,

- against -

CVR ENERGY, INC.; ICAHN ENTERPRISES,
L.P.; ICAHN ENTERPRISES HOLDINGS L.P.; and
CARL C. ICAHN,

Defendants.

Index No. 654343/2013

IAS Part 49 (Sherwood, J.)

**PLAINTIFF'S THIRD
REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION
AND INSPECTION OF
DOCUMENTS TO CVR**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") 26 and 34 and Article 31 of the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules (the "CPLR"), Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby requests that CVR Energy, Inc. produce for inspection and copying at the offices of Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP or such other place as may be mutually agreed, all documents responsive to this request (the "Requests"), that are within CVR's possession, custody, or control within 30 days of

service or such earlier time upon which the parties agree. The documents requested for discovery and inspection are to be produced according to the definitions and instructions herein.

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. The applicable definitions and rules of construction set forth in the FRCP, the Local Civil Rules of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (the “SDNY Rules”), and the CPLR are hereby incorporated by reference. To the extent there is any conflict between the FRCP and the SDNY Rules, on the one hand, and the CPLR, on the other, the rules requiring broader disclosure shall apply.

2. “You,” “Your,” and “CVR” shall mean CVR Energy, Inc., together with any affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, predecessors-in-interest, officers, directors, partners, employees, consultants, attorneys or advisors (including, but not limited to, Herbert Beigel, Edmund Gross and John Walter), agents, and any other natural persons or entities acting or purporting to act on its behalf.

3. “Any” or “all” shall mean any and all.

4. The “Bank Engagement Letters” shall mean any of (1) the engagement letters between CVR and Goldman Sachs dated February 15, 2012 and March 21, 2012, and (2) the engagement letters between CVR and Deutsche Bank dated January 23, 2012 and March 23, 2012.

5. “Communication” shall mean any manner of transmitting or receiving information of any kind, to or from a person, whether oral, electronic, in the form of a document, or otherwise.

6. “Concerning” shall mean directly or indirectly relating to, referring to, reflecting, describing, evidencing, including, constituting, clarifying, elaborating, explaining, interpreting,

supporting, pertaining to the creation, drafting, development, application and/or meaning of, and/or having anything to do with.

7. “Deutsche Bank” shall mean Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., together with any affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, predecessors-in-interest, officers, directors, partners, employees, consultants, attorneys or advisors, agents, and any other natural persons or entities acting or purporting to act on its behalf.

8. “Document” shall include all written, printed, typewritten, electronically stored, recorded or graphic matter, photographic matter or sound reproduction, including, without limitation, correspondence, reports, tests, analyses, memoranda, contracts, agreements, notes, notebooks, workpapers, charts, graphs, projections, financial models, diaries, calendars, appointment books, schedules, travel and expense reports, studies, checks, invoices, statements, receipts, bulletins, computer print-outs, e-mails, text and instant messages, interoffice and intra-office communications, applications, tax records, notations of conversations or meetings, transcripts of any kind (including transcripts of conference calls or television appearances), pictures, video and voice recordings and every other data compilation on which or through which information of any type can be obtained, translated if necessary into reasonably usable form, which are in the possession, custody or control of You or Your counsel. “Documents” shall include originals, all drafts and copies that differ in any respect from the original, and any material recorded on verbal, graphic, computer, telecommunicative, or magnetic form, or any other form capable of being read, heard or otherwise understood. The term “Document” has the broadest meaning possible, including but not limited to all reasonably available electronically stored information.

9. The “Federal Action” shall mean *CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, et al.*, No. 14-cv-06566 (S.D.N.Y.).

10. The “Federal Complaint” shall mean the Amended Complaint filed by CVR in the Federal Action.

11. “Fried Frank” shall mean Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP, together with its partners, associates, employees, consultants, attorneys or advisors, agents, and any other natural persons or entities acting or purporting to act on its behalf.

12. “Goldman Sachs” shall mean Goldman, Sachs & Co., together with any affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, predecessors-in-interest, officers, directors, partners, employees, consultants, attorneys or advisors, agents, and any other natural persons or entities acting or purporting to act on its behalf.

13. “Including” shall mean including but not limited to.

14. “Icahn” shall mean Carl C. Icahn, Icahn Enterprises L.P., Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P., together with any affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, predecessors-in-interest, officers, directors, partners, employees (including, but not limited to, Vincent Intrieri), consultants, attorneys or advisors (including, but not limited to, Herbert Beigel, Jesse Lynn, Andrew Langham, and Keith Schaitkin), agents, and any other natural persons or entities acting or purporting to act on their behalf. For the avoidance of doubt, “Icahn” shall include any of the foregoing, even where they are purportedly acting on behalf of or as a representative or agent of CVR.

15. “Icahn Transaction” shall mean the transaction resulting from the February 2012 tender offer by Icahn referenced in paragraph 2 of the Federal Complaint in which Icahn acquired control over CVR.

16. The “New York Bank Action” shall mean *Goldman, Sachs & Co. v. CVR Energy, Inc.*, Index No. 652149/2012 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.) and *Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. v. CVR Energy, Inc.*, Index No. 652800/2012 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.).

17. “Person” shall refer to any individual or any entity, including any association, committee, community group, company, firm, partnership, trust, joint venture, corporation, agency, department, bureau, board, or any other legal or business entity.

18. “SEC” shall mean the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any officers, directors, partners, employees, attorneys, agents, and any other natural persons or entities acting or purporting to act on its behalf.

19. The “SEC Investigation” shall mean the investigation by the SEC referred to in paragraph 43 of the Federal Complaint, and any related investigations or actions by the SEC.

20. The “SEC Order” shall mean the Order Instituting Cease-and-Desist Proceedings Pursuant to Section 21C of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings and Imposing a Cease-and-Desist Order in the case captioned *In re CVR Energy, Inc.* (SEC Release No. 80039), issued by the SEC on or about February 14, 2017.

21. The “SEC’s November 18, 2016 Guidance” shall mean the guidance issued by the SEC on November 18, 2016, related to Section 14(d) of the Exchange Act and Regulation 14D, in response to Compliance & Disclosure Interpretation (“CD&I”) Question 159.02.

22. The “State Action” shall mean *Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz v. CVR Energy, Inc., et al.*, Index No. 654343/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.).

23. The “State Complaint” shall mean the Complaint filed by Wachtell Lipton in the State Action.

24. “Wachtell Lipton” shall mean Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, together with its partners, associates, employees, consultants, attorneys or advisors, agents, and any other natural persons or entities acting or purporting to act on its behalf.

25. The singular shall be construed to include the plural, and the plural shall be construed to include the singular; the present tense shall be construed to include the past tense, and the past tense shall be construed to include the present tense; and, the masculine shall be construed to include the feminine and neuter genders, as necessary, to bring within the scope of these Requests any information that might otherwise be construed to be outside their scope.

26. The words “and” and “or” shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively so as to bring within the scope of these Requests any information that might otherwise be construed to be outside their scope.

27. CVR shall produce all documents and things that are responsive to the Requests and in the possession, custody, or control of CVR and its agents, including Herbert Beigel and all other attorneys or other persons acting on CVR’s behalf or under CVR’s control.

28. In the event that any document, or any portion of any document, within the scope of the Requests is withheld from production upon any claim of privilege, CVR shall comply with Local Civil Rule 26.2 of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, and shall provide a list identifying each document so withheld, as follows:

- (a) a description of the document, including:
 - (i) the type of document, *e.g.*, letter or memorandum;
 - (ii) the general subject matter of the document;
 - (iii) the date of the document;
 - (iv) the author of the document;

- (v) the addressees of the document;
- (vi) any other recipients of the document; and
- (vii) the relationship of the author, addressees and recipients to each other; and

- (b) identification of the privilege or privileges claimed for that document.

29. A complete and identical copy may be produced in lieu of the document itself.

30. The fact that a document is produced by another party does not relieve You of Your obligation to produce Your copy of the same document, even if the two documents are identical.

31. Each of the Requests and subparagraphs or subdivisions thereof shall be construed independently, and no other Request or subparagraph or subdivision thereof shall be referred to or relied on for the purpose of limiting its scope except insofar as the Request or subparagraph or subdivision thereof expressly refers to another Request or subparagraph or subdivision thereof.

32. Documents shall be produced in image format, with searchable text load files that are compatible with Concordance and IPRO. The images shall be single-page, 300 DPI, Group IV .tiff images. The load file shall include for each document, to the extent practicable, information identifying the custodian file, group shared file, or other source from which the document was gathered. For each individual document based on an electronic file, the load file shall, to the extent practicable, contain the corresponding text that is extracted from the electronic file, not generated as an OCR file from the .tiff image(s). In the case of e-mail, the load file shall also include, to the extent practicable, header information including: (1) the

individual to whom the communication was directed (“To”); (2) the author of the e-mail communication (“From”); (3) who was copied (“cc”) and/or blind copied (“bcc”) on such e-mail; (4) the subject line of the e-mail (“Re” or “Subject”); and (5) the date and time sent. For each document, the load file shall also contain: (1) the beginning Bates number (referring to the first page of the document); (2) the ending Bates number (referring to the last page of the document); and in the case of e-mails with attachments, (3) the beginning attachment range number(s); and (4) the ending attachment range number(s), where the “attachment range” records the relationship of e-mails to their attachments. The attachment range should be recorded from the first page of the first document in the attachment range to the last page of the last document in the attachment range. In addition, all documents whose native format is that of a Microsoft Excel file (or other electronic spreadsheet file) shall be produced with a single-page placeholder (Group IV .tiff image) indicating that the file is a spreadsheet and shall be produced in native format, including the logical formulae within the cells of the spreadsheet and any metadata contained in the file. The right to demand production of any other responsive documents in their native format (including all metadata) is expressly reserved.

33. CVR shall produce documents as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with each numbered paragraph and each lettered paragraph of the Requests in response to which such documents are produced.

34. The Requests shall be continuing, and prompt supplemental requests hereto shall be required if CVR obtains, or learns of the existence of, further or different information from the time of the answers hereto.

35. If You object to any part of a Request, answer all parts of such Request to which You do not object and, as to each part to which You do object, set forth the basis for the objection with specificity.

36. Unless otherwise stated in the Request, the time frame of each Request shall be January 1, 2012 through the present.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

1. All documents requested to be produced by You in the State Action that You have not yet produced.

2. All communications, and all documents reflecting communications, concerning any of the allegations in the Federal or State Complaints.

3. All communications, and all documents reflecting communications, between CVR and Icahn regarding Wachtell Lipton, Goldman Sachs, Deutsche Bank, the Bank Engagement Letters, or the New York Bank Action, the State Action, the Federal Action, or the subject matter of any of the foregoing.

4. All communications, and all documents reflecting communications, between CVR or Icahn, on the one hand, and any current or former board member of CVR (including the representatives or attorneys of any such current or former board member), on the other, regarding the Bank Engagement Letters, the Icahn Transaction, or the New York Bank Action, the State Action, the Federal Action, or the subject matter of any of the foregoing.

5. All CVR board minutes and board materials, including all minutes and materials of any committee of the board, as well as all drafts of any of the foregoing.

6. All documents concerning the business plan referenced in the minutes of the meeting of CVR's board of directors on February 28, 2012, produced in the State Action bearing

production number CVR000945, including without limitation a copy of the business plan, and any and all drafts or documents concerning the business plan or the subject matter thereof.

7. All documents concerning the duties, responsibilities, authorities, and compensation of the following individuals: Jack Lipinski, Frank Pici, Edmund Gross, John Walters, and Allen Jones.

8. All documents concerning the hiring of Frank Pici by CVR and the termination or separation of Mr. Pici's employment from CVR.

9. Any agreement entered into between Frank Pici and CVR.

10. All communications, and all documents reflecting communications, between CVR and Frank Pici (including any of his representatives) from the date of Mr. Pici's termination or separation from CVR to the present.

11. All calendars, call logs, and phone records covering the period January 1, 2012 through December 18, 2013 for the following individuals: Jack Lipinski, Frank Pici, Edmund Gross, John Walters, and Allen Jones, including without limitation any records of meetings with Icahn.

12. All documents concerning the Affidavit of Frank Pici from the New York Bank Action, dated August 1, 2012, and produced in the State Action bearing production number D0149338 (the "Affidavit"), including all documents concerning the drafting of the Affidavit, all documents relied upon in preparing the Affidavit, and all documents that support or refute the statements made therein.

13. All time records and invoices of Herbert Beigel, Robert Viducich, or any other attorney concerning the State Action, the Federal Action, the New York Bank Action, or any actual or potential action against Wachtell Lipton.

14. All documents concerning any form submitted or proposed to be submitted to the SEC in connection with the Icahn Transaction, including without limitation all documents concerning the Forms 14D-9 referenced in the Federal Complaint.

15. All SEC filings, whether filed by You or by any company or entity controlled by or affiliated with You, that describe or purport to describe the terms of the retention of any advisor or person under Item 1009(a) of Regulation M-A. For each such filing, please also produce (a) documents sufficient to reflect all the terms of such retention and (b) all retention letters, engagement letters, or other agreements entered into with such advisor(s) or person(s). The time period for this Request is January 1, 2007 through the present.

16. All documents concerning any analysis, review, or study relating to the Bank Engagement Letters, including without limitation those concerning the questions whether the fees or terms reflected in the Bank Engagement Letters were usual or customary and how such fees are disclosed to investors under state or federal law.

17. All documents concerning any investigation by the SEC of CVR, including without limitation all documents concerning the SEC Investigation, the subject matter of the SEC Investigation, or the origins of the SEC Investigation.

18. All communications, and all documents reflecting communications, between CVR and any third party (including without limitation Icahn), concerning the SEC Investigation or the subject matter thereof.

19. All communications, and all documents reflecting communications, between CVR, on the one hand, and the SEC, on the other, concerning CVR, the Bank Engagement Letters, the Icahn Transaction, Wachtell Lipton, Goldman Sachs, or Deutsche Bank, or the New

York Bank Action, the State Action, the Federal Action, the SEC Investigation, the SEC Order, the SEC's November 18, 2016 Guidance, or the subject matter of any of the foregoing.

20. All subpoenas, demands, or other requests for information issued by the SEC to CVR, as well as any responses thereto, and all communications concerning such subpoenas, demands, or other requests and any responses thereto.

21. All documents produced to the SEC in connection with the SEC Investigation, including without limitation any communications relating to the production of such documents.

22. All transcripts, recordings (including audio or video), or notes of, and all documents memorializing or summarizing, any testimony, interviews, declarations, or proffers provided to the SEC in connection with the SEC Investigation or otherwise concerning CVR, Icahn, the Bank Engagement Letters, the Icahn Transaction, Wachtell Lipton, Goldman Sachs, or Deutsche Bank.

23. All presentations or submissions made to the SEC by CVR or Icahn concerning CVR, the Bank Engagement Letters, the Icahn Transaction, Wachtell Lipton, Goldman Sachs, Deutsche Bank, or the SEC Investigation, the SEC Order, the SEC's November 18, 2016 Guidance, or the subject matter of any of the foregoing.

24. All documents concerning any actual or potential agreement (including any stipulated order, contract, agreement of settlement or consent) entered into in connection with the SEC Investigation, including without limitation all offers and proposals concerning potential agreements as well as a copy of any agreement entered into by CVR, on the one hand, and the SEC, on the other, concerning the same, as well as any cancelled checks or wire transfers, if any, reflecting the payment of any amount(s) related to those agreements.

25. All documents concerning the SEC Order or the subject matter thereof, including without limitation all drafts of the SEC Order, all proposals, offers or counteroffers leading to the adoption of the SEC Order, all documents concerning or reflecting the “cooperation” by CVR described in the SEC Order, and all communications concerning the SEC Order, drafts thereof, the subject matter thereof, or any discussions or negotiations related thereto.

26. All documents concerning the SEC’s November 18, 2016 Guidance, including without limitation all communications related to the adoption, rationale, effects and origin of the foregoing guidance.

27. All time records and invoices of any attorneys or other representatives retained by CVR in connection with the SEC Investigation, including without limitation Herbert Beigel or any attorneys at Fried Frank, relating to the work of such representatives in connection with the SEC Investigation or the subject matter thereof.

28. All documents concerning any agreement or settlement entered into by CVR or Icahn in connection with the New York Bank Action, including without limitation all offers and proposals concerning potential settlements, as well as a copy of any agreement entered into by CVR or Icahn, on the one hand, and Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank (or either of them), on the other, concerning the New York Bank Action, and any cancelled checks or wire transfers reflecting the payment by CVR of any amount(s) related to those agreements.

29. All documents relating to or reflecting the damages You allege to have been incurred by CVR by reason of any act or omission on the part of Wachtell Lipton, Andrew R. Brownstein, or Benjamin M. Roth.

30. All communications, and all documents reflecting communications, between CVR, on the one hand, and Friedman Kaplan Seiler & Adelman LLP, on the other.

31. All documents concerning any dispute, demand for payment, or cause of action involving any professional service provider retained by CVR (or alleging that it was retained by CVR), including without limitation any attorneys, accountants, financial advisors, public relations firms, consultants, engineers, or architects.

Dated: October 4, 2017
New York, New York

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

By: /s/ Benjamin F. Heidlage
Michael S. Shuster
mshuster@hsgllp.com
Vincent Levy
vlevy@hsgllp.com
Benjamin F. Heidlage
bheidlage@hsgllp.com
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10023

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

John Gleeson
jgleeson@debevoise.com
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Attorneys for Defendants

Tab 2

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

CVR ENERGY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 14-cv-6566 (RJS)

**NOTICE OF SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM TO
ICAHN ENTERPRISES, L.P**

Please take notice that, pursuant to the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45, Defendant Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz will cause the subpoena attached hereto to be served on Icahn Enterprises, L.P, through its attorney, Herbert Beigel, Esq., commanding the production for inspection and copying all documents and things specified in the subpoena within twenty (20) calendar days at the location identified in the subpoena, or as otherwise agreed to by the parties. Please take notice that pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(d)(2)(B), unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, objections, if any, must be served within fourteen (14) days after the date that the subpoena is served.

Dated: October 4, 2017
New York, New York

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

By: /s/ Benjamin F. Heidlage
Michael S. Shuster
mshuster@hsgllp.com
Vincent Levy
vlevy@hsgllp.com
Benjamin F. Heidlage
bheidlage@hsgllp.com
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10019
(646) 837-5151

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

John Gleeson
jgleeson@debevoise.com
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 909-6000

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of New York

CVR Energy, Inc.,

Plaintiff

v.

Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, et al.,

Defendant

Civil Action No. 14-cv-06566 (RJS)

SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS
OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: Icahn Enterprises, L.P.

(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)

☒ **Production:** **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to produce at the time, date, and place set forth below the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and to permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material: See Schedule A, attached

Place: Offices of Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP, 750 7th Avenue, New York, NY 10019

Date and Time:

10/24/2017 9:00 am

☐ **Inspection of Premises:** **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to permit entry onto the designated premises, land, or other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, and location set forth below, so that the requesting party may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the property or any designated object or operation on it.

Place:

Date and Time:

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: 10/04/2017

CLERK OF COURT

OR

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

/s/ Benjamin F. Heidlage

Attorney's signature

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party) _____
Defendants _____, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

Benjamin Heidlage, Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP, 750 7th Ave, New York, NY bheidlage@hsgllp.com (646)837-5124

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

Civil Action No. 14-cv-06566 (RJS)

PROOF OF SERVICE*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)*I received this subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____on *(date)* _____.☐ I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person as follows: __________ on *(date)* _____; or☐ I returned the subpoena unexecuted because: _____

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of \$ _____.

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

*Server's signature*_____
*Printed name and title*_____
Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Print

Save As...

Add Attachment

Reset

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)**(c) Place of Compliance.**

(1) *For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition.* A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
 - (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) *For Other Discovery.* A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
- (B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) *Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions.* A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) *Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.*

(A) *Appearance Not Required.* A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) *Objections.* A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) *Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.*

(A) *When Required.* On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

- (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
- (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) *When Permitted.* To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) *Specifying Conditions as an Alternative.* In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) *Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.* These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) *Documents.* A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) *Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified.* If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) *Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form.* The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) *Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information.* The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) *Claiming Privilege or Protection.*

(A) *Information Withheld.* A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

- (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) *Information Produced.* If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) *Contempt.*

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

SCHEDULE A

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. The applicable definitions and rules of construction set forth in the FRCP, the Local Civil Rules of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (the “SDNY Rules”), and the CPLR are hereby incorporated by reference. To the extent there is any conflict between the FRCP and the SDNY Rules, on the one hand, and the CPLR, on the other, the rules requiring broader disclosure shall apply.

2. “You,” “Your,” and “Icahn” shall mean Carl C. Icahn, Icahn Enterprises L.P., and Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P., together with any affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, predecessors-in-interest, officers, directors, partners, employees (including, but not limited to, Vincent Intrieri), consultants, attorneys or advisors (including, but not limited to, Herbert Beigel, Jesse Lynn, Andrew Langham, and Keith Schaitkin), agents, and any other natural persons or entities acting or purporting to act on their behalf. For the avoidance of doubt, “Icahn” shall include any of the foregoing, even where they are purportedly acting on behalf of or as a representative or agent of CVR.

3. “Any” or “all” shall mean any and all.

4. The “Bank Engagement Letters” shall mean any of (1) the engagement letters between CVR and Goldman Sachs dated February 15, 2012 and March 21, 2012, and (2) the engagement letters between CVR and Deutsche Bank dated January 23, 2012 and March 23, 2012.

5. “Communication” shall mean any manner of transmitting or receiving information of any kind, to or from a person, whether oral, electronic, in the form of a document, or otherwise.

6. “Concerning” shall mean directly or indirectly relating to, referring to, reflecting, describing, evidencing, including, constituting, clarifying, elaborating, explaining, interpreting, supporting, pertaining to the creation, drafting, development, application and/or meaning of, and/or having anything to do with.

7. “CVR” shall mean CVR Energy, Inc., together with any affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, predecessors-in-interest, officers, directors, partners, employees, consultants, attorneys or advisors (including, but not limited to, Herbert Beigel, Edmund Gross and John Walter), agents, and any other natural persons or entities acting or purporting to act on its behalf.

8. “Deutsche Bank” shall mean Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., together with any affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, predecessors-in-interest, officers, directors, partners, employees, consultants, attorneys or advisors, agents, and any other natural persons or entities acting or purporting to act on its behalf.

9. “Document” shall include all written, printed, typewritten, electronically stored, recorded or graphic matter, photographic matter or sound reproduction, including, without limitation, correspondence, reports, tests, analyses, memoranda, contracts, agreements, notes, notebooks, workpapers, charts, graphs, projections, financial models, diaries, calendars, appointment books, schedules, travel and expense reports, studies, checks, invoices, statements, receipts, bulletins, computer print-outs, e-mails, text and instant messages, interoffice and intra-office communications, applications, tax records, notations of conversations or meetings, transcripts of any kind (including transcripts of conference calls or television appearances),

pictures, video and voice recordings and every other data compilation on which or through which information of any type can be obtained, translated if necessary into reasonably usable form, which are in the possession, custody or control of You or Your counsel. “Documents” shall include originals, all drafts and copies that differ in any respect from the original, and any material recorded on verbal, graphic, computer, telecommunicative, or magnetic form, or any other form capable of being read, heard or otherwise understood. The term “Document” has the broadest meaning possible, including but not limited to all reasonably available electronically stored information.

10. The “Federal Action” shall mean *CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz et al.*, No. 14-cv-06566 (S.D.N.Y.).

11. The “Federal Complaint” shall mean the Amended Complaint filed by CVR in the Federal Action.

12. “Fried Frank” shall mean Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP, together with its partners, associates, employees, consultants, attorneys or advisors, agents, and any other natural persons or entities acting or purporting to act on its behalf.

13. “Goldman Sachs” shall mean Goldman, Sachs & Co., together with any affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, predecessors-in-interest, officers, directors, partners, employees, consultants, attorneys or advisors, agents, and any other natural persons or entities acting or purporting to act on its behalf.

14. “Including” shall mean including but not limited to.

15. “Icahn Transaction” shall mean the transaction resulting from the February 2012 tender offer by Icahn referenced in paragraph 2 of the Federal Complaint in which Icahn acquired control over CVR.

16. The “New York Bank Action” shall mean *Goldman, Sachs & Co. v. CVR Energy, Inc.*, Index No. 652149/2012 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.) and *Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. v. CVR Energy, Inc.*, Index No. 652800/2012 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.).

17. “Person” shall refer to any individual or any entity, including any association, committee, community group, company, firm, partnership, trust, joint venture, corporation, agency, department, bureau, board, or any other legal or business entity.

18. “SEC” shall mean the United States Securities and Exchange Commission together with any officers, directors, partners, employees, attorneys, agents, and any other natural persons or entities acting or purporting to act on its behalf.

19. The “SEC Investigation” shall mean the investigation by the SEC referred to in paragraph 43 of the Federal Complaint, and any related investigations or actions by the SEC.

20. The “SEC Order” shall mean the Order Instituting Cease-and-Desist Proceedings Pursuant to Section 21C of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings and Imposing a Cease-and-Desist Order in the case captioned *In re CVR Energy, Inc.* (SEC Release No. 80039), issued by the SEC on or about February 14, 2017.

21. The “SEC’s November 18, 2016 Guidance” shall mean the guidance issued by the SEC on November 18, 2016, related to Section 14(d) of the Exchange Act and Regulation 14D, in response to Compliance & Disclosure Interpretation (“CD&I”) Question 159.02.

22. The “State Action” shall mean *Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz v. CVR Energy, Inc., et al.*, Index No. 654343/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.).

23. The “State Complaint” shall mean the Complaint filed by Wachtell Lipton in the State Action.

24. “Wachtell Lipton” shall mean Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, together with its partners, associates, employees, consultants, attorneys or advisors, agents, and any other natural persons or entities acting or purporting to act on its behalf.

25. The singular shall be construed to include the plural, and the plural shall be construed to include the singular; the present tense shall be construed to include the past tense, and the past tense shall be construed to include the present tense; and, the masculine shall be construed to include the feminine and neuter genders, as necessary, to bring within the scope of these Requests any information that might otherwise be construed to be outside their scope.

26. The words “and” and “or” shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively so as to bring within the scope of these Requests any information that might otherwise be construed to be outside their scope.

27. Icahn shall produce all documents and things that are responsive to the Requests and in the possession, custody, or control of Icahn and its agents, including Herbert Beigel and all other attorneys or other persons acting on Icahn’s behalf or under Icahn’s control.

28. In the event that any document, or any portion of any document, within the scope of the Requests is withheld from production upon any claim of privilege, Icahn shall comply with SDNY Rule 26.2, and shall provide a list identifying each document so withheld, as follows:

- (a) a description of the document, including:
 - (i) the type of document, *e.g.*, letter or memorandum;
 - (ii) the general subject matter of the document;
 - (iii) the date of the document;
 - (iv) the author of the document;

- (v) the addressees of the document;
- (vi) any other recipients of the document; and
- (vii) the relationship of the author, addressees and recipients to each other; and

- (b) identification of the privilege or privileges claimed for that document.

29. A complete and identical copy may be produced in lieu of the document itself.

30. The fact that a document is produced by another party does not relieve You of Your obligation to produce Your copy of the same document, even if the two documents are identical.

31. Each of the Requests and subparagraphs or subdivisions thereof shall be construed independently, and no other Request or subparagraph or subdivision thereof shall be referred to or relied on for the purpose of limiting its scope except insofar as the Request or subparagraph or subdivision thereof expressly refers to another Request or subparagraph or subdivision thereof.

32. Documents shall be produced in image format, with searchable text load files that are compatible with Concordance and IPRO. The images shall be single-page, 300 DPI, Group IV .tiff images. The load file shall include for each document, to the extent practicable, information identifying the custodian file, group shared file, or other source from which the document was gathered. For each individual document based on an electronic file, the load file shall, to the extent practicable, contain the corresponding text that is extracted from the electronic file, not generated as an OCR file from the .tiff image(s). In the case of e-mail, the load file shall also include, to the extent practicable, header information including: (1) the

individual to whom the communication was directed (“To”); (2) the author of the e-mail communication (“From”); (3) who was copied (“cc”) and/or blind copied (“bcc”) on such e-mail; (4) the subject line of the e-mail (“Re” or “Subject”); and (5) the date and time sent. For each document, the load file shall also contain: (1) the beginning Bates number (referring to the first page of the document); (2) the ending Bates number (referring to the last page of the document); and in the case of e-mails with attachments, (3) the beginning attachment range number(s) and (4) the ending attachment range number(s), where the “attachment range” records the relationship of e-mails to their attachments. The attachment range should be recorded from the first page of the first document in the attachment range to the last page of the last document in the attachment range. In addition, all documents whose native format is that of a Microsoft Excel file (or other electronic spreadsheet file) shall be produced with a single-page placeholder (Group IV .tiff image) indicating that the file is a spreadsheet and shall be produced in native format, including the logical formulae within the cells of the spreadsheet and any metadata contained in the file. The right to demand production of any other responsive documents in their native format (including all metadata) is expressly reserved.

33. Icahn shall produce documents as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with each numbered paragraph and each lettered paragraph of the Requests in response to which such documents are produced.

34. The Requests shall be continuing, and prompt supplemental requests hereto shall be required if Icahn obtains, or learns of the existence of, further or different information from the time of the answers hereto.

35. If You object to any part of a Request, answer all parts of such Request to which You do not object and, as to each part to which You do object, set forth the basis for the objection with specificity.

36. Unless otherwise stated in the Request, the time frame of each Request shall be January 1, 2012 through the present.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

1. All documents requested to be produced by You in the State Action that You have not yet produced.

2. All communications, and all documents reflecting communications, between Icahn and any person or entity concerning any of the allegations in the Federal or State Complaints.

3. All communications, and all documents reflecting communications, between Icahn and any current or former board member of CVR (including the representatives or attorneys of any such current or former board member).

4. All communications, and all documents reflecting communications, between CVR and Icahn concerning Wachtell Lipton, Goldman Sachs, Deutsche Bank, the Bank Engagement Letters, or the New York Bank Action, the State Action, the Federal Action, or the subject matter of any of the foregoing.

5. All documents concerning the hiring of Frank Pici by CVR and the termination or separation of Pici from CVR.

6. Any agreement entered into between Frank Pici and CVR.

7. All communications, and all documents reflecting communications, between Icahn or CVR and Frank Pici (including any of his representatives) from the date of Mr. Pici's termination or separation from CVR to the present.

8. All time records and invoices of Herbert Beigel, Robert Vidulich, or any other attorney regarding the New York Bank Action, the State Action, the Federal Action, or any actual or potential action against Wachtell Lipton.

9. All documents concerning any form submitted or proposed to be submitted by CVR to the SEC in connection with the Icahn Transaction, including without limitation all documents concerning the Forms 14D-9 referenced in the Federal Complaint.

10. All documents concerning Wachtell Lipton's advice to a corporation or enterprise subject to any actual or proposed tender offer, proxy contest, investment, or transaction involving Icahn. The time period for this Request is January 1, 2010 to the present.

11. All SEC filings, whether filed by You or by any company or entity controlled by or affiliated with You, and all SEC filings filed by any company or entity in connection with any actual or proposed transaction or offer involving You, that describe or purport to describe the terms of the retention of an advisor under Item 1009(a) of Regulation M-A. For each such filing, please also produce (a) documents sufficient to reflect all the terms of such retention and (b) all retention letters, engagement letters, or other agreements entered into with such advisor(s) or person(s). The time period for this Request is January 1, 2007 through the present.

12. All documents concerning the terms of retention or engagement of any bank or financial advisor by a corporation or enterprise concerning any tender offer, proxy contest, similar transaction or investment involving Icahn. The time period for this Request is January 1, 2007 to the present.

13. All documents concerning the disclosures required to be made under federal or state law by a tender offer target, proxy contest target, or target of any similar transaction, in each case concerning the terms of employment or retention of financial or other advisors, including any documents concerning any effort to effectuate any change to the applicable law(s) or regulation(s) concerning such disclosures. The time period for this Request is January 1, 2007 to the present.

14. All documents concerning any analysis, review, or study relating to the Bank Engagement Letters, including without limitation those concerning the questions whether the fees or terms reflected in the Bank Engagement Letters were usual or customary and how such fees are disclosed to investors under state or federal law.

15. All communications, and all documents reflecting communications, between Icahn or CVR, on the one hand, and the SEC, on the other. The time period for this Request is January 1, 2010 to the present.

16. All communications, and all documents reflecting communications, between Icahn and Fried Frank or any other attorney or law firm concerning CVR, the Bank Engagement Letters, the Icahn Transaction, Wachtell Lipton, Goldman Sachs, Deutsche Bank, or the New York Bank Action, the State Action, the Federal Action, the SEC Investigation, or the subject matter of any of the foregoing.

17. All documents concerning any investigation by the SEC of CVR, including without limitation all documents concerning the SEC Investigation, the subject matter of the SEC Investigation, or the origins of the SEC Investigation.

18. All subpoenas, demands, or other requests for information issued by the SEC related to the SEC Investigation, as well as any responses thereto, all communications

concerning such subpoenas, demands, or other requests and responses thereto, and all documents produced to the SEC in connection with the SEC Investigation (including without limitation any communications relating to the production of such documents).

19. All transcripts, recordings (including audio or video), or notes of, and all documents memorializing or summarizing, any testimony, interviews, declarations, or proffers provided to the SEC in connection with the SEC Investigation or otherwise concerning CVR, the Bank Engagement Letters, the Icahn Transaction, Wachtell Lipton, or the New York Bank Action, the State Action, the Federal Action, or the subject matter of any of the foregoing.

20. All presentations or submissions made to the SEC by CVR or Icahn concerning CVR, the Bank Engagement Letters, the Icahn Transaction, Wachtell Lipton, Goldman Sachs, Deutsche Bank, or the SEC Investigation, the SEC Order, the SEC's November 18, 2016 Guidance, or the subject matter of any of the foregoing.

21. All documents concerning any actual or potential agreement (including any stipulated order, contract, agreement of settlement or consent) entered into in connection with the SEC Investigation, including without limitation all offers and proposals concerning potential agreements, a copy of any agreement entered into by CVR, on the one hand, and the SEC, on the other, concerning the same, as well as any cancelled checks or wire transfers reflecting the payment of any amount(s) related to those agreements.

22. All documents concerning the SEC Order or the subject matter thereof, including without limitation all drafts of the SEC Order, all proposals, offers or counteroffers leading to the adoption of the SEC Order, all documents concerning or reflecting the "cooperation" by CVR described in the SEC Order, and all communications concerning the SEC Order, drafts thereof, the subject matter thereof, or any discussions or negotiations related thereto.

23. All documents concerning the SEC's November 18, 2016 Guidance, including without limitation all communications related to the adoption, rationale, effects and origin of the foregoing guidance.

24. All documents concerning any agreement or settlement entered into by CVR or Icahn in connection with the New York Bank Action, including without limitation all offers and proposals concerning potential settlements, as well as a copy of any agreement entered into by CVR or Icahn, on the one hand, and Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank (or either of them), on the other, concerning the New York Bank Action, and any cancelled checks or wire transfers reflecting the payment by CVR of any amount(s) related to those agreements.

25. All time records and invoices of any attorneys or other representatives retained in connection with the SEC Investigation, including without limitation Herbert Beigel or any attorneys associated with Fried Frank, relating to the work of such representatives in connection with the SEC Investigation or the subject matter thereof.

26. All documents concerning enforcement of the securities laws in the United States (including state, federal, or municipal law and any applicable regulations) or the personnel responsible for the enforcement of such laws (whether at the SEC or any other agency or entity). The time period for this Request is January 1, 2014 to the present.

27. All communications, and all documents reflecting communications, between Icahn and SEC Chairman Jay Clayton, former SEC Chairman Michael Piwowar, or any other official of the SEC.

28. All documents concerning the facts, circumstances, and allegations referenced in the article "Carl Icahn's Failed Raid on Washington," by Patrick Radden Keefe, dated August

28, 2017, published in *The New Yorker*, including without limitation any such documents concerning Icahn's position as special advisor to the President with respect to regulatory issues.

29. All documents concerning the facts, circumstances, and allegations referenced in the article "Icahn Benefits in AIG's Escape from 'Too Big to Fail' Status," by Ronald Orol, dated October 2, 2017, published in *TheStreet*, including without limitation any such documents concerning Icahn's position as special advisor to the President with respect to regulatory issues.

30. All documents concerning any wager placed by or including Carl Icahn and Vincent Intrieri or Daniel Ninivaggi regarding any claim, lawsuit, or cause of action, political appointment, or regulatory outcome (including without limitation any enforcement action, rulemaking decision, or other regulatory action, etc.).

31. All communications, and all documents reflecting communications, between Gail Golden, on the one hand, and CVR or Icahn, on the other, concerning Wachtell Lipton, Goldman Sachs, Deutsche Bank, the Bank Engagement Letters, or the New York Bank Action, the State Action, the Federal Action, the SEC Investigation, the SEC Order, the SEC's November 18, 2016 Guidance, or the subject matter of any of the foregoing.

Search Terms and Custodians

CVR SEARCH PROTOCOL

Proposed Search for January 1, 2012 to October 24, 2013 Time Period¹

Custodians: Jack Lipinski, Edmund Gross, Frank Pici, Jay Finks, Susan Ball, John Walter, Allen Jones

Search Terms:

1. 14d or 14d*
2. (comp or compen* or pay or pay*) /10 disclos*
3. customary /20 (comp or compen* or pay or pay*)
4. ratif*
5. (comp or compen* or pay or pay*) /20 (approv* or resol* or board or agree or agree*)

Agreed Upon Search for October 25, 2013 to May 15, 2017 Time Period²

Custodians: Jack Lipinski, Edmund Gross, John Walter, Herbert Beigel³

Search Terms:

1. Wachtel or Wachtell or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper or 14d or 14d*
2. (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) /10 disclos*
3. malpractice
4. (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (ratif* or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or “engagement letter” or EL)
5. customary /20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*)
6. Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel*
7. Stroock or *@stroock.com
8. Matelich or gmatelich@kelso.com

¹ CVR has not agreed to any searches for this time period.

² Reflects the search terms and custodians agreed to by CVR on December 18, 2017, including certain search term modifications proposed by Wachtell Lipton on January 12, 2018.

³ CVR did not agree to include Beigel as a custodian.

9. Tomkins or tomkinsme@gmail.com
10. (SEC or commission or staff) /20 (subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtell or WLRK or WL)
11. Brady
12. Bandy
13. (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) /3 Fields
14. *@sec.gov
15. “Fried Frank” or FriedFrank or FF
16. *@ffhsj.com or *@friedfrank.com
17. (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (settl* or resolv*)
18. “cease and desist”
19. CD&I or “compliance and disclosure interpretations”
20. guidance and (advis* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*)
21. “question 159.02”
22. 12323
23. 80039

ICAHN SEARCH PROTOCOL

Proposed Search for January 1, 2012 to October 24, 2013 Time Period⁴

Custodians: Carl Icahn, Keith Schaitkin, Vincent Intrieri, Jesse Lynn, Susan Gordon, Susan Zippo, Herbert Beigel

Search Terms:

1. 14d or 14d*
2. (comp or compen* or pay or pay*) /10 disclos*
3. customary /20 (comp or compen* or pay or pay*)
4. ratif*
5. (comp or compen* or pay or pay*) /20 (approv* or resol* or board or agree or agree*)

Agreed Upon Search for October 25, 2013 to May 15, 2017 Time Period⁵

Custodians: Carl Icahn,⁶ Keith Schaitkin, Jesse Lynn, Vincent Intrieri, Dan Ninivaggi

Search Terms:

1. (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) /10 disclos*
2. (Wachtel or Wachtell or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper) and (CVR or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or bet or ratif*)
3. malpractice
4. (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deutsche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (CVR or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or “engagement letter” or EL or ratif*)
5. customary /20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*)
6. Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel*
7. Stroock or *@stroock.com
8. Matelich or gmatelich@kelso.com

⁴ Icahn has not agreed to any searches for this time period.

⁵ Reflects the search terms agreed to by Icahn on December 18, 2017, including certain search term modifications proposed by Wachtell Lipton on January 12, 2018.

⁶ Includes all other email accounts used by Mr. Icahn, including the account of Gail Golden, Susan Gordon, and Susan Zippo.

9. Tomkins or tomkinsme@gmail.com
10. (SEC or commission or staff) /20 (CVR or subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtell or WLRK or WL)
11. Brady
12. Bandy
13. (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) /3 Fields
14. CVR and *@sec.gov
15. “Fried Frank” or friedfrank or FF
16. *@ffhsj.com or *@friedfrank.com
17. (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deutsche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (settl* or resolv*)
18. “cease and desist”
19. CD&I or “compliance and disclosure interpretations”
20. guidance and (advis* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*)
21. “question 159.02”
22. 12323
23. 80039

OVERVIEW OF CUSTODIANS' ROLES DURING RELEVANT TIME PERIOD

CVR

- Susan Ball: Chief Accounting Officer and then Chief Financial Officer
- Herbert Beigel: Counsel to CVR in bank actions and SEC investigation
- Jay Finks: Director of Finance
- Edmund Gross: General Counsel
- Allen Jones: Associate General Counsel
- Frank Pici: Chief Financial Officer
- Jack Lipinski: Chief Executive Officer
- John Walter: Associate General Counsel and then General Counsel

Icahn

- Carl Icahn: Chairman of Icahn Enterprises and Chairman of CVR
- Susan Gordon: Assistant to Carl Icahn
- Susan Zippo: Assistant to Carl Icahn
- Vincent Intrieri: Senior Managing Director of Icahn Capital and Director of CVR
- Jesse Lynn: Associate General Counsel and then General Counsel of Icahn Enterprises
- Dan Ninivaggi: CEO, President and Director of Icahn Enterprises and Director of CVR
- Keith Schaitkin: General Counsel of Icahn Enterprises

Parties' Correspondence

Tab 1

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (646) 837-5151
Fax: (646) 837-5150
www.hsgllp.com

Vincent Levy
(646) 837-5120
vlevy@hsgllp.com

December 15, 2017

Herbert Beigel, Esq.
Law Offices of Herbert Beigel
38327 South Arroyo Way
Tuscon, AZ 85739

Re: *CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen, & Katz, et al.*, No. 14-cv-06566 (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Herb,

I write to follow up on our meet-and-confer discussion of this morning. As we discussed, although Wachtell Lipton maintains that its previously proposed search protocol is reasonable and appropriate, in the interest of reaching a compromise I am attaching a revised set of search parameters that we propose to be run on the CVR and Icahn custodians' documents. Please provide hit counts for these searches no later than Tuesday, December 18, as you indicated you would do.

You stated in our meet and confer that, assuming that we reach agreement on search terms to identify all potentially responsive documents, you would be willing to follow the protocol for review previously used in this case. Even though your clients bear the burden to conduct this review under New York and federal law, our client would be willing to bear the burden and cost of reviewing for responsiveness all documents identified by our search terms in the enclosed proposed search protocol.

Accordingly, you would send us no later than Thursday, December 21, all documents identified by the agreed-upon search terms, for the custodians and time periods set forth in the proposed protocol, for us to review (except the documents on which you are a sender or an addressee), subject to the condition that your doing so will not itself be construed as a waiver of any purported attorney-client privilege or work product protection. Wachtell Lipton would then Bates-stamp any responsive documents, which would form your clients' "production," and we shall send you those documents. In light of the alleged privilege sensitivities you assert for your own communications — which privilege claims Wachtell Lipton does not concede by agreeing to this proposal, hereby reserving all rights — you would exclude from the set to be reviewed all such documents on which you are the sender or addressee and conduct that review yourself.

Your clients would still bear the responsibility to disclose or enter on a privilege log all responsive documents so reviewed by you.

In addition, during our meet-and-confer discussion this morning:

- You represented that in collecting your own communications with the SEC, you (1) reviewed every email in the email folder associated with the SEC investigation on your computer, and (2) searched all of the emails on your computer (regardless of folder location) for the names of the two principal SEC attorneys involved in the SEC investigation, Mr. Brady and Ms. Bandy;
- You stated that in the course of conducting the email review set forth above, you reviewed communications with your client that summarized communications with the SEC and determined that all such materials were privileged — you agreed to provide a log of such materials as soon as possible. Our position is that such log should be submitted promptly, but no later than December 29;
- You stated that you will not produce (or permit Fried Frank to produce) any billing records or invoices that contemporaneously documented the work of attorneys whose fees and costs CVR seeks as damages in this case, on the ground that all such records are purportedly privileged and their production allegedly would be premature;
- You maintained that your existing privilege log is adequate, and you will not provide a revised privilege log per my previous requests; and
- You accepted my representation that Mr. Savitt is not an appropriate witness regarding the SEC investigation, and you no longer intend to proceed with his deposition in the federal case.

If I have any of the above wrong, please let me know.

Given the current timetable in this case, I am attaching Wachtell Lipton's half of a draft joint letter to the Court regarding the above matters based on the current position of the parties, subject to CVR's and Icahn's review of Wachtell Lipton's revised proposed search protocol, so that there will be no delay in submitting the parties' ongoing disputes to the Court. In accordance with the Court's rules, please provide us with your half of the joint letter no later than the end of the day on Monday, December 18.

Wachtell Lipton reserves all of its rights.

Sincerely,

/s/ Vincent Levy

Vincent Levy

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (646) 837-5151
Fax: (646) 837-5150
www.hsgllp.com

Vincent Levy
(646) 837-5120
vlevy@hsgllp.com

December 15, 2017

Hon. Richard J. Sullivan
United States District Judge
Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse
40 Foley Square
New York, NY 10007

Re: *CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen, & Katz, et al.*, No. 14-cv-06566 (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Judge Sullivan,

This is a pre-motion letter regarding a discovery dispute, submitted under Rule 2.G of Your Honor's Individual Rules in the above-referenced action. On December 8, 2017, Defendants submitted a pre-motion letter, setting forth Defendants' position on a number of outstanding discovery matters (the "December 8 Letter"). *See* ECF No. 116. Plaintiff submitted a responsive letter on the same date. ECF No. 117. On December 11, 2017, the Court ordered the parties to meet and confer on or before December 15, 2017 to try to resolve or narrow the disputes. *See* ECF No. 118. Counsel spoke by telephone regarding the matters at issue for 90 minutes at 10:30 a.m. on December 15 (with Vincent Levy, Matthew Gurgel, Herbert Beigel, and Robert Vidulich participating). The parties continue to be at an impasse on several issues.

Defendants' Position

The background to the current discovery disputes is set forth in the December 8 Letter. *See* ECF No. 116. The disputes arise from the repeated refusal of Plaintiff CVR and third-party Icahn Enterprises, L.P. (together with Carl Icahn, "Icahn"), to provide meaningful discovery into most of the new allegations in CVR's amended complaint, to conduct any meaningful collection and review protocol for documents they have in fact agreed to produce, or to commit to a date for the completion of their production. Plaintiff's delays have substantially prejudiced Wachtell Lipton's ability to complete discovery within the deadlines set by the Court. The deficiencies in CVR's and Icahn's current discovery positions are set out below:

Search Protocol (Custodians, Search Terms and Date Range). On October 4, Wachtell Lipton served documents requests upon CVR and Icahn. Since October 26, Wachtell Lipton has made multiple proposals to CVR and Icahn for search protocols to capture documents responsive to those requests and to obtain documents relevant to CVR's amended complaint. At first, CVR

and Icahn summarily rejected each of these proposals as too burdensome, without even undertaking hit reports or any other analysis to justify their position. *See William A. Gross Constr. Assocs., Inc. v. Am. Mfrs. Mut. Ins. Co.*, 256 F.R.D. 134, 136 (S.D.N.Y. 2009) (“Common sense dictates that sampling and other quality assurance techniques must be employed [when using keyword searching] to meet requirements of completeness.”). CVR and Icahn now at least have agreed to run Wachtell Lipton’s current proposed search protocol to generate hit reports, but refuse to commit to review the results for responsiveness or otherwise produce the documents by any date certain. This refusal is unjustifiable and undermines Wachtell Lipton’s ability to complete discovery into CVR’s amended claim.

The new allegations in CVR’s amended complaint concern issues spanning several years. The amended complaint alleges malpractice by Wachtell Lipton relating to disclosures that CVR made in connection with Icahn’s 2012 tender offer and squarely relies on allegations regarding the SEC’s ensuing investigation into these CVR disclosures. Defendants are for this reason entitled to discovery relating to the 2012 SEC disclosures CVR relies upon in its complaint and relevant documents and information generated during and relating to the SEC investigation. CVR also seeks as additional damages (1) attorneys’ fees, costs of settlement, and other costs incurred as a result of the banks’ suit that was filed mid-2012 and settled in 2015, and (2) attorney’s fees and other costs incurred as a result of the SEC’s investigation from 2015 to 2017. Defendants are thus entitled to full discovery relating to these various costs, fees and expenses that CVR now seeks as damages.

In another attempt at resolution, on December 15, Defendants again provided CVR and Icahn with a revised search protocol, designed to address concerns raised by CVR and Icahn concerning the breadth of the terms given Icahn’s investments in other public companies and interactions with the SEC. This revised protocol proposed for the period January 1, 2012-October 24, 2013 (the date range for Plaintiff’s original search) a narrow set of terms tailored to identify documents related to CVR’s SEC disclosures that are the subject of its new malpractice allegations, and additional terms for the period from October 26, 2013 through 90 days following the SEC’s Cease and Order arising from its investigation of CVR’s disclosures. To date, CVR and Icahn have not agreed to apply these terms which, on their face, implicate the core subject matters CVR has put at issue in its amended complaint. Wachtell Lipton therefore asks the Court to order CVR and Icahn to conduct a reasonably adequate search for responsive documents and ESI using the search protocol that Wachtell Lipton proposed on December 15, and to produce any non-privileged documents identified by the protocol.

Billing Records. CVR and Icahn have refused to undertake a general search for billing records for the attorneys who represented CVR in its dispute with the banks and in the SEC investigation — the very bills which it now claims as damages against Wachtell Lipton. CVR and Icahn maintain that Wachtell Lipton is entitled only to documents of CVR’s own choosing that it claims are sufficient to show the amount of relevant attorneys’ fees and disbursements. But Defendants are not required to take Plaintiff’s word on damages or the particular documents that reflect such damages. They are entitled to relevant, contemporaneous documentary evidence relating to the attorneys’ fees and expenses claimed by Plaintiff as damages. *See Microsoft Corp. v. Fed. Ins. Co.*, 2003 WL 548758, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 25, 2003) (requiring disclosure of “underlying time sheets, time records, work-in-progress, summaries, etc. that form the basis of Sullivan & Cromwell’s invoices”). Most significantly, CVR refuses to produce *any* detailed attorney time records, asserting that it would be premature to produce these documents and that

they are privileged, and CVR has directed its SEC counsel, the Fried Frank law firm, to do the same. CVR's wholesale refusal to produce time records is without basis. *Microsoft*, 2003 WL 548758, at *3 (finding time-sheet and billing records not privileged). Wachtell Lipton thus asks the Court to require CVR and Icahn to produce all non-privileged documents in the requested categories (see Annex) and to direct its counsel to do the same, including detailed time records in all of the litigations for which it seeks fees, and to produce a log of documents, if any, withheld as privileged.

Deficient Privilege Log. CVR's privilege log fails to identify, for the withheld documents, "the nature of the privilege (including work product) which is being claimed" as well as "the general subject matter of the document." See Local Rule 26.2. CVR's counsel contends that logging the subject line of e-mails sufficiently identifies their "general subject matter." But subject lines as uninformative as "CVR", "Re: Notes", "CVR litigation", and "GS/DB v. CVR" are common in CVR's log. Those give neither Wachtell Lipton nor the Court an adequate basis to evaluate the legitimacy of the claim of privilege. Wachtell Lipton asks the Court to compel CVR to produce a log of these withheld e-mails that complies with Local Rule 26.2.

Discovery Schedule. CVR's delay for more than two months in providing relevant discovery has put Defendant Wachtell Lipton in an untenable position: the end of fact discovery is now less than a month away, numerous depositions remain to be taken based on an appropriate documentary record, and CVR continues to refuse to provide plainly relevant discovery. This prejudice to Wachtell Lipton has been exacerbated by CVR's and Icahn's unwillingness to commit to any date by which they will complete all document discovery, much less a date that would be consistent with the current schedule. Even as of December 15, CVR and Icahn insist they will need at least several weeks before they will complete any additional productions. Wachtell Lipton therefore asks the Court to modify the discovery schedule to allow it sufficient time to pursue evidence from Icahn and CVR and avoid prejudice resulting from the failure to timely produce responsive documents and proper privilege logs. CVR and Icahn should be ordered to complete document production by January 10, 2018, and Wachtell Lipton should be permitted to take fact discovery from CVR and Icahn until at least March 15, 2018.

Plaintiff's and Icahn's Position

CVR Search Protocol

1. For the period Jan. 1, 2012 to October 24, 2013 (the time period of CVR's initial search as stipulated by the parties and so-ordered by Justice Sherwood), CVR will run the following additional search terms for the custodians set forth in Justice Sherwood's order:
 - 14d or 14d*
 - (comp or comp* or pay or pay* or fee or fee*) /10 disclos*
 - customary /20 (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*)
 - ratif*
 - (comp or comp* or pay or pay* or fee or fee*) /20 (approv* or resol* or board or agree or agree*)
2. For the period October 25, 2013 to May 15, 2017 (90 days from the date of the Cease and Desist Order in the SEC investigation), CVR will undertake the below additional search:

Custodians: Jack Lipinski, Edmund Gross, John Walter, Herbert Beigel

- Wachtel or Wachtell or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper
- 14d or 14d*
- (comp or comp* or pay or pay* or fee or fee*) /10 disclos*
- malpractice
- (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (ratif* or fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or "engagement letter" or EL)
- customary /20 (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*)
- Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel* or hbeigel* [other than Herbert Beigel]
- Stroock or *@stroock.com
- Matelich or gmatelich@kelso.com
- Tomkins or tomkinsme@gmail.com
- (SEC or commission or staff) /20 (subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtell or WLRK or WL)
- Brady
- Brandy
- Fields
- *@sec.gov
- "Fried Frank" or FriedFrank or FF
- *@ffhsj.com or *@friedfrank.com
- (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (settl* or resolv*)
- "cease and desist"
- CD&I or "compliance and disclosure interpretations"
- guidance and (advis* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*)
- "question 159.02"

- 12323
- 80039

Icahn Search Protocol

1. For the period Jan. 1, 2012 to October 24, 2013 (the time period of CVR's initial search as stipulated by the parties and so-ordered by Justice Sherwood), Icahn will run the following additional search terms for the custodians set forth in Justice Sherwood's order:
 - 14d or 14d*
 - (comp or comp* or pay or pay* or fee or fee*) /10 disclos*
 - customary /20 (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*)
 - ratif*
 - (comp or comp* or pay or pay* or fee or fee*) /20 (approv* or resol* or board or agree or agree*)
2. For the period October 25, 2013 to May 15, 2017 (90 days from the date of the Cease and Desist Order in the SEC investigation), Icahn will undertake the below additional search:

Custodians: Carl Icahn,¹ Keith Schaitkin, Jesse Lynn, Vincent Intrieri, Dan Ninivaggi

- (comp or comp* or pay or pay* or fee or fee*) /10 disclos*
- (Wachtel or Wachtell or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper) and (CVR or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or bet or ratif*)
- malpractice
- (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (CVR or fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or "engagement letter" or EL or ratif*)
- customary /20 (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*)
- Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel*
- Stroock or *@stroock.com
- Matelich or gmatelich@kelso.com
- Tomkins or tomkinsme@gmail.com
- (SEC or commission or staff) /20 (CVR or subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtell or WLRK or WL)
- Brady
- Brandy
- Fields
- CVR and *@sec.gov
- "Fried Frank" or friedfrank or FF
- *@ffhsj.com or *@friedfrank.com
- (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (settl* or resolv*)
- "cease and desist"
- CD&I or "compliance and disclosure interpretations"

¹ Includes all other email accounts used by Mr. Icahn, including the account of Gail Golden, Susan Gordon, and Susan Zippo.

- guidance and (advis* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*)
- “question 159.02”
- 12323
- 80039

Tab 2

From: [Vincent Levy](#)
To: ["Herbert Beigel"; Robert Vidulich](#)
Cc: [Matthew Gurgel](#)
Subject: CVR
Date: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 12:30:08 AM
Attachments: [CVR and Icahn Search Protocol \(12-15-17\).pdf](#)

Dear Herb, Rob,

Following our meet and confer, I am writing to memorialize the discussion and next steps. You have agreed that you would do the following:

1. You will run for all CVR and Icahn custodians (but not yourself) the search terms listed in the enclosed protocol for the October 25, 2013 through May 15, 2017 period.
2. You will review the documents hitting those search terms for responsiveness on a document-by-document basis, and provide the responsive, nonprivileged documents.
3. You will produce a log identifying any documents withheld on privilege grounds. We reserve the right to challenge any such log if and to the extent it does not comply with Local Rule 26.2.
4. You will provide all records and other billing-related documents related to the out-of-pocket expenses being sought in defense of the Bank litigation and the SEC litigation. You have committed that CVR will not seek attorneys' fees for Rob or yourself. We would expect signing a stipulation to that effect formalizing this commitment from you. We understand that we will be receiving billing records from Fried Frank related to the fees and expenses CVR is seeking related to their work; we reserve the right to seek further documents from CVR with respect to these fees and expenses once we have received documents from Fried Frank.
5. You will complete the production of documents to be produced under steps 1 through 4 by January 31, 2018. This is acceptable to us to the extent that the Court grants an extension (per Item 8, below), but otherwise we reserve all rights.
6. You will revise the existing privilege log you provided to Mr. Sotille. We request that you complete this by December 31, 2017. We of course reserve the right to challenge the revised log to the extent the revisions do not comply with Local Rule 26.2.
7. You stated that, to the extent we wanted additional documents in your personal possession (such as your emails), we should subpoena you. You stated that you would accept service of a subpoena by email.
8. Given that you stated you would not be in a position to produce documents prior to the end of January, you will seek an extension of the schedule tomorrow. Your letter will request that the Court extend the fact-discovery deadline to March 30 to give you until January 31, 2018 to produce documents. You agreed to provide a copy of your letter-request before submitting it to the Court. To the extent the Court does not grant this extension extension, we reserve all rights to seek judicial relief, including an order compelling an earlier production.

9. You stated that you would not run searches for the pre-October 2013 period and that we were at an impasse on this point. We are considering your position, and reserve the right to seek judicial relief on this issue.

Thanks,
Vince

CVR Search Protocol

1. For the period Jan. 1, 2012 to October 24, 2013 (the time period of CVR's initial search as stipulated by the parties and so-ordered by Justice Sherwood), CVR will run the following additional search terms for the custodians set forth in Justice Sherwood's order:
 - 14d or 14d*
 - (comp or comp* or pay or pay* or fee or fee*) /10 disclos*
 - customary /20 (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*)
 - ratif*
 - (comp or comp* or pay or pay* or fee or fee*) /20 (approv* or resol* or board or agree or agree*)
2. For the period October 25, 2013 to May 15, 2017 (90 days from the date of the Cease and Desist Order in the SEC investigation), CVR will undertake the below additional search:

Custodians: Jack Lipinski, Edmund Gross, John Walter, Herbert Beigel

- Wachtel or Wachtell or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper
- 14d or 14d*
- (comp or comp* or pay or pay* or fee or fee*) /10 disclos*
- malpractice
- (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (ratif* or fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or "engagement letter" or EL)
- customary /20 (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*)
- Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel* or hbeigel* [other than Herbert Beigel]
- Stroock or *@stroock.com
- Matelich or gmatelich@kelso.com
- Tomkins or tomkinsme@gmail.com
- (SEC or commission or staff) /20 (subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtell or WLRK or WL)
- Brady
- Brandy
- Fields
- *@sec.gov
- "Fried Frank" or FriedFrank or FF
- *@ffhsj.com or *@friedfrank.com
- (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (settl* or resolv*)
- "cease and desist"
- CD&I or "compliance and disclosure interpretations"
- guidance and (advis* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*)
- "question 159.02"

- 12323
- 80039

Icahn Search Protocol

1. For the period Jan. 1, 2012 to October 24, 2013 (the time period of CVR's initial search as stipulated by the parties and so-ordered by Justice Sherwood), Icahn will run the following additional search terms for the custodians set forth in Justice Sherwood's order:
 - 14d or 14d*
 - (comp or comp* or pay or pay* or fee or fee*) /10 disclos*
 - customary /20 (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*)
 - ratif*
 - (comp or comp* or pay or pay* or fee or fee*) /20 (approv* or resol* or board or agree or agree*)
2. For the period October 25, 2013 to May 15, 2017 (90 days from the date of the Cease and Desist Order in the SEC investigation), Icahn will undertake the below additional search:

Custodians: Carl Icahn,¹ Keith Schaitkin, Jesse Lynn, Vincent Intrieri, Dan Ninivaggi

- (comp or comp* or pay or pay* or fee or fee*) /10 disclos*
- (Wachtel or Wachtell or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper) and (CVR or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or bet or ratif*)
- malpractice
- (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (CVR or fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or "engagement letter" or EL or ratif*)
- customary /20 (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*)
- Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel*
- Stroock or *@stroock.com
- Matelich or gmatelich@kelso.com
- Tomkins or tomkinsme@gmail.com
- (SEC or commission or staff) /20 (CVR or subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtell or WLRK or WL)
- Brady
- Brandy
- Fields
- CVR and *@sec.gov
- "Fried Frank" or friedfrank or FF
- *@ffhsj.com or *@friedfrank.com
- (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (settl* or resolv*)
- "cease and desist"
- CD&I or "compliance and disclosure interpretations"

¹ Includes all other email accounts used by Mr. Icahn, including the account of Gail Golden, Susan Gordon, and Susan Zippo.

- guidance and (advis* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*)
- “question 159.02”
- 12323
- 80039

Tab 3

From: Herbert Beigel <hbeigel@me.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 12:00 PM
To: Levy, Vincent G. (Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP)
Cc: Robert Viducich; Matthew Gurgel
Subject: Re: CVR

Vince

A few comments/clarifications.

1. We are seeking out of pocket expenses incurred in the GS/DB litigation and SEC investigation, which Rob and I incurred.
2. Because of the holidays I cannot commit to a revised privilege log by [12/31](#) but will provide to you as soon as possible thereafter. (#6)
3. Privilege logs will be category logs per the rules, sufficient for you to understand the basis for assertion of the privilege.
4. We reserve the right to revisit below in the event the search terms result an excessive number of hits.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215(fax)

On Dec 18, 2017, at 10:30 PM, Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com> wrote:

Dear Herb, Rob,

Following our meet and confer, I am writing to memorialize the discussion and next steps. You have agreed that you would do the following:

1. You will run for all CVR and Icahn custodians (but not yourself) the search terms listed in the enclosed protocol for the October 25, 2013 through May 15, 2017 period.
2. You will review the documents hitting those search terms for responsiveness on a document-by-document basis, and provide the responsive, nonprivileged documents.
3. You will produce a log identifying any documents withheld on privilege grounds. We reserve the right to challenge any such log if and to the extent it does not comply with Local Rule 26.2.
4. You will provide all records and other billing-related documents related to the out-of-pocket

expenses being sought in defense of the Bank litigation and the SEC litigation. You have committed that CVR will not seek attorneys' fees for Rob or yourself. We would expect signing a stipulation to that effect formalizing this commitment from you. We understand that we will be receiving billing records from Fried Frank related to the fees and expenses CVR is seeking related to their work; we reserve the right to seek further documents from CVR with respect to these fees and expenses once we have received documents from Fried Frank.

5. You will complete the production of documents to be produced under steps 1 through 4 by January 31, 2018. This is acceptable to us to the extent that the Court grants an extension (per Item 8, below), but otherwise we reserve all rights.
6. You will revise the existing privilege log you provided to Mr. Sotille. We request that you complete this by December 31, 2017. We of course reserve the right to challenge the revised log to the extent the revisions do not comply with Local Rule 26.2.
7. You stated that, to the extent we wanted additional documents in your personal possession (such as your emails), we should subpoena you. You stated that you would accept service of a subpoena by email.
8. Given that you stated you would not be in a position to produce documents prior to the end of January, you will seek an extension of the schedule tomorrow. Your letter will request that the Court extend the fact-discovery deadline to March 30 to give you until January 31, 2018 to produce documents. You agreed to provide a copy of your letter-request before submitting it to the Court. To the extent the Court does not grant this extension extension, we reserve all rights to seek judicial relief, including an order compelling an earlier production.
9. You stated that you would not run searches for the pre-October 2013 period and that we were at an impasse on this point. We are considering your position, and reserve the right to seek judicial relief on this issue.

Thanks,
Vince

Vincent Levy
Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10019
(646) 837-5120 (office)
(917) 669-0870 (mobile)
www.hsgllp.com

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

<CVR and Icahn Search Protocol (12-15-17).pdf>

Tab 4

LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT R. VIDUCICH

40 WALL STREET, 28TH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10005
PHONE: (212) 400-7135
E-MAIL: rviducich@rrvlaw.com

December 19, 2017

Via SDNY E-FILING and E-MAIL

The Honorable Richard J. Sullivan
U.S. District Court for the
Southern District of New York
Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse
40 Foley Square
New York, New York 10007

Re: CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz et al. (C.A. No. 14 cv 06566)

Dear Judge Sullivan:

I, together with lead counsel, Herbert Beigel, Esq., of the Law Offices of Herbert Beigel, represent Plaintiff in the above-captioned action, and we write as a follow-up to Your Honor's December 11, 2017 Order. Please be advised that the parties have further met and conferred, per that Order, and have reached agreement on several issues, obviating the need for the Court's assistance at the present time. The parties' resolution includes, *inter alia*, Plaintiff's agreement to run numerous electronic searches for additional documents concerning the amended complaint's new allegations, and to review and produce non-privileged responsive documents by January 31, 2018 (at which point further depositions can be taken). Please also be advised that a number of deposition witnesses are unavailable until after the current, January 17, 2018, fact-discovery deadline and Mr. Beigel is scheduled for a three week trial beginning on February 7 in the United States District Court in Pittsburgh, PA.

Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully requests, with the consent of Defendants, that the fact-discovery deadline be extended to March 30, 2018. Because the requested extension would affect other deadlines, we are also submitting herewith a proposed Revised Scheduling Order. Please be advised that this is the first request to modify the aforementioned deadlines since the complaint was amended in February 2015, and the third request overall.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Robert R. Viducich

cc: Vincent Levy, Esq.
Michael Shuster, Esq.
Benjamin Heidlage, Esq.
John Gleeson, Esq.
Michael Brenner, Esq.
Herbert Beigel, Esq. (co-counsel)

Tab 5

From: Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 12:30 PM
To: Herbert Beigel
Cc: Robert Viducich; Matthew Gurgel
Subject: RE: CVR

Dear Herb:

In response to the points noted in the below email.

1. We will prepare a stipulation setting forth what is not being sought, and we understand you will provide the bills for all expenses you are claiming.
2. Please confirm we will receive the revised log by January 15, 2018.
3. We think that a document-by-document log is appropriate here, but we understand the local rule permits variations. To be clear, we do not agree to deviate from the local rules, and reserve the right to object to the log to the extent "the substantive information required by th[e] [local rule] has not been provided in a comprehensible form." If you would like to avoid logging the documents, we remain willing to use the quick-peak agreement you had with Mr. Sotille, consistent with the FRE 502(d) order entered in this case.
4. You stated Monday that you would run all the search terms we requested for the post-Oct. 25, 2013 period. And, indeed, Rob's letter to the court stated that you had agreed to run numerous electronic searches. We cannot accept a new condition that would give you the unilateral option not to run certain searches. We expect you to run all the searches you told us Monday that you would run and to provide the documents on the timetable you proposed. We remain prepared to shoulder the burden of review, as was Mr. Sotille's firm, if you believe the volume is excessive.

Vince

From: Herbert Beigel [<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 12:00 PM
To: Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvlaw.com>; Matthew Gurgel <mgurgel@hsgllp.com>
Subject: Re: CVR

Vince

A few comments/clarifications.

1. We are seeking out of pocket expenses incurred in the GS/DB litigation and SEC investigation, which Rob and I incurred.
2. Because of the holidays I cannot commit to a revised privilege log by [12/31](#) but will provide to you as soon as possible thereafter. (#6)
3. Privilege logs will be category logs per the rules, sufficient for you to understand the basis for assertion of the privilege.
4. We reserve the right to revisit below in the event the search terms result an excessive number of hits.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215(fax)

On Dec 18, 2017, at 10:30 PM, Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com> wrote:

Dear Herb, Rob,

Following our meet and confer, I am writing to memorialize the discussion and next steps. You have agreed that you would do the following:

1. You will run for all CVR and Icahn custodians (but not yourself) the search terms listed in the enclosed protocol for the October 25, 2013 through May 15, 2017 period.
2. You will review the documents hitting those search terms for responsiveness on a document-by-document basis, and provide the responsive, nonprivileged documents.
3. You will produce a log identifying any documents withheld on privilege grounds. We reserve the right to challenge any such log if and to the extent it does not comply with Local Rule 26.2.
4. You will provide all records and other billing-related documents related to the out-of-pocket expenses being sought in defense of the Bank litigation and the SEC litigation. You have committed that CVR will not seek attorneys' fees for Rob or yourself. We would expect signing a stipulation to that effect formalizing this commitment from you. We understand that we will be receiving billing records from Fried Frank related to the fees and expenses CVR is seeking related to their work; we reserve the right to seek further documents from CVR with respect to these fees and expenses once we have received documents from Fried Frank.
5. You will complete the production of documents to be produced under steps 1 through 4 by January 31, 2018. This is acceptable to us to the extent that the Court grants an extension (per Item 8, below), but otherwise we reserve all rights.
6. You will revise the existing privilege log you provided to Mr. Sotille. We request that you complete this by December 31, 2017. We of course reserve the right to challenge the revised log to the extent the revisions do not comply with Local Rule 26.2.
7. You stated that, to the extent we wanted additional documents in your personal possession (such as your emails), we should subpoena you. You stated that you would accept service of a subpoena by email.

8. Given that you stated you would not be in a position to produce documents prior to the end of January, you will seek an extension of the schedule tomorrow. Your letter will request that the Court extend the fact-discovery deadline to March 30 to give you until January 31, 2018 to produce documents. You agreed to provide a copy of your letter-request before submitting it to the Court. To the extent the Court does not grant this extension extension, we reserve all rights to seek judicial relief, including an order compelling an earlier production.
9. You stated that you would not run searches for the pre-October 2013 period and that we were at an impasse on this point. We are considering your position, and reserve the right to seek judicial relief on this issue.

Thanks,
Vince

Vincent Levy
Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10019
(646) 837-5120 (office)
(917) 669-0870 (mobile)
www.hsgllp.com

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

<CVR and Icahn Search Protocol (12-15-17).pdf>

Tab 6

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert Vidulich](#); [Matthew Gurgel](#)
Subject: Re: CVR
Date: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 1:00:25 PM

I have no idea what you are talking about.

All the searches will be run. The only caveat is to revisit with you if there are a ridiculous number of hits.

I don't know what quick peak is. I will not produce privileged documents, as I did with Sottile.

I cannot promise a revised previous log by 1/15, but will do my best, especially given fact that document production won't be complete until 1/31 and you will get an additional log thereafter.

Keep in mind I am unavailable for depositions between 2/7 and the end of the month due to a federal trial in Pittsburgh. So the depositions will have to be in March, more than enough time to complete what has been noticed.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

On Dec 20, 2017, at 10:29 AM, Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com> wrote:

Dear Herb:

In response to the points noted in the below email.

1. We will prepare a stipulation setting forth what is not being sought, and we understand you will provide the bills for all expenses you are claiming.
2. Please confirm we will receive the revised log by January 15, 2018.
3. We think that a document-by-document log is appropriate here, but we understand the local rule permits variations. To be clear, we do not agree to deviate from the local rules, and reserve the right to object to the log to the extent "the substantive information required by th[e] [local rule] has not been provided in a comprehensible form." If you would like to avoid logging the documents, we remain willing to use the

quick-peak agreement you had with Mr. Sotille, consistent with the FRE 502(d) order entered in this case.

4. You stated Monday that you would run all the search terms we requested for the post-Oct. 25, 2013 period. And, indeed, Rob's letter to the court stated that you had agreed to run numerous electronic searches. We cannot accept a new condition that would give you the unilateral option not to run certain searches. We expect you to run all the searches you told us Monday that you would run and to provide the documents on the timetable you proposed. We remain prepared to shoulder the burden of review, as was Mr. Sotille's firm, if you believe the volume is excessive.

Vince

From: Herbert Beigel [<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 12:00 PM
To: Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvllaw.com>; Matthew Gurgel <mgurgel@hsgllp.com>
Subject: Re: CVR

Vince

A few comments/clarifications.

1. We are seeking out of pocket expenses incurred in the GS/DB litigation and SEC investigation, which Rob and I incurred.
2. Because of the holidays I cannot commit to a revised privilege log by [12/31](#) but will provide to you as soon as possible thereafter. (#6)
3. Privilege logs will be category logs per the rules, sufficient for you to understand the basis for assertion of the privilege.
4. We reserve the right to revisit below in the event the search terms result an excessive number of hits.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215(fax)

On Dec 18, 2017, at 10:30 PM, Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com> wrote:

Dear Herb, Rob,

Following our meet and confer, I am writing to memorialize the discussion and next steps. You have agreed that you would do the following:

1. You will run for all CVR and Icahn custodians (but not yourself) the search terms listed in the enclosed protocol for the October 25, 2013 through May 15, 2017 period.
2. You will review the documents hitting those search terms for responsiveness on a document-by-document basis, and provide the responsive, nonprivileged documents.
3. You will produce a log identifying any documents withheld on privilege grounds. We reserve the right to challenge any such log if and to the extent it does not comply with Local Rule 26.2.
4. You will provide all records and other billing-related documents related to the out-of-pocket expenses being sought in defense of the Bank litigation and the SEC litigation. You have committed that CVR will not seek attorneys' fees for Rob or yourself. We would expect signing a stipulation to that effect formalizing this commitment from you. We understand that we will be receiving billing records from Fried Frank related to the fees and expenses CVR is seeking related to their work; we reserve the right to seek further documents from CVR with respect to these fees and expenses once we have received documents from Fried Frank.
5. You will complete the production of documents to be produced under steps 1 through 4 by January 31, 2018. This is acceptable to us to the extent that the Court grants an extension (per Item 8, below), but otherwise we reserve all rights.
6. You will revise the existing privilege log you provided to Mr. Sotille. We request that you complete this by December 31, 2017. We of course reserve the right to challenge the revised log to the extent the revisions do not comply with Local Rule 26.2.
7. You stated that, to the extent we wanted additional documents in your personal possession (such as your emails), we should subpoena you. You stated that you would accept service of a subpoena by email.

8. Given that you stated you would not be in a position to produce documents prior to the end of January, you will seek an extension of the schedule tomorrow. Your letter will request that the Court extend the fact-discovery deadline to March 30 to give you until January 31, 2018 to produce documents. You agreed to provide a copy of your letter-request before submitting it to the Court. To the extent the Court does not grant this extension extension, we reserve all rights to seek judicial relief, including an order compelling an earlier production.
9. You stated that you would not run searches for the pre-October 2013 period and that we were at an impasse on this point. We are considering your position, and reserve the right to seek judicial relief on this issue.

Thanks,
Vince

Vincent Levy
Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10019
(646) 837-5120 (office)
(917) 669-0870 (mobile)
www.hsllp.com

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

<CVR and Icahn Search Protocol (12-15-17).pdf>

Tab 7

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (646) 837-5151
Fax: (646) 837-5150
www.hsgllp.com

Vincent Levy
(646) 837-5120
vlevy@hsgllp.com

January 5, 2018

Herbert Beigel, Esq.
Law Offices of Herbert Beigel
38327 South Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739

Re: *Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz v. CVR Energy, Inc., et al.*, No. 654343/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.)
CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen, & Katz, et al., No. 14-cv-06566 (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Herb:

I write regarding deposition scheduling generally and in response to the multiple emails you have sent us on the subject, in which you have made demands, attempted to impose deadlines for and limitations on our responses, and pressed substantial constraints on the discovery process that suit your and CVR's interests but prejudice my clients. As a general matter I do not usually respond to sarcasm and insults, but given that you have chosen to express your position on deposition scheduling in such terms, I respond here to make our position clear.

We think your tactics are unreasonable. We do not accept your take-it-or-leave-it approach to scheduling depositions, the arbitrary deadlines you set to respond to your communications, or your imperious demands that we answer your questions "yes or no." We do not agree that you can unilaterally excise the entire month of February and the first week of March from the deposition calendar because you will be occupied with another case, after you and your client's failure to timely produce documents forced you to seek Court approval for an extension of the entire discovery schedule. Nor do we agree that you can unilaterally limit the scope of noticed depositions. After experiencing months of these tactics, we are persuaded that you have no intention to respect deadlines, proceed in a reasonably cooperative manner, or otherwise act in accordance with court-imposed deadlines and procedures.

Accordingly, so our position is clear, I am writing to tell you what we intend to do in pursuit of the orderly administration of depositions:

- We will provide you with multiple dates for those of our witnesses whom you have indicated you wish to depose and who have information relevant to the action in which they were noticed.
- We will notice depositions of witnesses you represent whom we wish to depose for dates that are convenient to us, and we will consider requests for reasonable adjustments to the noticed dates. We will not accede to your demand that all depositions in this matter be relegated to the final three weeks of March. We expect depositions to proceed in an orderly fashion beginning in February, following completion of document discovery, consistent with the schedule that has been set by the Court.
- The scope of our inquiry in depositions will correspond to the matters at issue in litigation, consistent with any applicable rule or court order, to gather evidence relevant to our defenses and claims.

Furthermore, be advised that we have determined to notice Mr. Schaitkin for a deposition on a date after January 31, as we will not take his deposition on a date which precedes the court-ordered completion of document disclosure by your clients. Finally, you have repeatedly demanded a date for the deposition of William Savitt. You have indicated that you seek Mr. Savitt's deposition as a fact and organizational witness in the state action. As we have already communicated to you, we do not agree with your position that the discovery periods in the state and federal actions are uncoupled and we intend to seek relief generally with respect to the schedule in the state action. Mr. Savitt will be made available if appropriate only later in the discovery period.

We reserve all our rights.

Sincerely,

/s/ Vincent Levy

Vincent Levy

Tab 8

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert R. Vidulich](#); [Matthew Gurgel](#)
Subject: Re: CVR deposition scheduling
Date: Friday, January 5, 2018 2:13:45 PM

Vince

You obviously are a proponent of the big lie theory of lawyering,

As I have said you can write all the letters you want but I do not take any of them seriously because they are replete with false statements and total mischaracterizations. Just one example, I never conditioned Schaitkin's deposition on limited scope. I asked if you would agree, you didn't, and that was that. And you asked me to propose a date in late January and I did. You deliberately wasted my time.

So, in due course I will send you an email responding to the rest of your nonsense, but for now I am withdrawing all prior agreements with you other than what is required by the scheduling order.

Don't hold your breath waiting for a response. I will get around to it when I have nothing better to do. I don't respect self serving statements any more than the Courts.

You are unprofessional and untrustworthy. And you are as unreliable as you know who.

What a shame.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
Hbeigel@me.com
520-825-1995. Office
520-869-5836 Mobile

On Jan 5, 2018, at 11:14 AM, Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com> wrote:

Herb and Rob,

Please see the enclosed.

Vince

Vincent Levy
Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor

New York, NY 10019
(646) 837-5120 (office)
(917) 669-0870 (mobile)
www.hsgllp.com

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

<Levy Letter to Beigel Re Depositions 1.5.pdf>

Tab 9

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert Vidulich](#)
Subject: Re: Re document production
Date: Monday, January 8, 2018 8:22:28 PM
Attachments: [Update-Wachtell Search Hit Results.xlsx](#)

Vince:

Here is the hit report, referred to in the email below.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

On Jan 8, 2018, at 6:11 PM, Herbert Beigel <hbeigel@me.com> wrote:

Vince:

Vince:

I am writing to inform you of the current status of the additional production from 3 CVR and Icahn custodians (Gross, Lipinski, Walter) for the period October 2013 to the present, using the search terms you proposed, that we ultimately agreed to run – albeit reluctantly, as we believed they were way too overbroad (and thus subject to further discussions depending on the number of hits).

Attached is the hit report for Lipinski and Walter. As you'll see, our fears about the overbroad nature of many of the proposed search terms were justified,

Specifically, search terms for the attached chart's items nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14, 17 and 20 were clearly not reasonably designed to capture relevant documents and need to be modified. Moreover search terms nos. 15 & 16 are designed to capture only privileged or non-relevant communications and should be eliminated altogether (and especially in view of the mountainous production of documents you've subpoenaed from that law firm). The same problem also infects search

terms/item no. 6, as during that time-frame I provided legal services on several other matters for CVR having nothing at all to with this action or the banks' lawsuits.

As for Mr. Gross, we have learned that since his departure, despite a thorough search of the network, his emails and attachments cannot be found. Although CVR will continue to look for these documents, it's likely they were somehow inadvertently lost during a technological change involving CVR's computer servers. In any event, it should be obvious that his communications from the advent of this malpractice litigation until his departure were either privileged or not relevant, so the absence of his electronic files is of no prejudice to your clients. Moreover, as you know, most of the time period you are seeking will not produce any relevant non-privileged communications, because (a) you already have hundreds of thousands of pages of documents from Fried Frank and my communications with the SEC, and (b) the substance of the SEC investigation was concluded in the Fall of 2016 following a year of complete silence and inaction.

In short, we will begin reviewing the documents generated by the search terms other than the problematic, itemized ones listed above (nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14, 17 and 20). As concerns those itemized ones, we will not accept your offer to have your firm review the raw data as we, frankly, do not believe you have acted fairly or in good faith and should be entrusted with them, and the number of relevant documents required to be produced will constitute a small fraction of the total documents generated by your search terms. Instead, as to them, we ask that you re-consider our November 3 counter-proposal of search terms, and note that we remain willing to meet and confer on them (or on any other proposals you might proffer) in a last effort to agree on search terms and thereby avoid being unduly burdened with overbroad search terms that have no other apparent purpose than to require us to engage in unnecessary and wasteful review of thousands of documents that have nothing to do with his litigation.

As for the Icahn production, because Icahn does not have the capability of running your proposed search terms, we have been compelled to have Icahn transmit to our vendor all electronic files of each custodian to run the search terms. This burdensome task – involving hundreds of gigabytes of data – will require several weeks to accomplish. However, based on what has been run so far, we already know the obvious, to wit, that many of your Icahn search terms suffer from the same infirmities noted above with regard to CVR, and, will have to be modified.

Moreover, with respect to the "CIcahn@sfire.com" email account, this is an email address never used or offered to anyone by Mr. Icahn or his assistants, and of the

thousands of unsolicited emails sent to this address, neither Mr. Icahn nor any other employees who has access to these emails has ever responded to any of them. It is the functional equivalent of a “junk mail” folder. Consequently, we see no need to review and generate documents pertaining to that e-mail account according to your search terms or any other search terms.

Finally, we reiterate what is indicated above: our refusal to agree to the improper designation of Herbert Beigel as a custodian.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

Time Period: October 25, 2013 to May 15, 2017

Search:	Terms and Connectors	Lipinski	Custodian Hits Gross	Walter
	Wachtel or Wachtell or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper or 14d or			
1	14d*		5313	3943
2	(comp or comp* or pay or pay* or fee or fee*) /10 disclos*		9895	6813
3	malpractice		130	484
	(Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deutsche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and			
	(ratif* or fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation			
4	or action or case or “engagement letter” or EL)		8659	4100
5	customary /20 (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*)		637	1535
6	Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel* or hbeigel* [other than Herbert Beigel]		137	958
7	Stroock or *@stroock.com		5	160
8	Matelich or gmatelich@kelso.com		446	77
9	Tomkins or tomkinsme@gmail.com		40	65
	(SEC or commission or staff) /20 (subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or			
10	Wachtell or WLRK or WL)		998	2588
11	Brady		336	569
12	Bandy		5	136
13	Fields		3720	960
14	*@sec.gov		554	2809
15	“Fried Frank” or FriedFrank or FF		1590	4334
16	*@ffhsj.com or *@friedfrank.com		296	2587
	(Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deutsche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or			
17	bank or SEC) and (settl* or resolv*)		6532	6517
18	“cease and desist”		18	315
19	CD&I or “compliance and disclosure interpretations”		9	67
20	guidance and (advis* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*)		5901	3024
21	“question 159.02”		0	0

Tab 10

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert Vidulich](#)
Subject: Re: Re document production
Date: Tuesday, January 9, 2018 9:45:11 PM

The chart I sent you is all I have from CVR.

However, I will ask my vendor if he can do what you ask.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

On Jan 9, 2018, at 7:39 PM, Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com> wrote:

Herb: Please confirm whether the hit counts in the attachment to your email are for total hits or unique hits. If they are total hits, please provide for each search string and custodian the number of unique hits. In addition, please provide for each search string the number of total and unique hits, de-duplicated across both custodians' mailboxes. Thank you.

On Jan 8, 2018, at 8:22 PM, Herbert Beigel <hbeigel@me.com> wrote:

Vince:

Here is the hit report, referred to in the email below.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

On Jan 8, 2018, at 6:11 PM, Herbert Beigel

<hbeigel@mc.com> wrote:

Vince:

Vince:

I am writing to inform you of the current status of the additional production from 3 CVR and Icahn custodians (Gross, Lipinski, Walter) for the period October 2013 to the present, using the search terms you proposed, that we ultimately agreed to run – albeit reluctantly, as we believed they were way too overbroad (and thus subject to further discussions depending on the number of hits).

Attached is the hit report for Lipinski and Walter. As you'll see, our fears about the overbroad nature of many of the proposed search terms were justified,

Specifically, search terms for the attached chart's items nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14, 17 and 20 were clearly not reasonably designed to capture relevant documents and need to be modified. Moreover search terms nos. 15 & 16 are designed to capture only privileged or non-relevant communications and should be eliminated altogether (and especially in view of the mountainous production of documents you've subpoenaed from that law firm). The same problem also infects search terms/item no. 6, as during that time-frame I provided legal services on several other matters for CVR having nothing at all to with this action or the banks' lawsuits.

As for Mr. Gross, we have learned that since his departure, despite a thorough search of the network, his emails and attachments cannot be found. Although CVR will continue to look for these documents, it's likely they were somehow inadvertently lost during a technological

change involving CVR's computer servers. In any event, it should be obvious that his communications from the advent of this malpractice litigation until his departure were either privileged or not relevant, so the absence of his electronic files is of no prejudice to your clients. Moreover, as you know, most of the time period you are seeking will not produce any relevant non-privileged communications, because (a) you already have hundreds of thousands of pages of documents from Fried Frank and my communications with the SEC, and (b) the substance of the SEC investigation was concluded in the Fall of 2016 following a year of complete silence and inaction.

In short, we will begin reviewing the documents generated by the search terms other than the problematic, itemized ones listed above (nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14, 17 and 20). As concerns those itemized ones, we will not accept your offer to have your firm review the raw data as we, frankly, do not believe you have acted fairly or in good faith and should be entrusted with them, and the number of relevant documents required to be produced will constitute a small fraction of the total documents generated by your search terms. Instead, as to them, we ask that you re-consider our November 3 counter-proposal of search terms, and note that we remain willing to meet and confer on them (or on any other proposals you might proffer) in a last effort to agree on search terms and thereby avoid being unduly burdened with overbroad search terms that have no other apparent purpose than to require us to engage in unnecessary and wasteful review of thousands of documents that have nothing to do with his litigation.

As for the Icahn production, because Icahn does not have the capability of running your proposed search terms, we have been compelled to have Icahn transmit to our vendor all electronic files of each custodian to run the search terms. This burdensome task – involving hundreds of gigabytes of data – will require several weeks to accomplish. However, based on what has been run so far, we already know the obvious, to wit, that many of your Icahn search terms suffer from the same infirmities noted above with regard to CVR, and, will have to be modified.

Moreover, with respect to the “CIcahn@sfire.com” email account, this is an email address never used or offered to anyone by Mr. Icahn or his assistants, and of the thousands of unsolicited emails sent to this address, neither Mr. Icahn nor any other employees who has access to these emails has ever responded to any of them. It is the functional equivalent of a “junk mail” folder. Consequently, we see no need to review and generate documents pertaining to that e-mail account according to your search terms or any other search terms.

Finally, we reiterate what is indicated above: our refusal to agree to the improper designation of Herbert Beigel as a custodian.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

Vincent Levy
Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10019
(646) 837-5120 (office)
(917) 669-0870 (mobile)
www.hsgllp.com

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received

this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

<Update-Wachtell_Search_Hit_Results.xlsx>

Tab 11

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert Vidulich](#)
Subject: CVR v. Wachtell
Date: Wednesday, January 10, 2018 10:35:39 PM
Attachments: [SearchHits_2018110_CVR all terms - Lipinski & Walter Results.xls](#)
[SearchHit Report Overview.docx](#)

Vince:

As you requested, attached is a detailed chart of the hit results on your search terms for CVR and an explanation of the chart.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

Here's an explanation of the search hit report. BTW, because of hit overlap (the same document responding to multiple terms) none of the columns in the hit report will ever add up to the total.

Hits: The number of documents that contained the search term. There is no hierarchy. If the document responded to the hit, regardless of other searches, it is counted. See the example Hits. In the chart below notice that are 8 documents that contain hits but in reality only 7 unique documents responded to terms 1 - 3. This is so because document E responded to 2 terms. See, you can't add up the hits count column because of overlap.

Related: A related document is a document that is related to the document with the hit but does not contain the search term itself. For example, if you have an email with 3 attachments (a family) and the email contains the search term but the attachments don't, you have 1 hit with 3 related. See example Related. In the chart below notice that are 4 related documents but in reality there only 3 unique documents because document G is related to documents that responded to Terms 1 and 3. Again, you can't add up the hits count column because of overlap.

Unique: The number of documents that responded to the search term and *did not respond to any other terms*.

Unique Families: A unique family is a situation where one or more of the documents in an email and attachment family contains the search term and none of the documents in the family contain any other search terms.

	Term 1	Term 2	Term 3
Hits (green)	3 (A, D, E)	3 (C, H, I)	2 (E, F)
Related	3 (B, F, G)	0	1 (G)
Unique	2 (A, D)	3 (C, H, I)	0
Unique Family	2 (A/B)	3 (C, H/I)	0

Hits	Term 1 - 3	Term 2 - 3	Term 3 - 2
	email (A)	email (A)	email (A)
	attachment (B)	attachment (b)	attachment (B)
	email (C)	email (C)	email (C)
	email (D)	email (D)	email (D)
	email (E)	email (E)	email (E)
	attachment (F)	attachment (F)	attachment (F)
	attachment (G)	attachment (G)	attachment (G)
	email (H)	email (H)	email (H)
	attachment (I)	attachment (I)	attachment (I)

Related	Term 1 - 3	Term 2 - 0	Term 3 - 1
	email (A)	email (A)	email (A)
	attachment (B)	attachment (b)	attachment (B)
	email (C)	email (C)	email (C)
	email (D)	email (D)	email (D)
	email (E)	email (E)	email (E)
	attachment (F)	attachment (F)	attachment (F)
	attachment (G)	attachment (G)	attachment (G)
	email (H)	email (H)	email (H)
	attachment (I)	attachment (I)	attachment (I)

Unique	Term 1 - 2	Term 2 - 3	Term 3 - 0
	email (A)	email (A)	email (A)
	attachment (B)	attachment (b)	attachment (B)
	email (C)	email (C)	email (C)
	email (D)	email (D)	email (D)
	email (E)	email (E)	email (E)
	attachment (F)	attachment (F)	attachment (F)
	attachment (G)	attachment (G)	attachment (G)
	email (H)	email (H)	email (H)
	attachment (I)	attachment (I)	attachment (I)

Unique Family	Term 1 - 2	Term 2 - 3	Term 3 - 0
	email (A)	email (A)	email (A)
	attachment (B)	attachment (b)	attachment (B)
	email (C)	email (C)	email (C)
	email (D)	email (D)	email (D)
	email (E)	email (E)	email (E)
	attachment (F)	attachment (F)	attachment (F)
	attachment (G)	attachment (G)	attachment (G)
	email (H)	email (H)	email (H)
	attachment (I)	attachment (I)	attachment (I)



Search List: REQSTD-CVR-Lipinski & Walter

Alias	Search Term	Term Id	Full Text Hits	Docs Returned		Related Docs		Total Docs		Unique Families		Unique Documents		File Size		Related File Size		Total File Size		Total Docs Breakdown by Type		
				Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Emails	Attachments	Loose Files
	Full Text Contains malpractice And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	161	4,904	1,422	1,422	2,665	2,665	4,087	4,087	50	50	33	33	1,580	1,580	1,120	1,120	2,700	2,700	1,283	2,804	0
	Full Text Contains Stroock or "strock.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	162	1,836	280	280	112	112	392	392	26	26	15	15	0.020	0.020	0.010	0.010	0.020	0.020	266	126	0
	Full Text Contains Matelech or "matelech@kelso.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	163	3,055	777	777	813	813	1,590	1,590	217	217	244	244	0.260	0.260	0.370	0.370	0.620	0.620	525	1,065	0
	Full Text Contains Tomkins or "tomkinsme@gmail.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	164	1,427	310	310	494	494	804	804	7	7	7	7	0.070	0.070	0.220	0.220	0.290	0.290	182	622	0
	Full Text Contains Brady And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	165	2,891	1,297	1,297	846	846	2,143	2,143	244	244	228	228	0.290	0.290	0.120	0.120	0.410	0.410	1,240	903	0
	Full Text Contains Bandy And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	166	419	384	384	177	177	561	561	0	0	0	0	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.020	0.020	384	177	0
	Full Text Contains "cease and desist" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	167	11,412	1,517	1,517	2,691	2,691	4,208	4,208	10	10	14	14	1.100	1.100	1.220	1.220	2.310	2.310	963	3,245	0
	Full Text Contains "question 159.02" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	168	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
	Full Text Contains (comp or comp* or pay or pay* or fee or fee*) w/10 disclos* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	208	261,960	35,073	35,073	30,682	30,682	65,755	65,755	11,374	11,374	10,072	10,072	22,500	22,500	5,150	5,150	27,650	27,650	26,569	39,186	0
	Full Text Contains customary w/20 (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	209	79,040	7,977	7,977	13,611	13,611	21,588	21,588	688	688	528	528	12,040	12,040	2,790	2,790	14,820	14,820	5,294	16,294	0
	Full Text Contains Fields And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	210	16,190	4,770	4,770	4,706	4,706	9,476	9,476	1,087	1,087	735	735	3,130	3,130	0.630	0.630	3,760	3,760	4,217	5,259	0
	Full Text Contains "atfhsj.com" or "atfriedfrank.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	211	30,548	4,308	4,308	3,714	3,714	8,022	8,022	1,359	1,359	1,151	1,151	0.090	0.090	1.000	1.000	1.090	1.090	4,221	3,801	0
	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duesch* or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (sett* or resolv*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	212	692,720	19,902	19,902	22,799	22,799	42,701	42,701	2,290	2,290	2,480	2,480	17,890	17,890	3,970	3,970	21,860	21,860	13,907	28,794	0
	Full Text Contains "CD&I" or "compliance and disclosure interpretations" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	213	209	106	106	61	61	167	167	17	17	19	19	0.010	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.010	106	61	0
	Full Text Contains guidance and (advise* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	214	4,667,119	17,878	17,878	18,784	18,784	36,662	36,662	1,147	1,147	1,444	1,444	14,540	14,540	3,390	3,390	17,930	17,930	14,247	22,415	0
	Full Text Contains Wachtel or Wachtel* or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper or 14d or 14d* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	215	69,493	10,259	10,259	10,358	10,358	20,617	20,617	2,748	2,748	2,836	2,836	4,890	4,890	2,950	2,950	7,840	7,840	8,073	12,544	0
	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duesch* or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (ratif* or fee or fee* or comp or comp* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or "engagement letter" or EL) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	216	4,566,982	21,929	21,929	17,996	17,996	39,925	39,925	4,043	4,043	6,635	6,635	16,310	16,310	3,910	3,910	20,220	20,220	15,553	24,372	0
	Full Text Contains Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel* or hbeigel* [other than Herbert Beigel] And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	217	81,425	8,247	8,247	5,418	5,418	13,665	13,665	3,575	3,575	3,163	3,163	1,680	1,680	1,760	1,760	3,440	3,440	7,698	5,967	0
	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff) w/20 (subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtel* or WLRK or WL) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	218	61,254	10,083	10,083	13,747	13,747	23,830	23,830	1,425	1,425	1,072	1,072	7,450	7,450	3,730	3,730	11,180	11,180	7,631	16,199	0
	Full Text Contains "atsec.gov And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	219	5,170	1,318	1,318	637	637	1,955	1,955	619	619	597	597	0.030	0.030	0.120	0.120	0.150	0.150	1,309	646	0
	Full Text Contains "Fried Frank" or FriedFrank or FF And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack;Walter, John)	220	34,236	5,978	5,978	4,694	4,694	10,672	10,672	732	732	744	744	1,410	1,410	1,110	1,110	2,520	2,520	4,696	5,976	0

	All	Not Exported
Documents with Search Hits:	69,951	69,951
Related Documents:	33,990	33,990
Total Documents:	103,941	103,941
Size in GB:	32.134	32.134

Emails:	50,196
Attachments:	53,745
Loose Files:	0

Tab 12

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (646) 837-5151
Fax: (646) 837-5150
www.hsgllp.com

Vincent Levy
(646) 837-5120
vlevy@hsgllp.com

January 11, 2018

Herbert Beigel, Esq.
Law Offices of Herbert Beigel
38327 South Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739

Re: *Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz v. CVR Energy, Inc., et al.*, No. 654343/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.) (the “State Action”)
CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen, & Katz, et al., No. 14-cv-06566 (S.D.N.Y.) (the “Federal Action”)

Dear Herb:

I write in follow-up to our prior correspondence concerning three matters of document discovery addressed in our previous meet and confers. Please note that I will respond under separate cover to the issues raised in your January 8 email.

First, my January 4 letter informed you that CVR’s production of documents related to the settlement of the bank action was deficient. I have not received a response. We reiterate our request for a complete production of documents related to the settlement of the bank action, including but not limited to all mediation statements; settlement demands, offers and counteroffers, and any responses thereto; term sheets; agreements in principle; and all documents and communications concerning the same, whether internal or external; as well as a log of any withheld documents. Please confirm that this production will be made by the Court-ordered deadline of January 31.

Second, after you committed in our meet and confer on December 18 to send us a revised version of CVR’s previously provided privilege log, on December 19 you informed me that you could not provide this revised log by December 31 due to the holidays. In light of your concern about the timing, we requested that you send the revised log by January 15. In response, you said that you would do your best to send the revised log by that date. We require the opportunity for timely review of the information in the revised privilege log in advance of January 31, and we therefore reiterate our request for confirmation that you will provide the revised log by January 15.

Finally, in our December 18 meet and confer, and in your email of December 19, you stated that CVR will not seek as damages in this action any attorneys' fees for work performed by you or Mr. Viducich, but instead will only seek "out-of-pocket expenses" related to your work for CVR. Please confirm that, as required, you will promptly update your Rule 26(a) disclosures to reflect this representation concerning the damages CVR seeks in this action.

If you do not intend to provide the confirmations requested above, then we request a meet and confer to address these subjects. Please let me know any time you are available on January 12. We reserve all our rights.

Sincerely,

/s/ Vincent Levy

Vincent Levy

Tab 13

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert R. Viducich](#); [Matthew Gurgel](#)
Subject: Re: CVR
Date: Thursday, January 11, 2018 10:51:06 AM

Vince

I see no reason to respond to your weekly self serving letters, which are not designed to accomplish anything constructive and are misguided attempts to turn discovery into a game that has no end. This is particularly true since you once again ignore my simple questions, e.g., dates for depositions during the last 3 weeks in March that we both want to take.

If you wish to discuss any areas of concern, i can entertain you next week, though i see no need for a meet and confer until you propose acceptable search terms to replace your overbroad CVR terms which will be largely the case for Icahn as well and respond to the many other requests which we have made and you continue to ignore. In the meantime I will continue to periodically report to you on the progress of our document production.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215(fax)

On Jan 11, 2018, at 8:35 AM, Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com> wrote:

Dear Herb,

Please see the enclosed letter.

Thank you,
Vince

Vincent Levy
Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10019
(646) 837-5120 (office)
(917) 669-0870 (mobile)
www.hsgllp.com

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

<1-11 letter to H Beigel re document discovery.pdf>

Tab 14

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert Vidulich](#)
Subject: GS/DB settlement
Date: Friday, January 12, 2018 12:34:22 AM

Vince;

By the way, against my better judgment, I will explain the obvious to you, as someone once said, I forgive them for they know not what they do.

I sent you my and Rob's entire non privileged correspondence regarding the GS/DB settlement as a courtesy, although we are not custodians.

All other documents responsive to your request are captured and will be produced in due course, pursuant to your ridiculously overbroad search terms.

Since you don't seem capable of understanding the obvious, a simple phone call would have corrected your gross misunderstanding.

So, courtesy, non required production, will cease if your response will be, as always, inane, self-serving, letters that serve no legitimate purpose.

You can look all you want for bedbugs under the mattress, but they simply don't exist.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

Tab 15

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert Vidulich](#)
Subject: 2014 Privilege Log
Date: Thursday, January 11, 2018 10:02:04 PM

Vince

It turns out that It will not be possible to provide you by [January 15](#) with a revised version of the privilege logs that were sent to prior counsel in 2014 to which no objection was made.

I have and will continue to work on a revision in good faith, which will now require vendor assistance, as among the thousands of emails to and from me, a host of them are duplicates and unrelated to the litigation and non-responsive.

However, prior to completion of the categorical log(s) I am working on (as contemplated by the rules), you will receive a list of the categories, albeit few in number for obvious reasons.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
Hbeigel@me.com
520-825-1995. Office
520-869-5836 Mobile

Tab 16

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (646) 837-5151
Fax: (646) 837-5150
www.hsgllp.com

Vincent Levy
(646) 837-5120
vlevy@hsgllp.com

January 12, 2018

Herbert Beigel, Esq.
Law Offices of Herbert Beigel
38327 South Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739

Re: *Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz v. CVR Energy, Inc., et al.*, No. 654343/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.) (the “State Action”)
CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen, & Katz, et al., No. 14-cv-06566 (S.D.N.Y.) (the “Federal Action”)

Dear Herb:

I write in response to your email of January 8, 2018 addressing the status of CVR’s and Icahn’s document collection and review efforts. We disagree with much of your email, which contains numerous mischaracterizations of our prior discussions and of the nature of the search and disclosure protocol agreed upon by the parties. I do not undertake to address each of these deficiencies here, but respond below to a number of the key points in your email:

CVR and Icahn Search and Disclosure Protocol. In our December 18 meet and confer, you committed that CVR and Icahn would review the documents identified by the searches that Wachtell Lipton proposed for the period October 25, 2013 to May 17, 2017. The scope and search terms for those searches were heavily negotiated and on the basis of your commitment to honor those search terms, we did not present the Court with a dispute concerning CVR’s and Icahn’s search protocol for that time period. Moreover, your commitment to carry out that search and disclosure protocol was also the basis for CVR to request an extension of the fact discovery deadline in order to permit time to, among other things, produce documents by January 31, 2018 based on the agreed-upon search. We never agreed, and do not agree, that CVR (or Icahn) can unilaterally decline to run and review any of the agreed-upon searches on the ground that they now deem the search to have identified too many documents.

We also disagree that the hit results you have sent suggest that the agreed-upon search terms are “overbroad.” CVR has sued Wachtell Lipton for malpractice and seeks tens of millions of dollars in damages. Parties routinely search and review hundreds of thousands of

documents in such circumstances. The search we have proposed is entirely consistent with the matters your clients have chosen to put at issue in this lawsuit.

We further disagree that the nine search strings itemized in your email (searches 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14, 17 and 20) “were clearly not reasonably designed to capture relevant documents and need to be modified.” These searches are directly relevant to the claims and defenses in this case. They concern: Wachtell Lipton and the specific attorneys involved in the CVR engagement (1); Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank, their respective engagement letters, and the substance and nature of the disclosures made in connection therewith (2, 4, 5, 17); the SEC investigation (10, 13 and 14); and the SEC’s guidance concerning banker fee disclosures (20). Your claims that these terms are “overbroad” are therefore meritless. Indeed, it is apparent that you have undertaken nothing to substantiate your claim of overbreadth, for example by doing sample testing for responsiveness of the terms you have identified (which on December 28 you stated you would do).

Nevertheless, and solely in an effort to resolve the matter, we are willing to narrow search term 13, as well as change all of the searches of “comp*” to “compen*” and “fee*” to “fees,” which affects search strings 2, 4, 5 and 20 (as numbered in your January 8 hit report). The relevant revised search strings are below:

- 2. (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) /10 disclos*
- 4. (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (ratif* or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or “engagement letter” or EL)
- 5. customary /20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*)
- 13. (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) /3 Fields
- 20. guidance and (advis* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*)

Please confirm that you will begin reviewing immediately all of the agreed-upon searches, subject to the foregoing modifications, in order to complete disclosure by January 31.

The Deletion of Gross’s Email Files. We are very concerned to learn, more than three years after Edmund Gross left CVR’s employment, that Mr. Gross’s emails have been “somehow inadvertently lost” by CVR. This news is particularly surprising because the files concern a period when CVR was prosecuting and defending multiple lawsuits and an investigation in which Mr. Gross was a key witness, and because the files therefore must have been subject to a litigation hold. Your email raises many questions regarding this serious topic. Please let us know promptly: (1) whether the retention issue concerns solely Mr. Gross’s email files, or all of his electronic documents; (2) when CVR determined that it had not retained Mr. Gross’s documents; (3) what specifically was involved in what you described as the “thorough search of the network” that was conducted by CVR or its representatives to come to this determination; (4) your basis for asserting that “it’s likely they were somehow inadvertently lost during a

technological change involving CVR's computer servers," including a description of the nature and circumstances of the referenced "technological change involving CVR's computer servers"; and (5) whether CVR maintains any back-up tapes or archival systems that might contain Mr. Gross' documents and whether CVR has searched those locations.

We disagree that CVR's spoliation of evidence has not prejudiced my clients. Mr. Gross was a key percipient witness for most of the events underlying CVR's claims, and Defendants are entitled to full discovery of his documents related to those subject matters. Defendants reserve all rights in this regard, including to seek any and all appropriate relief for CVR's conduct.

Progress Specifically on the Icahn Search and Disclosure Protocol. A month ago you agreed to run agreed-upon searches on files in the possession of Icahn, which are due to be produced, at the latest, on January 31, 2018. Your letter appears to anticipate a delay in that production, although the reasons for that delay are all entirely foreseeable and predictable. The schedule for document discovery in this action has already been substantially delayed by your unwillingness to respect court deadlines and your clients' undertakings. Please understand that we expect Icahn to complete its production by January 31, 2018, and if necessary we will seek to enforce the deadlines that have been set by the Court.

As to Mr. Icahn's CIcahn@sfire.com email address, you have represented that the "email address [has] never [been] used or offered to anyone by Mr. Icahn or his assistants," and that it only contains unsolicited emails. Please send us a hit report for Mr. Icahn's @sfire.com address so that we are in a better position to assess whether it would be appropriate to review the emails maintained in the account.

Beigel Files. CVR's continued refusal to search your files for responsive documents is meritless. As explained in my January 4, 2018 letter, courts repeatedly have held that an attorney's files are within the control of the client and are therefore the proper subject of party discovery. You have identified no authority to the contrary. We appear at impasse. Absent notice from you that you have revised your position, we will take this issue up with the Court.

We reserve all our rights.

Sincerely,

/s/ Vincent Levy

Vincent Levy

Tab 17

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert Vidulich](#); [Matthew Gurgel](#)
Subject: Re: CVR document discovery
Date: Friday, January 12, 2018 8:27:15 PM

Vince

Once again you send a missive which mischaracterizes our position, misstates the facts, and otherwise is nothing more than attempted harassment.

I told you I would be please to chat with your about any of your concerns, despite the fact that your client has made no attempt to respond to any of our requests other than to stonewall them.

For now, I have this to say: The time period following October 25, 2013 is completely irrelevant except for two undisputed simple facts: the SEC investigation and resulting Order. All of the alleged malpractice occurred during the first half of 2012,. Your bald statement that what occurred from 2014-2017 is relevant is beyond ridiculous. That said, I tentatively agreed to your ridiculous time period in the spirit of cooperation, but that was my mistake because you have no capacity to be cooperative or reasonable. As for the search terms, I invite you to convince the court why plaintiff should search through hundreds of thousands of emails that post date the alleged malpractice by as much as over 4 and a half years.

I also made it clear that our willingness to conduct a review of documents generated from the search terms depended on the number of hits. A so-called quick peak is hardly necessary given the huge amount of documents that would be generated and, as an earlier email from you reflects (insisting on negotiating a detailed micromanaged protocol for a so-called quick peak) would accomplish nothing in the way of getting you to be reasonable, because you are constitutionally unreasonable (or you are under instructions from an unreasonable client).

I will consider your proposed revised search terms after consultation with my IT vendor and get back to you in due course. You have only yourself to blame if the January 31 date comes and goes, because, frankly, you have no reasonable need for any further production anyway, including from Mr. Gross. Nevertheless, you will receive a substantial number of responsive, though irrelevant documents, by 1/31, so you can waste your time reviewing non relevant documents and inventing something else to complain about.

Finally, I find it noteworthy and frankly comical that you are incapable of even cooperating on dates for depositions you wish to take or even offering dates for your clients' depositions. You are actively aggressive in seeking irrelevant discovery and ironically equally aggressive in resisting what is relevant, your clients' testimony.

In short, your tactics remind me of the tactics of...[feel free to pick the most suitable person to fill in].

You may call me anytime if you wish to discuss any matters related to the pending litigation.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com <<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>>

On Jan 12, 2018, at 5:23 PM, Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com <<mailto:vlevy@hsgllp.com>> > wrote:

Herb,

Please see the enclosed. Thanks.

Vincent Levy
Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10019
(646) 837-5120 (office)
(917) 669-0870 (mobile)
www.hsgllp.com <<http://www.hsgllp.com/>>

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

<Letter from V. Levy to H. Beigel.pdf>

Tab 18

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (646) 837-5151
Fax: (646) 837-5150
www.hsgllp.com

Vincent Levy
(646) 837-5120
vlevy@hsgllp.com

January 12, 2018

Herbert Beigel, Esq.
Law Offices of Herbert Beigel
38327 South Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739

Re: *CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen, & Katz, et al.*, No. 14-cv-06566 (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Herb,

Enclosed are notices of depositions of you and your clients, CVR and Messrs. Schaitkin, Icahn, Walter, and Lipinski, as well as an amended notice of deposition of Fried Frank, in accordance with the schedule that has been set by the Court in this action. As set forth in my January 5 letter, we will consider requests for reasonable adjustments to the noticed dates for witnesses you represent, but we expect depositions to proceed in an orderly fashion beginning in February, following completion of document discovery.

As to Wachtell Lipton's witnesses, Mr. Roth is available on February 15, 23, 27 or 28, and Mr. Brownstein is available on March 1, 8 or 9. Please let us know which of these dates you would like to confirm for the relevant depositions. We are reviewing your deposition notice served on Wachtell Lipton pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) and will follow up separately regarding that notice.

Sincerely,

/s/ Vincent Levy

Vincent Levy

Tab 19

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Matthew Gurgel](#)
Cc: [Robert R. Vidulich](#); [Vincent Levy](#)
Subject: Re: CVR
Date: Saturday, January 13, 2018 6:29:11 PM

Aside from being unavailable until the second week of March, I am unavailable for depositions on Mondays. Therefore your date for March 26 is unacceptable. Suggest a different date and I will check with Mr. Icahn,

Herb

Herbert Beigel
Hbeigel@me.com
520-825-1995. Office
520-869-5836 Mobile

On Jan 12, 2018, at 7:00 PM, Matthew Gurgel <mgurgel@hsgllp.com> wrote:

Herb and Rob,

Please see the attached letter and accompanying deposition notices and subpoenas, which are being served pursuant to our agreement to accept service via email.

Regards,
Matt

Matthew Gurgel
Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10019
(646) 837-5137 (office)
(347) 414-3076 (mobile)
www.hsgllp.com

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

<1-12-18 Levy Letter to H Beigel re Depositions.pdf>

<Amended Notice of 30(b)(6) Deposition to CVR.PDF>

<Amended Notice of Deposition (Beigel).pdf>

<Amended Notice of Deposition (Icahn).pdf>

<Amended Notice of Deposition (Lipinski).pdf>

<Notice of Deposition (Walter).pdf>

<Amended Notice of Subpoena and Subpoena (Schaitkin).pdf>

<Amended Notice of 30(b)(6) Subpoena to Fried Frank and Subpoena.pdf>

Tab 20

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert Vidulich](#)
Subject: Fwd: Wachtell
Date: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 9:43:16 AM

Vince

Below are the responses to your questions concerning the missing Gross electronic documents. I reiterate that the missing emails are of no consequence or relevance in view of my previous email to you that Gross had no non privileged communications with anyone concerning the SEC investigation.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->1. <!--[endif]-->whether the retention issue concerns solely Mr. Gross's email files, or all of his electronic documents;

Just his e-mails, other than two small .PST exports that were found and are older than the date range requested by the search. His documents still exist and will be reviewed to determine whether any of them relate to the SEC investigation and whether they are privileged. There is no need to run your over broad search terms.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->2. <!--[endif]-->when CVR determined that it had not retained Mr. Gross's documents;

CVR could not find it after a basic search on 12/19, and confirmed after searching the enterprise for it that it was indeed nowhere to be found by 12/29, after one more final look CVR closed the search for his email on 01/03.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->3. <!--[endif]-->what specifically was involved in what you described as the "thorough search of the network" that was conducted by CVR or its representatives to come to this determination;

Mailbox lookup in Exchange

Mailbox lookup in Archive

PowerShell scripts run against every workstation and server in the enterprise looking for .PST, .XLS, .XLSX (Common formats that exported email can be found in) containing "Ned," "Gross," "Edmund," and "ESGROSS."

<!--[if !supportLists]-->4. <!--[endif]-->your basis for asserting that "it's likely they were somehow inadvertently lost during a technological change involving CVR's computer servers," including a description of the nature and circumstances of the referenced "technological change involving CVR's computer servers"; and

IT (System Engineers specifically) are the only group of individuals that would have access to delete a mailbox. Upon review of root cause, CVR found that an IT

(System Engineer) contractor on 03/10/2016 ran the delete command on Ned's mailbox. It appears this was due to emergency maintenance cleanup, because of exchange outages from running out of space.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->5. <!--[endif]-->whether CVR maintains any back-up tapes or archival systems that might contain Mr. Gross documents and whether CVR has searched those locations.

Backups are only 30 days, which is in alignment with the SOX narratives.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215(fax)

Tab 21

From: [Vincent Levy](#)
To: [Herbert Beigel](#)
Cc: [Robert Viducich](#)
Subject: RE: CVR v. Wachtell
Date: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 6:15:00 PM

Herb: On December 18, you agreed that CVR and Icahn would review and disclose nonprivileged, responsive documents identified by the search protocol we sent you on December 15. That agreement was the product of negotiations between us, entered into very late in the discovery period originally contemplated in the action, and was not qualified. We have since, as a further accommodation to you, proposed modifications to certain of the December 15 search strings – which, to be clear, would apply to both the CVR and Icahn search strings – and believe that you should review for responsiveness all documents generated by the modified protocol. That disclosure should take place, under the Court's order, by January 31.

We cannot tell from your emails whether your clients intend to search and produce documents in accordance with our agreement. To ensure there is no confusion, and to identify the dispute between the parties on this matter (if any), please confirm whether your clients will review the hit results for all search strings set forth in our December 15 search protocol (as modified in my January 12 letter) and produce all responsive, non-privilege documents from those hits. If there are any specific search strings for which, contrary to our prior agreement, your clients do not intend to review the hits and produce responsive, non-privileged documents, please identify them.

Thank you,
Vince

-----Original Message-----

From: Herbert Beigel [<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>]
Sent: Saturday, January 13, 2018 7:03 AM
To: Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvllaw.com>
Subject: CVR v. Wachtell

Vince

I have asked my vendor to prepare a new hit report for the modified search terms in your letter, which cannot be started until Tuesday because of the holiday, as you did not bother responding to my objections until Friday night. My objections to the other listed search terms remain.

As for Mr. Icahn i reviewed a representative sampling of emails sent to his address, none of which are relevant to the claims in the litigation.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215(fax)

Tab 22

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert Vidulich](#)
Subject: Re: CVR v. Wachtell
Date: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 6:56:32 PM

Vince:

Please be informed that the first production of documents for Lipinski per the reduced number of search terms will yield very few documents, after all. A review of the output I've now received shows what I cautioned against: nearly all of the documents netted by your overbroad search terms and time period are "false positives," as nearly all of them are non-responsive, either documents having nothing to do with the SEC investigation or the Banks settlement, or documents that are post commencement of the Bank and Wachtell litigation and SEC investigation (which documents we objected to producing long before you were hired, and afterward -- consistent with the normal practice and your own clients' objections to CVR's document requests).

The foregoing is consistent with what you've been informed repeatedly: the fact is that the entire SEC investigation was handled by CVR's outside counsel (and I understand that you already have Fried Frank's documents, as well as mine for the time period after I took over the investigation from them). And, there are simply no written communications between CVR or Icahn and the SEC regarding the investigation.

Finally, any agreement to do review of documents was always conditioned on the hit reports and the results and my review thus far clearly indicates that responsive, relevant, non-privileged documents will at most be a tiny percentage of the total.

In short, your document requests were designed to find a non-existent needle in an enormous haystack of irrelevant documents.

I respectfully urge you to withdraw your requests entirely and in turn I will endeavor to produce relevant, responsive documents regarding the SEC investigation (already completed by Fried Frank and me) and the GS-DB settlement. I see no reason to search for what does not exist or is otherwise irrelevant or privileged.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

On Jan 16, 2018, at 4:15 PM, Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com> wrote:

Herb: On December 18, you agreed that CVR and Icahn would review and disclose nonprivileged, responsive documents identified by the search protocol we sent you on December 15. That agreement was the product of negotiations between us, entered into very late in the discovery period originally contemplated in the action, and was not qualified. We have since, as a further accommodation to you, proposed modifications to certain of the December 15 search strings - which, to be clear, would apply to both the CVR and Icahn search strings - and believe that you should review for responsiveness all documents generated by the modified protocol. That disclosure should take place, under the Court's order, by January 31.

We cannot tell from your emails whether your clients intend to search and produce documents in accordance with our agreement. To ensure there is no confusion, and to identify the dispute between the parties on this matter (if any), please confirm whether your clients will review the hit results for all search strings set forth in our December 15 search protocol (as modified in my January 12 letter) and produce all responsive, non-privilege documents from those hits. If there are any specific search strings for which, contrary to our prior agreement, your clients do not intend to review the hits and produce responsive, non-privileged documents, please identify them.

Thank you,
Vince

Vincent Levy
Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10019
(646) 837-5120 (office)
(917) 669-0870 (mobile)
www.hsgllp.com

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

-----Original Message-----

From: Herbert Beigel [mailto:hbeigel@me.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 13, 2018 7:03 AM
To: Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvlaw.com>
Subject: CVR v. Wachtell

Vince

I have asked my vendor to prepare a new hit report for the modified search terms

in your letter, which cannot be started until Tuesday because of the holiday, as you did not bother responding to my objections until Friday night. My objections to the other listed search terms remain.

As for Mr. Icahn i reviewed a representative sampling of emails sent to his address, none of which are relevant to the claims in the litigation.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215(fax)

Tab 23

From: Herbert Beigel <hbeigel@me.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 6:46 PM
To: Levy, Vincent G. (Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP)
Cc: Robert Viducich; Gleeson, John (Debevoise & Plimpton LLP)
Subject: Re: [HBA_0005 | CVR/Wachtell] -- Jesse Lynn -- v1 Filtered Results

Vince:

As I have previously stated I am not reviewing documents for the following search terms for CVR as reflected in the attached list.

1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 20.

Your suggested revisions only applied to: 2, 4, 5, 13, and 20.

Depending on the hit report for the revised search terms, I will decide whether to review those documents.

Thus, my current Lipinski review is limited to 3, 6-9, 18-19.

Regardless, I will be sending you another email stating our position on the document requests for the time period post October 25, 2013.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

On Jan 16, 2018, at 4:22 PM, Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com> wrote:

Herb: Thank you for sending the Icahn hit reports. It appears that the hit reports were run prior to our January 12 letter in which we proposed certain modifications relevant to the Icahn search strings. Please send updated hit reports reflecting these modifications.

Vincent Levy
Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10019
(646) 837-5120 (office)
(917) 669-0870 (mobile)
www.hsgllp.com

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole

use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

From: Herbert Beigel [<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 3:06 AM
To: Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvlaw.com>; John Gleeson <jgleeson@debevoise.com>
Subject: Fwd: [HBA_0005 | CVR/Wachtell] -- Jesse Lynn -- v1 Filtered Results

Vince:

Below and attached is information regarding Jesse Lynn documents. I will forward you similar information for other Icahn custodians which have been completed. As I have already informed you, generating the documents is extremely time consuming as more than a million documents have to be sent to my vendor to run your over broad search terms and a time period, which for more than 75 percent of that period generate nothing relevant to the SEC investigation, including before May 2014 and for more than a year after the SEC depositions of Lipinski and Gross, when nothing happened, as reflected by the emails with the SEC I previously sent to you.

Moreover, having received from Fried Frank more than 300,000 documents, most of which you already had, as they bear bates stamps from the Bank and Wachtell state action, your completely inappropriate discovery demands were, from any perspective, unreasonable and unnecessary, and has made it impossible to complete document production, even if you now substantially narrow your search terms and time period, by January 31.

Once the Icahn search hit reports are completed, I will inform you, as I did on CVR, which search term documents I will not review for production, absent an agreement on appropriate revised search terms and a substantial narrowing of the time period.

For once, you might consider cooperating in good faith, but given your past obstreperous conduct, I can only say I will be pleasantly surprised if you do.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way Tucson, AZ 85739-
520-825-1995
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215(fax)

Begin forwarded message:

From: Ryck Berube <Ryck.Berube@omniverse.com>
Date: January 11, 2018 at 4:47:27 PM MST
To: Herbert Beigel <hbeigel@me.com>
Cc: Process PM's <processpms@omniverse.com>, Tom Tigh <tom.tigh@omniverse.com>
Subject: [HBA_0005 | CVR/Wachtell] -- Jesse Lynn -- v1 Filtered Results

Hi Herb,

Here are the details on the [Jesse Lynn data](#) that has now been ingested, de-duped and filtered as requested.

Corresponding reports attached for your convenience.

463K+ docs Total documents ingested
400K+ docs Total documents ***minus*** dupes/exclusions

95K+ docs Total responsive hits to **REQSTD v1 Terms**

Upon review, let us know how else we can assist before our next update.

Thanks,
 Ryck ~

<image001.png>

Ryck Berube
Senior Project Manager
 Office: 713.739.7883 ext.322 | Mobile: 713.498.3616
 1301 Fannin | Suite 750 | Houston TX 77002

OmniVere
www.OmniVere.com

This communication contains proprietary information and may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, the reading, copying, disclosure or other use of the contents of this e-mail is strictly prohibited and you are instructed to please delete this e-mail immediately.

From: HK Driver [<mailto:hkdriver@sfire.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2018 12:07 PM
To: Ryck Berube <Ryck.Berube@omnivere.com>; Tom Tigh <tom.tigh@omnivere.com>; Process PM's <processpms@omnivere.com>
Cc: Herbert Beigel <hbeigel@me.com>; Dustin Goodwin <DGoodwin@ielp.com>
Subject: Jlynn download complete

Jesse Lynn's export is complete.
 Total emails: 312, 407
 Total size: 28.3gb

Jesse Lynn

Zip File name	MB Size	# Emails
ALL-Jlynn-3-1514928228793	388.51	2725
ALL-Jlynn-3-1514909551902	477.73	2310
ALL-Jlynn-3-1514916783199	478.10	2982
ALL-Jlynn-3-1514922299652	388.15	2725
ALL-Jlynn-3-1514904918229	481.55	2698
ALL-Jlynn-3-1514859379366	483.64	2242
ALL-Jlynn-3-1514904365344	481.55	2698
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514346225455	483.33	3641
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514284510137	477.22	2126
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514350575182	190.07	1395

ALL-Jlynn-2-1515282140098	477.91	3485
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515277855357	484.76	4332
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515271777479	501.18	5054
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515265884660	479.55	5345
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515189225090	481.32	4541
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515100947325	477.91	3028
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515110218690	483.08	1722
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515002678720	487.60	3842
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515010793665	480.77	4593
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515019646975	478.06	4088
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514997482720	478.91	7274
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514928889182	478.67	7285
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514920763787	477.57	2096
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514907810027	477.64	2646
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514915966615	478.56	7266
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514850566335	528.46	2713
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514904107497	480.87	2563
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514859097209	479.35	1063
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514687932685	478.42	2938
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514826439183	481.11	7868
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514665950204	477.76	2296
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514916163929	480.00	14343
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514679910178	482.76	3728
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514930233907	456.70	17405
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514903678895	479.93	12663
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514850188236	495.79	13138
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514826175859	479.14	9985
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514607805258	486.00	3851
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514667457097	480.17	10580
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514652387973	412.42	7009
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514581461896	480.03	5724
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514603832238	478.47	7129
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514587195408	478.14	5513
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514575814489	478.26	4731
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514570858187	478.41	6403
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514565045302	480.80	6158
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514496084923	482.13	6523
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514559409583	478.68	4811
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514489966316	478.69	5055
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514473339682	479.67	4565
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514485549024	480.52	4977
AALL-Jlynn-0-1514414093758	478.27	4645
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514465039613	478.15	2512

ALL-Jlynn-0-1514404985160	477.98	3403
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514409503790	477.93	5361
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514425588836	478.96	4656
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514430222294	477.84	3873
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514658375234	480.06	6217
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514679639511	480.73	7930
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514687554374	479.27	7939
	28315.21	312407

This message and any attachments may contain information that is confidential, proprietary or protected by privilege from disclosure. If you are not an intended recipient, please notify the sender and delete the message and any attachments without reading, printing, copying, forwarding or saving them. Thank you in advance for your cooperation and assistance.

Tab 24

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert Vidulich](#); [John Gleeson](#)
Subject: Re: [HBA_0005 | CVR/Wachtell] -- Jesse Lynn -- v1 Filtered Results
Date: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 8:39:56 PM

Vince:

Although your revisions do not solve the fatal underlying flaw in your document requests, as I have explained, I will review the documents generated by the following search terms:

2-4, 5-9, 11-12, 13, 18-20

As I previously wrote you, your revisions are highly unlikely to generate responsive, relevant, and non-privileged documents.

By week's end, I expect to finish my review of Lipinski and Walter, which I am confident will produce little or nothing to be delivered to you.

By the way I previously offered to discuss with you the wasteful and inappropriate document requests. If you want to call such a discussion a meet and confer, I won't quibble about semantics. So, it's up to you to call me.

I have no confidence we will reach an agreement, but I guess hope springs eternal.

I can assure you of one thing, however. However broad your search terms, you will receive production of a very few documents, because:

1. No one communicated with the SEC but Fried Frank lawyers and me.
2. If there were written communications regarding the banks settlement you will receive those.

Otherwise, everything else is either non responsive, irrelevant, or privilege protected from disclosure.

The ball is in your court.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

On Jan 16, 2018, at 4:45 PM, Herbert Beigel <hbeigel@me.com> wrote:

Vince:

As I have previously stated I am not reviewing documents for the following search terms for CVR as reflected in the attached list.

1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 20.

Your suggested revisions only applied to: 2, 4, 5, 13, and 20.

Depending on the hit report for the revised search terms, I will decide whether the review those documents.

Thus, my current Lipinski review is limited to 3, 6-9, 18-19.

Regardless, I will be sending you another email stating our position on the document requests for the time period post October 25, 2013.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

On Jan 16, 2018, at 4:22 PM, Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com> wrote:

Herb: Thank you for sending the Icahn hit reports. It appears that the hit reports were run prior to our January 12 letter in which we proposed certain modifications relevant to the Icahn search strings. Please send updated hit reports reflecting these modifications.

Vincent Levy
Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10019
(646) 837-5120 (office)
(917) 669-0870 (mobile)

www.hsgllp.com

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

From: Herbert Beigel [<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 3:06 AM
To: Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvlaw.com>; John Gleeson <jgleeson@debevoise.com>
Subject: Fwd: [HBA_0005 | CVR/Wachtell] -- Jesse Lynn -- v1 Filtered Results

Vince:

Below and attached is information regarding Jesse Lynn documents. I will forward you similar information for other Icahn custodians which have been completed. As I have already informed you, generating the documents is extremely time consuming as more than a million documents have to be sent to my vendor to run your over broad search terms and a time period, which for more than 75 percent of that period generate nothing relevant to the SEC investigation, including before May 2014 and for more than a year after the SEC depositions of Lipinski and Gross, when nothing happened, as reflected by the emails with the SEC I previously sent to you.

Moreover, having received from Fried Frank more than 300,000 documents, most of which you already had, as they bear bates stamps from the Bank and Wachtell state action, your completely inappropriate discovery demands were, from any perspective, unreasonable and unnecessary, and has made it impossible to complete document production, even if you now substantially narrow your search terms and time period, by January 31.

Once the Icahn search hit reports are completed, I will inform you, as I did on CVR, which search term documents I will not review for production, absent an agreement on appropriate revised search terms and a substantial narrowing of the time period.

For once, you might consider cooperating in good faith, but given your past obstreperous conduct, I can only say I will be pleasantly surprised if you do.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way Tucson, AZ 85739-
520-825-1995
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215(fax)

Begin forwarded message:

From: Ryck Berube <Ryck.Berube@omnivere.com>
Date: January 11, 2018 at 4:47:27 PM MST
To: Herbert Beigel <hbeigel@me.com>
Cc: Process PM's <processpms@omnivere.com>, Tom Tigh
<tom.tigh@omnivere.com>
Subject: [HBA_0005 | CVR/Wachtell] -- Jesse Lynn -- v1
Filtered Results

Hi Herb,

Here are the details on the **Jesse Lynn data** that has now
been ingested, de-duped and filtered as requested.

Corresponding reports attached for your convenience.

463K+ docs	Total documents ingested
400K+ docs	Total documents minus dupes/exclusions
95K+ docs	Total responsive hits to REQSTD v1 Terms

Upon review, let us know how else we can assist before our
next update.

Thanks,
Ryck ~

<image001.png>

Ryck Berube
Senior Project Manager
Office: 713.739.7883 ext.322 | Mobile: 713.498.3616
1301 Fannin | Suite 750 | Houston TX 77002

OmniVere
www.OmniVere.com

This communication contains proprietary information and may be confidential. If you are not
the intended recipient, the

reading, copying, disclosure or other use of the contents of this e-mail is strictly prohibited and you are instructed to please delete this e-mail immediately.

From: HK Driver [<mailto:hkdriver@sfire.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2018 12:07 PM
To: Ryck Berube <Ryck.Berube@omniverse.com>; Tom Tigh <tom.tigh@omniverse.com>; Process PM's <processpms@omniverse.com>
Cc: Herbert Beigel <hbeigel@me.com>; Dustin Goodwin <DGoodwin@ielp.com>
Subject: Jlynn download complete

Jesse Lynn's export is complete.

Total emails: 312, 407

Total size: 28.3gb

Jesse Lynn

Zip File name	MB Size	# Emails
ALL-Jlynn-3-1514928228793	388.51	2725
ALL-Jlynn-3-1514909551902	477.73	2310
ALL-Jlynn-3-1514916783199	478.10	2982
ALL-Jlynn-3-1514922299652	388.15	2725
ALL-Jlynn-3-1514904918229	481.55	2698
ALL-Jlynn-3-1514859379366	483.64	2242
ALL-Jlynn-3-1514904365344	481.55	2698
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514346225455	483.33	3641
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514284510137	477.22	2126
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514350575182	190.07	1395
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515282140098	477.91	3485
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515277855357	484.76	4332
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515271777479	501.18	5054
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515265884660	479.55	5345
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515189225090	481.32	4541
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515100947325	477.91	3028
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515110218690	483.08	1722
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515002678720	487.60	3842
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515010793665	480.77	4593
ALL-Jlynn-2-1515019646975	478.06	4088
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514997482720	478.91	7274
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514928889182	478.67	7285
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514920763787	477.57	2096
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514907810027	477.64	2646
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514915966615	478.56	7266
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514850566335	528.46	2713

ALL-Jlynn-2-1514904107497	480.87	2563
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514859097209	479.35	1063
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514687932685	478.42	2938
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514826439183	481.11	7868
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514665950204	477.76	2296
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514916163929	480.00	14343
ALL-Jlynn-2-1514679910178	482.76	3728
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514930233907	456.70	17405
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514903678895	479.93	12663
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514850188236	495.79	13138
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514826175859	479.14	9985
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514607805258	486.00	3851
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514667457097	480.17	10580
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514652387973	412.42	7009
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514581461896	480.03	5724
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514603832238	478.47	7129
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514587195408	478.14	5513
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514575814489	478.26	4731
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514570858187	478.41	6403
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514565045302	480.80	6158
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514496084923	482.13	6523
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514559409583	478.68	4811
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514489966316	478.69	5055
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514473339682	479.67	4565
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514485549024	480.52	4977
AALL-Jlynn-0-1514414093758	478.27	4645
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514465039613	478.15	2512
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514404985160	477.98	3403
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514409503790	477.93	5361
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514425588836	478.96	4656
ALL-Jlynn-0-1514430222294	477.84	3873
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514658375234	480.06	6217
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514679639511	480.73	7930
ALL-Jlynn-1-1514687554374	479.27	7939
	28315.21	312407

This message and any attachments may contain information that is confidential, proprietary or protected by privilege from disclosure. If you are not an intended recipient, please notify the sender and delete the message and any attachments without

reading, printing, copying, forwarding or saving them. Thank you in advance for your cooperation and assistance.

Tab 25

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Subject: Fwd: [HBA_0005 | CVR/Wachtell] --CVR Docs/Lipinski Results -- COMPLETE
Date: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 8:56:26 PM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

And the results what I did living'

Forecl0xure

Vince

Although your revisions do little to resolve the underlying problem with your document requests and search terms, I will review Lipinski and Walter documents for the following search terms:

2,4,5 6-9,13,

Herb,

These are from the request searchable PDFs for the search terms **except** for 1,, 10,, 14, 15, 16, 17

The updated hit report for all new terms received over the weekend will be provided sometime this afternoon but this delivery is NOT from those terms.

Ryck ~



Ryck Berube
Senior Project Manager
Office: 713.739.7883 ext.322
| Mobile: 713.498.3616
1301 Fannin | Suite 750 |
Houston TX 77002

OmniVere
www.OmniVere.com

This communication contains proprietary information and may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, the reading, copying, disclosure or other use of the contents of this e-mail is strictly prohibited and you are instructed to please delete this e-mail immediately.

From: Herbert Beigel [<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 12:15 PM
To: Ryck Berube <Ryck.Berube@omnivere.com>
Cc: Process PM's <processpms@omnivere.com>; Tom Tigh <tom.tigh@omnivere.com>
Subject: Re: [HBA_0005 | CVR/Wachtell] --CVR Docs/Lipinski Results -- COMPLETE

I assume this download are only PDFs of the reduced number of search terms I

sent you, right?

Herb

Herbert Beigel
Hbeigel@me.com
520-825-1995. Office
520-869-5836 Mobile

On Jan 16, 2018, at 11:03 AM, Ryck Berube <Ryck.Berube@omnivere.com> wrote:

Hi Herb,

The volume containing the searchable PDFs for the *REQSTD Lipinski Term Results* is now available at the following location:

Sharefile Link: <https://omniveredata.sharefile.com/d-s49b031301864554a> [**LipinskiResults.zip**] ~ 18.3GB compressed

Delivery Summary:

1,304 PDFs

We continue to work on the Walter searchable PDF results and will provide an update once complete.

Also, we are working on the new CVR terms (v02) and will provide the new report once available later today.

Upon review, let us know if there is anything additional we can do to assist before our next update.

Thanks,
Ryck ~

<image002.png>

Ryck Berube
Senior Project Manager

Office: 713.739.7883 ext.322 | Mobile: 713.498.3616
1301 Fannin | Suite 750 | Houston TX 77002

OmniVere
www.OmniVere.com

This communication contains proprietary information and may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, the reading, copying, disclosure or other use of the contents of this e-mail is strictly prohibited and you are instructed to please delete this e-mail immediately.

From: Herbert Beigel [<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>]

Sent: Monday, January 08, 2018 5:28 PM

To: Ryck Berube <Ryck.Berube@omniverse.com>

Subject: CVR documents

Ryck

For Lipinski and Walter, please generate searchable pdfs for the search terms except for 1, 2, 4, [5, 10](#), 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 20.

Call me with any questions.

Herb

Herbert Beigel

38327 S. Arroyo Way

Tucson, AZ 85739

520-825-1995 (office)

520-869-5836 (mobile)

520-844-6215 (efax)

hbeigel@me.com

Tab 26

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert Viducich](#)
Subject: Fwd: [HBA_0005 | CVR/Wachtell] --CVR/Lipinski & Walter -- updated v02 Filtered Results
Date: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 9:34:02 PM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)
[SearchHits_2018116_CVR_v02_Lipinski_Results.xls](#)
[SearchHits_2018116_CVR_v02_Walter_Results.xls](#)

See attached

Despite your best (?) efforts, unsuccessful, largely because you are obsessed with trying to gather irrelevant documents.

I suggest you call it quits or write the Judge why you need irrelevant documents.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

Begin forwarded message:

From: Ryck Berube <Ryck.Berube@omniverse.com>
Subject: [HBA_0005 | CVR/Wachtell] --CVR/Lipinski & Walter -- updated v02 Filtered Results
Date: January 16, 2018 at 7:21:26 PM MST
To: Herbert Beigel <hbeigel@me.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvlaw.com>, Process PM's <processpms@omniverse.com>

Herb,

Here are the *updated* details on the **Lipinski & Walter data** that has now been filtered using only the edited terms.

Corresponding reports attached for your convenience.

226K+ docs Total documents ***minus*** exclusions

37K+ docs Total responsive docs to **Lipinski v02 Terms**

36K+ docs Total responsive docs to **Walter v02 Terms**

Upon review, let us know how else we can assist before our next update.

Thanks,
Ryck ~



Ryck Berube
Senior Project Manager

Office: 713.739.7883 ext.322 | Mobile: 713.498.3616
1301 Fannin | Suite 750 | Houston TX 77002

OmniVere
www.OmniVere.com

This communication contains proprietary information and may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, the reading, copying, disclosure or other use of the contents of this e-mail is strictly prohibited and you are instructed to please delete this e-mail immediately.

From: Herbert Beigel [<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 7:13 PM

To: Ryck Berube <Ryck.Berube@omniverse.com>

Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvlaw.com>; Process PM's
<processpms@omniverse.com>

Subject: Re: [HBA_0005 | CVR/Wachtell] --CVR/Lipinski & Walter -- v02 Filtered Results

Ryck

It seems that the charts you sent me have more than the revised search terms on it.
Am I right about that?

If so, and regardless, can you used the revised search terms only to generate
another folder for each of Lipinski and Walter as to this revised search terms?

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

On Jan 16, 2018, at 5:29 PM, Ryck Berube
<Ryck.Berube@omniverse.com> wrote:

Herb,

Here are the details on the **Lipinski & Walter data** that has now been filtered using the revised terms received.

Corresponding reports attached for your convenience.

<u>226K+ docs</u>	Total documents <i>minus</i> exclusions
46K+ docs	Total responsive hits to Lipinski v02 Terms
57K+ docs	Total responsive hits to Walter v02 Terms

Upon review, let us know how else we can assist before our next update.

Thanks,
Ryck ~

<image002.png>

Ryck Berube
Senior Project Manager

Office: 713.739.7883 ext.322 | Mobile: 713.498.3616
1301 Fannin | Suite 750 | Houston TX 77002

OmniVere
www.OmniVere.com

This communication contains proprietary information and may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, the reading, copying, disclosure or other use of the contents of this e-mail is strictly prohibited and you are instructed to please delete this e-mail immediately.

From: Herbert Beigel [<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 4:47 PM
To: Ryck Berube <Ryck.Berube@omnivere.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvlaw.com>
Subject: Re: CVR document discovery

Ryck

Here re the revised search terms from the letter:

2. (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) /10 disclos*
4. (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deutsche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (ratif* or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or “engagement letter” or EL)
5. customary /20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*)
13. (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) /3 Fields
20. guidance and (advis* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*)

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

From: Herbert Beigel [<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>]
Sent: Saturday, January 13, 2018 6:07 AM
To: Ryck Berube <Ryck.Berube@omniverse.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvlaw.com>
Subject: Fwd: CVR document discovery

Ryck

Please run the modified search terms contained in the attached letter and send me separate hit reports for Lipinski and Walter for those modified terms.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215(fax)

Begin forwarded message:

From: Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com>
Date: January 12, 2018 at 5:23:24 PM MST
To: "hbeigel@me.com"
<hbeigel@me.com>,
"rviducich@rrvlaw.com"
<rviducich@rrvlaw.com>
Cc: Matthew Gurgel

<mgurgel@hsgllp.com>

Subject: CVR document discovery

Herb,

Please see the enclosed. Thanks.

Vincent Levy

Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP

750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor

New York, NY 10019

(646) 837-5120 (office)

(917) 669-0870 (mobile)

www.hsgllp.com

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

<SearchHits_2018116_CVR v02 Lipinski Results.xls>

<SearchHits_2018116_CVR v02 Walter Results.xls>



Search List: REQSTD-CVR-v02-Lipinski

CVR ID #	Search Term	Term Id	Full Text Hits	Docs Returned		Related Docs		Total Docs		Unique Families		Unique Documents		File Size		Related File Size		Total File Size		Total Docs Breakdown by Type		
				Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Emails	Attachments	Loose Files
2	Full Text Contains (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) w/10 disclos* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack)	270	28,626	7,617	7,039	9,368	6,531	16,985	13,570	2,372	2,319	2,371	2,204	6,440	4,850	2,700	1,460	9,140	6,310	6,177	10,808	0
4	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duesch* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (ratif* or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or "engagement letter" or EL) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack)	291	1,239,451	12,519	11,753	11,663	8,812	24,182	20,565	9,498	9,295	7,607	7,310	11,660	9,660	2,280	1,340	13,930	11,000	9,262	14,920	0
5	Full Text Contains customary w/20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack)	272	21,617	2,596	2,192	7,042	4,313	9,638	6,505	675	667	261	258	6,200	4,490	1,630	0,840	7,830	5,340	1,811	7,827	0
13	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) w/3 Fields And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack)	273	115	42	7	77	21	119	28	10	10	6	6	0.010	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.010	0.010	42	77	0
20	Full Text Contains guidance and (adv* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lipinski, Jack)	303	854,775	11,342	10,618	11,667	8,853	23,009	19,471	5,225	5,006	4,232	4,032	9,320	7,040	2,250	1,500	11,570	8,540	9,595	13,414	0

	All	Not Exported
Documents with Search Hits:	22,491	21,168
Related Documents:	15,442	12,735
Total Documents:	37,933	33,903
Size in GB:	16,054	14,919

Emails:	16,768
Attachments:	21,165
Loose Files:	0



Search List: REQSTD-CVR-v02-Walter

CVR ID #	Search Term	Term Id	Full Text Hits	Docs Returned		Related Docs		Total Docs		Unique Families		Unique Documents		File Size		Related File Size		Total File Size		Total Docs Breakdown by Type		
				Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Emails	Attachments	Loose Files
2	Full Text Contains (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) w/10 disclos* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Walter, John)	283	37,284	7,564	7,130	11,691	8,989	19,255	16,119	3,995	3,963	2,898	2,769	7,910	6,590	3,340	2,140	11,250	8,730	5,295	13,960	0
4	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Due* or Deuch* or Duesche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (ratifi* or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or "engagement letter" or EL) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Walter, John)	290	1,673,308	8,659	8,154	13,251	10,615	21,910	18,769	5,983	5,948	3,815	3,675	9,180	7,520	3,230	2,350	12,400	9,870	5,995	15,915	0
5	Full Text Contains customary w/20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Walter, John)	285	52,517	5,802	5,445	10,965	8,336	16,767	13,781	1,394	1,394	683	683	9,470	7,990	2,270	1,500	11,740	9,490	3,886	12,881	0
13	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) w/3 Fields And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Walter, John)	286	397	137	121	201	175	338	296	4	4	2	2	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.020	0.020	105	233	0
20	Full Text Contains guidance and (advist* or bank* or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Walter, John)	304	1,673,751	7,798	7,252	13,139	10,513	20,937	17,765	4,040	3,906	2,203	2,096	10,640	8,730	2,370	1,670	13,010	10,390	5,176	15,761	0

	All	Not Exported
Documents with Search Hits:	16,859	15,976
Related Documents:	19,324	16,846
Total Documents:	36,183	32,822
Size in GB:	17,198	14,497

Emails:	11,080
Attachments:	25,103
Loose Files:	0

Tab 27

From: [Vincent Levy](#)
To: [Herbert Beigel](#)
Cc: [Robert Viducich](#)
Subject: RE: search terms.docx-Wachtell project
Date: Wednesday, January 17, 2018 1:56:00 PM
Attachments: [Icahn search terms \(2\).docx](#)

Herb: As noted in my January 12 letter, we are willing to change all searches of "comp*" to "compen*" and "fee*" to fees, and to narrow the "Fields" term to "(SEC or commission or staff or Brent) /3 Fields," in all relevant search strings. These modifications apply equally to the Icahn search terms as to the CVR terms. The foregoing modifications result in the attached revised search strings for the Icahn custodians for the period October 25, 2013 to May 15, 2017.

From: Herbert Beigel [mailto:hbeigel@me.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 17, 2018 10:49 AM
To: Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvlaw.com>
Subject: Fwd: search terms.docx-Wachtell project

Vince

Please send me an Icahn updated list of search terms with your modifications numbered 1 et seq so I can run new hit reports.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215(fax)
Begin forwarded message:

From: Herbert Beigel <hbeigel@me.com>
Date: January 3, 2018 at 7:28:31 AM MST
To: Ryck Berube <Ryck.Berube@omniverse.com>
Cc: Beigel Herbert <hbeigel@me.com>
Subject: search terms.docx-Wachtell project

Ryck

See attached for our discussion today. What time will you call me?

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215(fax)

For the period October 25, 2013 to May 15, 2017 (90 days from the date of the Cease and Desist Order in the SEC investigation), Icahn will undertake the below additional search:

Custodians: Carl Icahn,¹ Keith Schaitkin, Jesse Lynn, Vincent Intrieri, Dan Ninivaggi

1. (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) /10 disclos*
2. (Wachtel or Wachtell or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper) and (CVR or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or bet or ratif*)
3. malpractice
4. (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deutsche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (CVR or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or “engagement letter” or EL or ratif*)
5. customary /20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*)
6. Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel*
7. Stroock or *@stroock.com
8. Matelich or gmatelich@kelso.com
9. Tomkins or tomkinsme@gmail.com
10. (SEC or commission or staff) /20 (CVR or subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtell or WLRK or WL)
11. Brady
12. Bandy
13. (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) /3 Fields
14. CVR and *@sec.gov
15. “Fried Frank” or friedfrank or FF
16. *@ffhsj.com or *@friedfrank.com
17. (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deutsche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (settl* or resolv*)
18. “cease and desist”
19. CD&I or “compliance and disclosure interpretations”
20. guidance and (advis* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*)
21. “question 159.02”
22. 12323
23. 80039

¹ Includes all other email accounts used by Mr. Icahn, including the account of Gail Golden, Susan Gordon, and Susan Zippo.

Tab 28

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert Vidulich](#); [Gleeson, John](#)
Subject: Re: [HBA_0005 | CVR/Wachtell] --CVR/Lipinski & Walter -- updated v02 Filtered Results-Clarification
Date: Wednesday, January 17, 2018 9:42:49 PM

Vince

I have set my own search terms regarding the Banks settlement and will send you responsive documents in the next few days.

I further anticipate completing production of responsive, relevant, non privileged documents by 1/31 for the relevant periods (however brief) since October 2013. As I previously informed you, there are no additional documents to produce regarding the SEC investigation, as no one had written contact with the SEC other than yours truly and Fried Frank. Similarly, you have all my communications (and Rob's) with opposing counsel regarding the Banks settlement.

As for your overbroad search terms and time period, I will be sending you hit reports in the next few days incorporating your revised search terms, which will clearly demonstrate their inappropriateness. You can expect that once you receive the banks settlement documents, my clients' production will be complete, except for a possible smattering of a few documents that I find in my daily searches that are arguably responsive and which I will produce..

Please respond to my request for deposition dates in March of the witnesses I want to depose, as well as the two Debovoise lawyers.. Your various notices of depositions of mu clients with arbitrary dates in February are unacceptable, other than Lipinski, whose date in March I agreed to. Please confirm his date as I wrote you.

While you have no reluctance to pepper me with your unreasonable demands, you apparently have difficulty responding to my requests.

I offered you the opportunity to call me with any discovery concerns which you want to discuss, but you have neither done so, nor suggested a date and time for any such discussion. Failing hearing from you by Friday, I consider our obligation to meet and confer to have been satisfied.

Otherwise, you have stonewalled our legitimate discovery requests and have consistently refused to discuss your refusal to produce any of the requested documents, with no reasonable explanation for your refusal. Failing some good faith attempt at cooperation on your part, we will consider bring your lack of cooperation to the attention of the Court. Indeed, it is ironic that you have demanded production of categories of documents that you refuse to produce, e.g., non privileged communications with the SEC, which is easily but unfortunately remedied by subpoenaing Debovoise, an inconvenience I would have preferred to avoid, but given your over the top harassment of Fried Frank at outrageous time and expense, the course forward is obvious.

Someone once said, "When one has no defense, he indiscriminately attacks, much to his unending doom."

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

On Jan 17, 2018, at 6:21 AM, Herbert Beigel <hbeigel@me.com> wrote:

Vince

Having reviewed the attached hit reports, I will review the documents generated only for #13, as your other revisions accomplished nothing to cure the problems with your document request.

As far as Icahn documents are concerned, when all hit reports are done, I will let you know which documents I will review.

Finally, please send revised search terms designed to capture documents related only to the Bank settlement. If you refuse, I will devise search terms on that subject and send you responsive, non privileged documents, if any, for the custodians.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215(fax)

Begin forwarded message:

From: Ryck Berube <Ryck.Berube@omniverse.com>
Date: January 16, 2018 at 7:21:26 PM MST
To: Herbert Beigel <hbeigel@me.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvlaw.com>, Process PM's <processpms@omniverse.com>
Subject: [HBA_0005 | CVR/Wachtell] --CVR/Lipinski & Walter -- updated v02
Filtered Results

Herb,

Here are the *updated* details on the **Lipinski & Walter data** that has now been filtered using only the edited terms.

Corresponding reports attached for your convenience.

226K+ docs Total documents *minus* exclusions

37K+ docs Total responsive docs to **Lipinski v02 Terms**

36K+ docs Total responsive docs to **Walter v02 Terms**

Upon review, let us know how else we can assist before our next update.

Thanks,

Ryck ~

Ryck Berube
Senior Project Manager

Office: 713.739.7883 ext.322 | Mobile: 713.498.3616
1301 Fannin | Suite 750 | Houston TX 77002

Omnivere
www.Omnivere.com

This communication contains proprietary information and may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, the reading, copying, disclosure or other use of the contents of this e-mail is strictly prohibited and you are instructed to please delete this e-mail immediately.

From: This communication contains proprietary information and may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, the

reading, copying, disclosure or other use of the contents of this e-mail is strictly prohibited and you are instructed to please delete this e-mail immediately.

From: Herbert Beigel [<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 4:47 PM

To: Ryck Berube <Ryck.Berube@omnivere.com>

Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvlaw.com>

Subject: Re: CVR document discovery

Ryck

Here re the revised search terms from the letter:

2. (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) /10
disclos*

4. (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or
Duesche or DB or Goldman or
GS) and (ratif* or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay
or pay* or litig* or lawsuit
or suit or litigation or action or case or “engagement
letter” or EL)

5. customary /20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay
or pay*)
13. (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) /3 Fields
20. guidance and (advis* or bank or bank*) and (fee or
fees or comp or compen* or pay
or pay*)

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

From: Herbert Beigel
[<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>]
Sent: Saturday, January 13, 2018 6:07 AM
To: Ryck Berube
<Ryck.Berube@omnivere.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvlaw.com>
Subject: Fwd: CVR document discovery

Ryck

Please run the modified search terms
contained in the attached letter and send me
separate hit reports for Lipinski and Walter
for those modified terms.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215(fax)

Begin forwarded message:

From: Vincent Levy
<vlevy@hsgllp.com>
Date: January 12, 2018 at
5:23:24 PM MST
To: "hbeigel@me.com"
<hbeigel@me.com>,
"rviducich@rrvlaw.com"
<rviducich@rrvlaw.com>
Cc: Matthew Gurgel
<mgurgel@hsgllp.com>
Subject: CVR document
discovery

Herb,

Please see the enclosed. Thanks.

Vincent Levy

Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP

750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor

New York, NY 10019

(646) 837-5120 (office)

(917) 669-0870 (mobile)

www.hsgllp.com

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

<SearchHits_2018116_CVR v02 Lipinski Results.xls>

<SearchHits_2018116_CVR v02 Walter Results.xls>

<SearchHits_2018116_CVR v02 Lipinski Results.xls>

<SearchHits_2018116_CVR v02 Walter Results.xls>

Tab 29

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (646) 837-5151
Fax: (646) 837-5150
www.hsgllp.com

Vincent Levy
(646) 837-5120
vlevy@hsgllp.com

January 18, 2018

Herbert Beigel, Esq.
Law Offices of Herbert Beigel
38327 South Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739

Re: *CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen, & Katz, et al.*, No. 14-cv-06566 (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Herb,

I write to address your January 11 email regarding the disclosure of documents relating to the bank settlements as well as the status of your revised privilege log.

(1) Your January 11 email states: “I sent you my and Rob’s entire non privileged correspondence regarding the GS/DB settlement.” As I have previously noted, the earliest email you disclosed from such correspondence, dated October 2, 2015, enclosed a draft settlement agreement dated October 1. This suggests that counsel to the parties in the bank action exchanged no written communications prior to the transmittal of a draft settlement agreement. This seems improbable.

If there are additional responsive, non-privileged documents in your or Rob’s files that preceded that October 2 email, please disclose them promptly. Otherwise, we request that you: (1) please confirm that prior to October 2, 2015, neither you nor Rob had any written correspondence, or have any documents reflecting correspondence, with the banks or their representatives relating to a settlement of the bank actions; and (2) please let us know what steps you took — including any searches of your respective emails — to confirm that no such earlier documents exist. To the extent you withheld documents related to this subject based on an assertion of privilege, please confirm that you are preparing an appropriate log of such withheld documents and state when we can expect to receive it.

(2) On December 18 you agreed that you would provide a revised privilege log and committed to do your best to complete the revised log by January 15. But on January 11, you informed us that you would not send the revised log by January 15; that you now require “vendor

assistance, as among the thousands of emails” at issue are duplicates and nonresponsive documents; and that in the first instance you will be sending us only “a list of the categories,” rather than an actual log, without committing to any time frame by which an actual log would be produced.

Sending “a list of the categories” to be used in a privilege log does not satisfy the requirements of the local rules. Moreover, we do not understand why — weeks after your December 18 agreement to resolve our dispute by revising the privilege log — you are only now commencing whatever vendor assistance you are saying is necessary to prepare a log consistent with the local rules. While we have accommodated your repeated delays to date, we believe we must receive the log with adequate time for a meaningful opportunity to review the materials being withheld. Accordingly, please confirm, one way or another, whether you will send a complete revised privilege log, prepared in accordance with local rules, by January 31. We will interpret your failure to provide a clear response as confirmation that you do not intend to satisfy the rules by January 31.

Sincerely,

/s/ Vincent Levy

Vincent Levy

Tab 30

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert Vidulich](#); [Matthew Gurgel](#)
Subject: Re:
Date: Thursday, January 18, 2018 11:53:16 PM

Vince

Another letter, more nonsense.

I am telling you for the last time, you have the complete correspondence between Rob and me with GS/DB's attorney regarding that settlement. That your find it improbable that there is no more represents your lack of understanding about the reality of that kind of settlement negotiation which is exclusively verbal and between the parties.

We are not providing you with a revised privilege log previously submitted to Jim Sottile,. because no log was required in the first place. Similarly, we are not providing you with a privilege log regarding the few documents/communications by the custodians regarding the GS/DB settlement, if any, which remains to be seen, because that is also not required. Indeed, I rather doubt that there are any internal written communications by the custodians regarding the GS/DB settlement, but once I receive the hit reports for the limited period of time preceding that settlement, I will advise you of the results.

Overall, your letter was once again completely self serving and unnecessary, and as we have a call tomorrow, I will listen to your concerns and respond appropriately during the call. I can't stop you from writing letters, but those letters accomplish nothing other than increased billing to your client.

Herb.

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

On Jan 18, 2018, at 8:46 PM, Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com> wrote:

Herb, Rob,

Please see the enclosed. Thanks,

Vince

Vincent Levy

Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10019
(646) 837-5120 (office)
(917) 669-0870 (mobile)
www.hsgllp.com

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

<1-18-18 Levy Letter to H Beigel re Bank Docs_Priv Log.pdf>

Tab 31

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (646) 837-5151
Fax: (646) 837-5150
www.hsgllp.com

Vincent Levy
(646) 837-5120
vlevy@hsgllp.com

January 18, 2018

Herbert Beigel, Esq.
Law Offices of Herbert Beigel
38327 South Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739

Re: *CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen, & Katz, et al.*, No. 14-cv-06566 (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Herb,

You have indicated that you do not intend to carry out the search and disclosure protocol to which you agreed on December 18, 2017 for CVR and Icahn. By email to you on Tuesday, we asked you to specify which of the previously agreed-upon searches for CVR and Icahn you do plan to run and review for responsive, non-privileged documents, and to specify which you do not plan to run and review. In response, you have sent a barrage of emails with one-off and often incomprehensible utterances regarding your search and review process. Whether by design or accident, you have left us to guess what you actually intend to do.

Set forth below is our effort to decipher your positions from your emails.

Search period. We understand that CVR and Icahn now propose to search documents from the period October 25, 2013 through May 15, 2017.

Custodians. We understand that CVR now proposes to run searches of the emails of Jack Lipinski and John Walter; that CVR did not retain Edmund Gross's emails for the relevant period and therefore will not produce additional documents from his files; and that CVR does not agree to search the files of Herbert Beigel. We understand that Icahn now proposes to search the emails of Carl Icahn (including Gail Golden, Susan Gordon, and Susan Zippo), Keith Schaitkin, Jesse Lynn, Vincent Intrieri, and Dan Ninivaggi.

Search Terms. Appended hereto are the search terms that we proposed on December 15, 2017, as modified by our January 12 letter, for CVR and Icahn, respectively. We understand that CVR has agreed to review the hit results for the search strings numbered 3, 6-9, 11-13, 18-19, 21-23, but now, notwithstanding our December 18 agreement, CVR now refuses to review the

hit results for any other search strings. We also understand that you are waiting on additional hit reports for the Icahn custodians to tell us what search hits you will and will not be reviewing. You also claim to “have set [your] own search terms regarding the Banks settlement,” but you have not revealed the search terms themselves nor the custodians or time period you are using.

Scope. Among the documents that are identified by the search terms, it remains unclear to us which categories of documents you agree to produce, or log, and for what time frames. It is our understanding that CVR and Icahn agree to produce as responsive, or log if privileged, documents relating to (i) the SEC investigation or (ii) the settlement of the bank actions, but please confirm that this is the case, and that such categories of documents will be produced for the entire search period set forth above. We understand that, with the exception of documents responsive to the foregoing categories, CVR and Icahn now refuse to produce or log any other documents responsive to Defendants’ requests for production based on an objection to producing any such material created after October 24, 2013, the date of the filing of CVR’s federal lawsuit.

We ask that you confirm, in a single correspondence, whether the foregoing understanding of your positions is correct and, if not, that you identify with specificity any element of our understanding set forth above that is incorrect. We also request a meet and confer with you to discuss these matters on Friday, January 19, and we propose the time of 4pm Eastern for this discussion.

Sincerely,

/s/ Vincent Levy

Vincent Levy

CVR Search Terms

1. Wachtel or Wachtell or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper 14d or 14d*
2. (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) /10 disclos*
3. malpractice
4. (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deutsche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (ratif* or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or “engagement letter” or EL)
5. customary /20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*)
6. Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel*
7. Stroock or *@stroock.com
8. Matelich or gmatelich@kelso.com
9. Tomkins or tomkinsme@gmail.com
10. (SEC or commission or staff) /20 (subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtell or WLRK or WL)
11. Brady
12. Bandy
13. (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) /3 Fields
14. *@sec.gov
15. “Fried Frank” or FriedFrank or FF
16. *@ffhsj.com or *@friedfrank.com
17. (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deutsche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (settl* or resolv*)
18. “cease and desist”
19. CD&I or “compliance and disclosure interpretations”
20. guidance and (advis* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*)
21. “question 159.02”
22. 12323
23. 80039

Icahn Search Terms

1. (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) /10 disclos*
2. (Wachtel or Wachtell or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper) and (CVR or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or bet or ratif*)
3. malpractice
4. (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (CVR or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or “engagement letter” or EL or ratif*)
5. customary /20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*)
6. Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel*
7. Stroock or *@stroock.com
8. Matelich or gmatelich@kelso.com
9. Tomkins or tomkinsme@gmail.com
10. (SEC or commission or staff) /20 (CVR or subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtell or WLRK or WL)
11. Brady
12. Bandy
13. (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) /3 Fields
14. CVR and *@sec.gov
15. “Fried Frank” or friedfrank or FF
16. *@ffhsj.com or *@friedfrank.com
17. (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (settl* or resolv*)
18. “cease and desist”
19. CD&I or “compliance and disclosure interpretations”
20. guidance and (advis* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*)
21. “question 159.02”
22. 12323
23. 80039

Tab 32

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (646) 837-5151
Fax: (646) 837-5150
www.hsgllp.com

Vincent Levy
(646) 837-5120
vlevy@hsgllp.com

January 24, 2018

Herbert Beigel, Esq.
Law Offices of Herbert Beigel
38327 South Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739

Re: *CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen, & Katz, et al.*, No. 14-cv-06566 (S.D.N.Y.)
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz v. CVR Energy, Inc., et al., No. 654343/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.)

Dear Herb,

I write in response to your various emails regarding deposition scheduling. We believe that your position on depositions is unreasonable. We do not agree that you are entitled to eliminate more than half of the court-ordered fact deposition period by your unilateral say-so. Nor do we agree that all depositions in the coordinated actions should be squeezed into 16 business days that you self-selected, apparently on the basis of nothing more than your personal convenience, without regard to the sensible or required order of testimony, or the convenience of witnesses, or the convenience of other counsel, or our intention (permitted under the scheduling order) to compile an evidentiary record in an orderly sequence.

While we will not agree to your unreasonable position, it is not our intention to create scheduling conflicts. We will not oppose your request for an extension of the fact discovery period to account for the five weeks you have placed off-limits for depositions, provided that you afford us the opportunity to review and approve in advance any proposed extension to the ordered schedule before it is submitted to any court. If you do not seek and obtain such relief, we expect depositions in both actions to take place in an orderly fashion from mid-February through the end of fact discovery, consistent with the overall schedule in place.

Sincerely,

/s/ Vincent Levy

Vincent Levy

Tab 33

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert Vidulich](#)
Subject: Hit reports
Date: Wednesday, January 24, 2018 5:31:07 PM
Attachments: [SearchHits_20180123_Icahn_Terms_v2_Consolidated_Results.xls](#)
[SearchHits_20180123_Icahn_Terms_v2_Golden_Results.xls](#)
[SearchHits_20180123_Icahn_Terms_v2_Gordon_Results.xls](#)
[SearchHits_20180123_Icahn_Terms_v2_Intrieri_Results.xls](#)
[SearchHits_20180123_Icahn_Terms_v2_Lynn_Results.xls](#)
[SearchHits_20180123_Icahn_Terms_v2_Ninivaggi_Results.xls](#)
[SearchHits_20180123_Icahn_Terms_v2_Shaitkin_Results.xls](#)
[SearchHits_20180123_Icahn_Terms_v2_Zippo_Results.xls](#)

Vince

Please find attached the hit reports for Icahn custodians other than CIcahn@...

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com



Search List: REQSTD-Icahn-v2-Terms-Consolidated

Icahn Term ID	Search Term	Term Id	Full Text Hits	Docs Returned		Related Docs		Total Docs		Unique Families		Unique Documents		File Size		Related File Size		Total File Size		Total Docs Breakdown by Type		
				Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Emails	Attachments	Loose Files
1	Full Text Contains (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) w/10 disclos* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	328	50,672	11,831	11,368	19,871	19,195	31,702	30,563	4,529	4,326	3,087	2,911	7,800	7,550	3,810	3,690	11,610	11,240	10,045	21,657	0
2	Full Text Contains (Wachtel or Wachtell or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper) and (CVR or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or bet or ratif*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	310	445,066	16,148	15,968	16,334	16,056	32,482	32,024	9,597	9,481	7,354	7,268	6,820	6,690	2,950	2,880	9,770	9,580	14,190	18,292	0
3	Full Text Contains malpractice And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	311	5,704	2,131	2,038	3,164	3,089	5,295	5,127	1,086	1,056	608	578	1,140	1,060	1,170	1,160	2,310	2,220	1,967	3,328	0
4	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (CVR or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or "engagement letter" or EL or ratif*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	332	2,546,125	48,133	47,298	48,022	47,064	96,155	94,362	31,208	30,632	19,392	18,987	16,110	15,680	9,130	8,940	25,230	24,620	41,158	54,997	0
5	Full Text Contains customary w/20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	329	41,181	5,938	5,545	11,572	10,978	17,510	16,523	2,160	1,995	1,400	1,296	5,760	5,470	2,050	2,000	7,810	7,470	4,363	13,147	0
6	Full Text Contains Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	312	145,110	19,144	19,140	9,450	9,449	28,594	28,589	18,471	18,468	14,990	14,986	1,900	1,900	2,360	2,360	4,260	4,260	17,491	11,103	0
7	Full Text Contains Stroock or ""@stroock.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	313	33,520	2,839	2,835	1,965	1,960	4,804	4,795	1,514	1,512	946	943	1,160	1,160	0,170	0,160	1,330	1,320	1,977	2,827	0
8	Full Text Contains Matelich or "gmatelich@kelso.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	314	2,507	1,527	1,526	957	955	2,484	2,481	61	61	42	42	1,080	1,080	0,050	0,050	1,130	1,130	828	1,656	0
9	Full Text Contains Tomkins or "tomkinsme@gmail.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	315	355	179	144	289	244	468	388	139	75	93	64	0,120	0,100	0,060	0,050	0,180	0,160	166	302	0
10	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff) w/20 (CVR or subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtell or WLRK or WL) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	316	98,748	17,411	16,948	23,641	22,916	41,052	39,864	9,338	9,113	5,541	5,395	8,880	8,600	4,440	4,300	13,330	12,900	14,588	26,464	0
11	Full Text Contains Brady And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	317	9,213	3,900	3,844	4,479	4,459	8,379	8,303	3,084	3,031	1,849	1,802	1,370	1,360	1,200	1,190	2,560	2,550	3,390	4,989	0
12	Full Text Contains Bandy And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	318	226	137	137	106	106	243	243	23	23	22	22	0,030	0,030	0,010	0,010	0,040	0,040	128	115	0
13	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) w/3 Fields And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	330	148	58	58	145	145	203	203	6	6	8	8	0,080	0,080	0,030	0,030	0,110	0,110	50	153	0
14	Full Text Contains CVR and ""@sec.gov" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	319	1,176	123	123	114	114	237	237	0	0	0	0	0,000	0,000	0,020	0,020	0,030	0,030	123	114	0
15	Full Text Contains "Fried Frank" or FriedFrank or FF And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	327	83,222	8,349	8,060	9,208	8,834	17,557	16,894	7,207	7,071	4,257	4,140	3,820	3,700	1,570	1,480	5,390	5,180	7,107	10,450	0
16	Full Text Contains ""@ffhsj.com" or ""@friedfrank.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaikin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	320	12,544	1,365	1,313	1,940	1,832	3,305	3,145	261	247	203	193	0,330	0,330	0,530	0,520	0,850	0,840	1,340	1,965	0

Search List: REQSTD-Icahn-v2-Terms-Consolidated				Docs Returned		Related Docs		Total Docs		Unique Families		Unique Documents		File Size		Related File Size		Total File Size		Total Docs Breakdown by Type		
Icahn Term ID	Search Term	Term Id	Full Text Hits	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Emails	Attachments	Loose Files
17	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (sett* or resolv*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaitskin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	321	1,285,610	45,532	44,426	58,391	56,907	103,923	101,333	25,963	25,335	15,625	15,226	21.810	21.130	7.290	7.080	29.100	28.200	37,504	66,419	0
18	Full Text Contains "cease and desist" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaitskin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	322	6,576	1,433	1,402	2,199	2,155	3,632	3,557	215	212	183	179	0.790	0.780	0.410	0.410	1.200	1.190	1,147	2,485	0
19	Full Text Contains "CD&I" or "compliance and disclosure interpretations" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaitskin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	323	544	109	100	50	49	159	149	40	37	30	28	0.050	0.050	0.020	0.020	0.070	0.070	109	50	0
20	Full Text Contains guidance and (advis* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaitskin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	331	2,924,606	53,301	52,463	65,018	64,111	118,319	116,574	43,019	42,538	22,498	22,208	19.940	19.460	5.620	5.490	25.560	24.950	45,391	72,928	0
21	Full Text Contains "question 159.02" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaitskin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	324	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
22	Full Text Contains 12323 And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaitskin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	325	610	102	102	125	125	227	227	39	39	15	15	0.040	0.040	0.060	0.060	0.110	0.110	79	148	0
23	Full Text Contains 80039 And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail:Gordon, Susan:Intrieri, Vincent:Lynn, Jesse:Ninivaggi, Dan:Schaitskin, Keith:Zippo, Sue)	326	30	25	25	16	16	41	41	0	0	0	0	0.020	0.020	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.020	22	19	0

	All	Not Exported
Documents with Search Hits:	149,804	146,843
Related Documents:	129,543	127,223
Total Documents:	279,347	274,066
Size in GB:	54.116	52.724
Emails:	127,043	
Attachments:	152,304	
Loose Files:	0	



Search List: REQSTD-Icahn-v2-Terms-Golden

Icahn Term ID	Search Term	Term ID	Full Text Hits	Docs Returned		Related Docs		Total Docs		Unique Families		Unique Documents		File Size		Related File Size		Total File Size		Total Docs Breakdown by Type		
				Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Emails	Attachments	Loose Files
1	Full Text Contains (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) w/10 disclos* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	501	1,652	747	747	194	194	941	941	691	691	623	623	0.070	0.070	0.010	0.010	0.080	0.080	737	204	0
2	Full Text Contains (Wachtel or Wachtel* or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper) and (CVR or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or bet or ratif*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	483	57,661	1,664	1,664	1,005	1,005	2,669	2,669	860	860	581	581	0.630	0.630	0.070	0.070	0.700	0.700	1,291	1,378	0
3	Full Text Contains malpractice And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	484	152	80	80	230	230	310	310	174	174	51	51	0.010	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.010	79	231	0
4	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (CVR or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or "engagement letter" or EL or ratif*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	505	116,445	2,200	2,200	1,519	1,519	3,719	3,719	1,402	1,402	881	881	0.810	0.810	0.100	0.100	0.910	0.910	1,752	1,967	0
5	Full Text Contains customary w/20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	502	446	51	51	108	108	159	159	39	39	13	13	0.030	0.030	0.000	0.000	0.030	0.030	42	117	0
6	Full Text Contains Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	485	3,464	978	978	576	576	1,554	1,554	228	228	204	204	0.510	0.510	0.060	0.060	0.570	0.570	607	947	0
7	Full Text Contains Stroock or ""@stroock.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	486	10,533	690	690	324	324	1,014	1,014	5	5	3	3	0.470	0.470	0.000	0.000	0.470	0.470	326	688	0
8	Full Text Contains Matelich or "gmatelich@kelso.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	487	1,111	740	740	370	370	1,110	1,110	3	3	4	4	0.500	0.500	0.000	0.000	0.500	0.500	375	735	0
9	Full Text Contains Tomkins or "tomkinsme@gmail.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	488	3	3	3	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	3	0	0
10	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff) w/20 (CVR or subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtel* or WLRK or WL) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	489	1,771	531	531	580	580	1,111	1,111	475	475	280	280	0.120	0.120	0.100	0.100	0.220	0.220	482	629	0
11	Full Text Contains Brady And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	490	1,673	753	753	871	871	1,624	1,624	411	411	195	195	0.370	0.370	0.080	0.080	0.440	0.440	570	1,054	0
12	Full Text Contains Bandy And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	491	12	2	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2	0	0
13	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) w/3 Fields And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	503	4	2	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2	0	0
14	Full Text Contains CVR and ""@sec.gov" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	492	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
15	Full Text Contains "Fried Frank" or FriedFrank or FF And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	500	5,295	1,760	1,760	335	335	2,095	2,095	1,258	1,258	1,165	1,165	0.480	0.480	0.040	0.040	0.510	0.510	1,392	703	0
16	Full Text Contains ""@ffhsj.com" or ""@friedfrank.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	493	362	181	181	541	541	722	722	0	0	0	0	0.160	0.160	0.180	0.180	0.340	0.340	181	541	0
17	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (settli* or resolv*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	494	12,575	1,128	1,128	1,047	1,047	2,175	2,175	928	928	607	607	0.300	0.300	0.100	0.100	0.400	0.400	1,089	1,086	0
18	Full Text Contains "cease and desist" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	495	108	27	27	26	26	53	53	15	15	14	14	0.010	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.010	26	27	0
19	Full Text Contains "CD&I" or "compliance and disclosure interpretations" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	496	4	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1	0	0
20	Full Text Contains guidance and (advise* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	504	92,886	873	873	1,671	1,671	2,544	2,544	835	835	251	251	0.480	0.480	0.150	0.150	0.640	0.640	644	1,900	0
21	Full Text Contains "question 159.02" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
22	Full Text Contains 12323 And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	498	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1	1	0
23	Full Text Contains 80039 And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Golden, Gail)	499	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1	0	0

	All	Not Exported
Documents with Search Hits:	6,589	6,589
Related Documents:	4,184	4,184
Total Documents:	10,773	10,773
Size in GB:	1.592	1.592

Emails:	6,029
Attachments:	4,744
Loose Files:	0



Search List: REQSTD-Icahn-v2-Terms-SGordon

Icahn Term ID	Search Term	Term Id	Full Text Hits	Docs Returned		Related Docs		Total Docs		Unique Families		Unique Documents		File Size		Related File Size		Total File Size		Total Docs Breakdown by Type		
				Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Emails	Attachments	Loose Files
1	Full Text Contains (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) w/10 disclos* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	430	3,646	930	930	3,123	3,123	4,053	4,053	836	836	311	311	0.470	0.470	1.250	1.250	1.720	1.720	830	3,223	0
2	Full Text Contains (Wachtel or Wachtell or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper) and (CVR or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or bet or ratif*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	412	95,151	2,321	2,321	2,187	2,187	4,508	4,508	1,098	1,098	679	679	1.590	1.590	0.550	0.550	2.140	2.140	1,911	2,597	0
3	Full Text Contains malpractice And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	413	847	453	453	928	928	1,381	1,381	332	332	72	72	0.090	0.090	0.670	0.670	0.760	0.760	449	932	0
4	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Duesche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (CVR or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or "engagement letter" or EL or ratif*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	434	274,897	6,934	6,934	11,388	11,388	18,322	18,322	8,237	8,237	3,146	3,146	2.720	2.720	5.350	5.350	8.070	8.070	6,124	12,198	0
5	Full Text Contains customary w/20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	431	1,003	159	159	360	360	519	519	33	33	27	27	0.110	0.110	0.080	0.080	0.180	0.180	134	385	0
6	Full Text Contains Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	414	7,377	1,740	1,740	664	664	2,404	2,404	1,142	1,142	936	936	0.490	0.490	0.030	0.030	0.510	0.510	1,418	986	0
7	Full Text Contains Stroock or ""@stroock.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	415	9,217	691	691	373	373	1,064	1,064	133	133	79	79	0.430	0.430	0.000	0.000	0.430	0.430	387	677	0
8	Full Text Contains Matelich or "gmatelich@kelso.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	416	1,075	678	678	363	363	1,041	1,041	54	54	34	34	0.450	0.450	0.000	0.000	0.450	0.450	379	662	0
9	Full Text Contains Tomkins or "tomkinsme@gmail.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	417	12	8	8	2	2	10	10	5	5	4	4	0.010	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.010	8	2	0
10	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff) w/20 (CVR or subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtell or WLRK or WL) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	418	6,025	1,632	1,632	4,756	4,756	6,388	6,388	2,410	2,410	694	694	0.600	0.600	1.730	1.730	2.330	2.330	1,482	4,906	0
11	Full Text Contains Brady And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	419	2,908	1,103	1,103	1,484	1,484	2,587	2,587	1,089	1,089	467	467	0.420	0.420	0.640	0.640	1.050	1.050	930	1,657	0
12	Full Text Contains Bandy And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	420	9	9	9	3	3	12	12	7	7	7	7	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	9	3	0
13	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) w/3 Fields And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	432	11	5	5	4	4	9	9	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	5	4	0
14	Full Text Contains CVR and ""@sec.gov" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	421	28	2	2	8	8	10	10	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2	8	0
15	Full Text Contains "Fried Frank" or FriedFrank or FF And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	429	13,592	1,762	1,762	1,609	1,609	3,371	3,371	1,954	1,954	853	853	0.930	0.930	0.150	0.150	1.080	1.080	1,428	1,943	0
16	Full Text Contains ""@ffhsj.com" or ""@friedfrank.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	422	2,920	318	318	444	444	762	762	9	9	9	9	0.130	0.130	0.140	0.140	0.280	0.280	318	444	0
17	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Duesche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (settli* or resolv*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	423	83,453	4,553	4,553	7,656	7,656	12,209	12,209	3,530	3,530	1,740	1,740	2.320	2.320	2.540	2.540	4.870	4.870	4,157	8,052	0
18	Full Text Contains "cease and desist" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	424	450	92	92	158	158	250	250	35	35	25	25	0.060	0.060	0.090	0.090	0.150	0.150	90	160	0
19	Full Text Contains "CD&I" or "compliance and disclosure interpretations" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	425	32	6	6	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	6	0	0
20	Full Text Contains guidance and (adviz* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	433	243,821	5,421	5,421	9,177	9,177	14,598	14,598	6,281	6,281	2,949	2,949	2.140	2.140	1.690	1.690	3.830	3.830	4,135	10,463	0
21	Full Text Contains "question 159.02" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	426	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
22	Full Text Contains 12323 And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	427	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1	0	0
23	Full Text Contains 80039 And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Gordon, Susan)	428	4	3	3	2	2	5	5	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2	3	0

	All	Not Exported
Documents with Search Hits:	17,548	17,548
Related Documents:	26,001	26,001
Total Documents:	43,549	43,549
Size in GB:	13.874	13.874

Emails:	15,273
Attachments:	28,276
Loose Files:	0



Search List: REQSTD-Icahn-v2-Terms-Intrieri

Icahn Term ID	Search Term	Term Id	Full Text Hits	Docs Returned		Related Docs		Total Docs		Unique Families		Unique Documents		File Size		Related File Size		Total File Size		Total Docs Breakdown by Type		
				Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Emails	Attachments	Loose Files
1	Full Text Contains (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) w/10 disclos* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	476	10,659	3,825	3,825	5,416	5,416	9,241	9,241	867	867	640	640	2,490	2,490	0.550	0.550	3,040	3,040	3,521	5,720	0
2	Full Text Contains (Wachtel or Wachtell or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper) and (CVR or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or bet or ratif*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	458	29,870	2,973	2,973	4,996	4,996	7,969	7,969	1,572	1,572	1,219	1,219	1,360	1,360	0.610	0.610	1,970	1,970	2,666	5,303	0
3	Full Text Contains malpractice And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	459	300	187	187	260	260	447	447	64	64	60	60	0.110	0.110	0.030	0.030	0.140	0.140	168	279	0
4	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Duesche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (CVR or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or "engagement letter" or EL or ratif*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	480	557,569	27,028	27,028	20,924	20,924	47,952	47,952	15,302	15,302	10,672	10,672	6,040	6,040	1.330	1.330	7,370	7,370	23,218	24,734	0
5	Full Text Contains customary w/20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	477	3,932	791	791	996	996	1,787	1,787	207	207	191	191	0.870	0.870	0.140	0.140	1,010	1,010	683	1,104	0
6	Full Text Contains Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	460	668	82	82	33	33	115	115	80	80	69	69	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	74	41	0
7	Full Text Contains Stroock or ""@stroock.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	461	4,383	521	521	340	340	861	861	604	604	421	421	0.060	0.060	0.040	0.040	0.100	0.100	411	450	0
8	Full Text Contains Matelich or "gmatelich@kelso.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	462	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
9	Full Text Contains Tomkins or "tomkinsme@gmail.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	463	80	58	58	49	49	107	107	35	35	25	25	0.020	0.020	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.020	55	52	0
10	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff) w/20 (CVR or subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtell or WLRK or WL) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	464	15,320	4,414	4,414	4,350	4,350	8,764	8,764	1,085	1,085	895	895	1,930	1,930	0.460	0.460	2,390	2,390	3,848	4,916	0
11	Full Text Contains Brady And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	465	1,506	796	796	998	998	1,794	1,794	716	716	515	515	0.260	0.260	0.220	0.220	0.480	0.480	688	1,106	0
12	Full Text Contains Bandy And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	466	90	26	26	35	35	61	61	12	12	12	12	0.020	0.020	0.000	0.000	0.030	0.030	17	44	0
13	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) w/3 Fields And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	478	12	6	6	7	7	13	13	0	0	1	1	0.010	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.010	5	8	0
14	Full Text Contains CVR and ""@sec.gov" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	467	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
15	Full Text Contains "Fried Frank" or FriedFrank or FF And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	475	49,669	830	830	2,443	2,443	3,273	3,273	1,698	1,698	380	380	0.610	0.610	0.240	0.240	0.850	0.850	731	2,542	0
16	Full Text Contains ""@ffhsj.com" or ""@friedfrank.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	468	24	7	7	7	7	14	14	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	7	7	0
17	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Duesche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (sett* or resolv*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	469	361,661	18,515	18,515	20,005	20,005	38,520	38,520	7,015	7,015	4,903	4,903	6,710	6,710	1.220	1.220	7,930	7,930	15,932	22,588	0
18	Full Text Contains "cease and desist" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	470	612	159	159	205	205	364	364	48	48	36	36	0.070	0.070	0.030	0.030	0.100	0.100	131	233	0
19	Full Text Contains "CD&I" or "compliance and disclosure interpretations" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	471	40	5	5	5	5	10	10	3	3	2	2	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.040	0.040	5	5	0
20	Full Text Contains guidance and (advls* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	479	1,291,144	38,612	38,612	40,575	40,575	79,187	79,187	32,871	32,871	17,438	17,438	10,190	10,190	1.740	1.740	11,920	11,920	34,271	44,916	0
21	Full Text Contains "question 159.02" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	472	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
22	Full Text Contains 12323 And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	473	11	9	9	10	10	19	19	3	3	3	3	0.020	0.020	0.000	0.000	0.030	0.030	9	10	0
23	Full Text Contains 80039 And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Intrieri, Vincent)	474	2	2	2	2	2	4	4	0	0	0	0	0.020	0.020	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.020	2	2	0

	All	Not Exported
Documents with Search Hits:	62,549	62,549
Related Documents:	53,521	53,521
Total Documents:	116,070	116,070
Size in GB:	16.320	16.320
Emails:	54,399	
Attachments:	61,671	
Loose Files:	0	



Search List: REQSTD-Icahn-v2-Terms-Lynn

Icahn Term ID	Search Term	Term Id	Full Text Hits	Docs Returned		Related Docs		Total Docs		Unique Families		Unique Documents		File Size		Related File Size		Total File Size		Total Docs Breakdown by Type		
				Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Emails	Attachments	Loose Files
1	Full Text Contains (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) w/10 disclos* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	403	26,101	4,627	4,627	8,302	8,302	12,929	12,929	1,444	1,444	954	954	3,950	3,950	1,550	1,550	5,500	5,500	3,809	9,120	0
2	Full Text Contains (Wachtel or Wachtel or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper) and (CVR or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or bet or ratif*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	223	206,550	7,249	7,249	6,139	6,139	13,388	13,388	5,005	5,005	4,004	4,004	2,480	2,480	1,360	1,360	3,840	3,840	6,664	6,724	0
3	Full Text Contains malpractice And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	224	3,108	950	950	1,265	1,265	2,215	2,215	353	353	286	286	0,640	0,640	0,360	0,360	1,000	1,000	881	1,334	0
4	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Duesche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (CVR or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or "engagement letter" or EL or ratif*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	407	1,252,280	8,841	8,841	10,272	10,272	19,113	19,113	4,661	4,661	3,281	3,281	5,030	5,030	1,700	1,700	6,720	6,720	7,509	11,604	0
5	Full Text Contains customary w/20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	404	25,796	3,501	3,501	6,994	6,994	10,495	10,495	1,075	1,075	724	724	3,500	3,500	1,250	1,250	4,750	4,750	2,567	7,928	0
6	Full Text Contains Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	227	105,512	12,908	12,908	6,884	6,884	19,792	19,792	13,873	13,873	11,029	11,029	0,700	0,700	1,900	1,900	2,600	2,600	12,243	7,549	0
7	Full Text Contains Stroock or ""@stroock.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	228	6,948	739	739	772	772	1,511	1,511	606	606	340	340	0,120	0,120	0,090	0,090	0,210	0,210	690	821	0
8	Full Text Contains Matelich or "gmatelich@kelso.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	229	185	42	42	137	137	179	179	3	3	3	3	0,050	0,050	0,040	0,040	0,100	0,100	36	143	0
9	Full Text Contains Tomkins or "tomkinsme@gmail.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	230	117	30	30	127	127	157	157	5	5	5	5	0,050	0,050	0,040	0,040	0,090	0,090	26	131	0
10	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff) w/20 (CVR or subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtel or WLRK or WL) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	231	59,858	8,214	8,214	9,866	9,866	18,080	18,080	3,894	3,894	2,789	2,789	4,910	4,910	1,550	1,550	6,470	6,470	6,834	11,246	0
11	Full Text Contains Brady And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	232	2,308	853	853	842	842	1,695	1,695	530	530	404	404	0,240	0,240	0,240	0,240	0,480	0,480	828	867	0
12	Full Text Contains Bandy And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	233	104	92	92	57	57	149	149	5	5	4	4	0,000	0,000	0,010	0,010	0,010	0,010	92	57	0
13	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) w/3 Fields And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	405	114	42	42	131	131	173	173	4	4	5	5	0,070	0,070	0,030	0,030	0,100	0,100	35	138	0
14	Full Text Contains CVR and ""@sec.gov" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	235	1,131	119	119	103	103	222	222	0	0	0	0	0,000	0,000	0,020	0,020	0,020	0,020	119	103	0
15	Full Text Contains "Fried Frank" or FriedFrank or FF And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	402	9,664	2,307	2,307	3,595	3,595	5,902	5,902	1,033	1,033	693	693	1,280	1,280	0,770	0,770	2,060	2,060	2,009	3,893	0
16	Full Text Contains ""@ffhbj.com" or ""@friedfrank.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	237	8,226	691	691	695	695	1,386	1,386	213	213	159	159	0,020	0,020	0,170	0,170	0,190	0,190	675	711	0
17	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Duesche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (sett* or resolv*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	238	522,300	16,580	16,580	22,188	22,188	38,768	38,768	11,618	11,618	6,600	6,600	9,560	9,560	2,540	2,540	12,100	12,100	12,710	26,058	0
18	Full Text Contains "cease and desist" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	239	4,563	942	942	1,478	1,478	2,420	2,420	102	102	77	77	0,590	0,590	0,250	0,250	0,840	0,840	719	1,701	0
19	Full Text Contains "CD&I" or "compliance and disclosure interpretations" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	240	312	71	71	40	40	111	111	30	30	22	22	0,030	0,030	0,000	0,000	0,030	0,030	71	40	0
20	Full Text Contains guidance and (advise* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	406	1,033,812	6,421	6,421	9,884	9,884	16,305	16,305	2,889	2,889	1,754	1,754	5,630	5,630	1,370	1,370	7,000	7,000	4,913	11,392	0
21	Full Text Contains "question 159.02" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	242	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0	0	0
22	Full Text Contains 12323 And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	243	579	81	81	108	108	189	189	24	24	6	6	0,020	0,020	0,060	0,060	0,080	0,080	60	129	0
23	Full Text Contains 80039 And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Lynn, Jesse)	244	20	17	17	10	10	27	27	0	0	0	0	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	16	11	0

	All	Not Exported
Documents with Search Hits:	47,618	47,618
Related Documents:	35,041	35,041
Total Documents:	82,659	82,659
Size in GB:	16.601	16.601
Emails:	38,916	
Attachments:	43,743	
Loose Files:	0	



Search List: REQSTD-icahn-v2-Terms-Ninivaggi

icahn Term ID	Search Term	Term ID	Full Text Hits	Docs Returned		Related Docs		Total Docs		Unique Families		Unique Documents		File Size		Related File Size		Total File Size		Total Docs Breakdown by Type		
				Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Emails	Attachments	Loose Files
1	Full Text Contains (comp or compen) or pay or pay* or fee or fees) w/10 disclose* And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	397	1,587	463	0	676	0	1,139	0	203	0	176	0	0.250	0.000	0.130	0.000	0.380	0.000	356	783	0
2	Full Text Contains (Wachtel or Wachtel* or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper) and (CVR or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or bet or ratif) And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	379	5,668	180	0	278	0	458	0	116	0	86	0	0.120	0.000	0.070	0.000	0.190	0.000	153	305	0
3	Full Text Contains malpractice And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	380	129	93	0	75	0	168	0	30	0	30	0	0.080	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.090	0.000	73	95	0
4	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duett* or Deutsch* or Deutsche* or Duesche* or DB or Goldman or GS) and (CVR or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or "engagement letter" or EL or ratif) And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	401	100,118	835	0	958	0	1,793	0	576	0	405	0	0.430	0.000	0.190	0.000	0.620	0.000	603	1,190	0
5	Full Text Contains customary w/20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	398	3,214	393	0	594	0	987	0	165	0	104	0	0.290	0.000	0.050	0.000	0.340	0.000	234	753	0
6	Full Text Contains Beigel or Beigel* or ibeigel* And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	361	13	4	0	1	0	5	0	3	0	4	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	4	1	0
7	Full Text Contains Stroock or "ststroock.com" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	382	20	4	0	5	0	9	0	2	0	3	0	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.010	0.000	4	5	0
8	Full Text Contains Matelich or "gmatelich@kelso.com" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	383	6	1	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1	2	0
9	Full Text Contains Tomkins or "tomkinsme@gmail.com" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	384	88	35	0	45	0	80	0	64	0	29	0	0.020	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.000	33	47	0
10	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff) w/20 (CVR or subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtel* or WLRK or WL) And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	385	3,071	463	0	725	0	1,188	0	225	0	146	0	0.290	0.000	0.140	0.000	0.430	0.000	362	826	0
11	Full Text Contains Brady And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	386	75	56	0	20	0	76	0	53	0	47	0	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.000	52	24	0
12	Full Text Contains Bandy And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	387	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
13	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) w/3 Fields And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	399	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
14	Full Text Contains CVR and "sec.gov" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	388	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
15	Full Text Contains "Fried Frank" or FriedFrank or FF And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	396	564	289	0	374	0	663	0	136	0	117	0	0.120	0.000	0.090	0.000	0.210	0.000	229	434	0
16	Full Text Contains "giffms.com" or "giffmsfrank.com" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	389	324	52	0	108	0	160	0	14	0	10	0	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.010	0.000	50	110	0
17	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duett* or Deutsch* or Deutsche* or Duesche* or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (sett* or resolv*) And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	390	32,431	1,106	0	1,484	0	2,590	0	628	0	399	0	0.680	0.000	0.220	0.000	0.900	0.000	817	1,773	0
18	Full Text Contains "cease and desist" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	391	129	31	0	44	0	75	0	3	0	4	0	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.000	26	49	0
19	Full Text Contains "CD&I" or "compliance and disclosure interpretations" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	392	40	9	0	1	0	10	0	3	0	2	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	9	1	0
20	Full Text Contains guidance and (advic* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	400	60,405	838	0	907	0	1,745	0	481	0	290	0	0.480	0.000	0.130	0.000	0.610	0.000	598	1,147	0
21	Full Text Contains "question 159.02" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	393	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
22	Full Text Contains 1253 And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	394	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
23	Full Text Contains 80039 And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Ninivaggi, Dan)	395	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0

All	Not Exported
Documents with Search Hits:	2,961
Related Documents:	2,320
Total Documents:	5,281
Size in GB:	1,393
	0.000

Emails:	2,121
Attachments:	3,160
Loose Files:	0



Search List: REQSTD-Icahn-v2-Terms-Schaltkin

Icahn Term ID	Search Term	Term Id	Full Text Hits	Docs Returned		Related Docs		Total Docs		Unique Families		Unique Documents		File Size		Related File Size		Total File Size		Total Docs Breakdown by Type		
				Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Emails	Attachments	Loose Files
1	Full Text Contains (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) w/10 disclos* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	351	5,125	1,288	1,288	2,248	2,248	3,536	3,536	445	445	347	347	0.660	0.660	0.350	0.350	1.010	1.010	834	2,702	0
2	Full Text Contains (Wachtel or Wachtell or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper) and (CVR or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or bet or ratif*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	333	46,260	1,465	1,465	1,554	1,554	3,019	3,019	685	685	567	567	0.590	0.590	0.280	0.280	0.870	0.870	1,231	1,788	0
3	Full Text Contains malpractice And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	334	1,179	366	366	407	407	773	773	121	121	101	101	0.220	0.220	0.090	0.090	0.310	0.310	314	459	0
4	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (CVR or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or "engagement letter" or EL or ratif*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	355	259,899	1,872	1,872	3,087	3,087	4,959	4,959	787	787	637	637	1.170	1.170	0.470	0.470	1.650	1.650	1,448	3,511	0
5	Full Text Contains customary w/20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	352	7,165	1,071	1,071	2,556	2,556	3,627	3,627	610	610	331	331	0.990	0.990	0.530	0.530	1.520	1.520	721	2,906	0
6	Full Text Contains Beigel or Biegel or hbeigel* And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	335	27,015	3,017	3,017	1,168	1,168	4,185	4,185	2,734	2,734	2,422	2,422	0.170	0.170	0.360	0.360	0.530	0.530	2,755	1,430	0
7	Full Text Contains Stroock or ""@stroock.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	336	1,500	99	99	71	71	170	170	74	74	57	57	0.050	0.050	0.030	0.030	0.080	0.080	93	77	0
8	Full Text Contains Matelich or "gmatelich@kelso.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	337	57	17	17	58	58	75	75	0	0	0	0	0.030	0.030	0.010	0.010	0.040	0.040	10	65	0
9	Full Text Contains Tomkins or "tomkinsme@gmail.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	338	25	17	17	66	66	83	83	1	1	1	1	0.030	0.030	0.010	0.010	0.040	0.040	13	70	0
10	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff) w/20 (CVR or subpoena or investig* or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtell or WLRK or WL) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	339	12,104	1,967	1,967	2,986	2,986	4,953	4,953	993	993	601	601	1.000	1.000	0.460	0.460	1.460	1.460	1,392	3,561	0
11	Full Text Contains Brady And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	340	236	121	121	82	82	203	203	49	49	52	52	0.030	0.030	0.020	0.020	0.050	0.050	112	91	0
12	Full Text Contains Bandy And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	341	10	8	8	8	8	16	16	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	8	8	0
13	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) w/3 Fields And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	353	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
14	Full Text Contains CVR and ""@sec.gov" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	342	3	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1	0	0
15	Full Text Contains "Fried Frank" or FriedFrank or FF And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	350	1,015	459	459	835	835	1,294	1,294	195	195	141	141	0.330	0.330	0.290	0.290	0.620	0.620	389	905	0
16	Full Text Contains ""@ffhsj.com" or ""@friedfrank.com" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	343	666	105	105	113	113	218	218	25	25	25	25	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.010	0.020	0.020	98	120	0
17	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duet* or Deuch* or Duech* or Deusche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (settli* or resolv*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	344	276,663	3,650	3,650	5,918	5,918	9,568	9,568	2,407	2,407	1,421	1,421	2.380	2.380	0.690	0.690	3.080	3.080	2,682	6,886	0
18	Full Text Contains "cease and desist" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	345	726	183	183	286	286	469	469	18	18	28	28	0.060	0.060	0.060	0.060	0.110	0.110	154	315	0
19	Full Text Contains "CD&I" or "compliance and disclosure interpretations" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	346	116	17	17	4	4	21	21	4	4	4	4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	17	4	0
20	Full Text Contains guidance and (adviv* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	354	233,841	1,803	1,803	3,074	3,074	4,877	4,877	941	941	496	496	1.230	1.230	0.570	0.570	1.800	1.800	1,125	3,752	0
21	Full Text Contains "question 159.02" And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	347	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
22	Full Text Contains 12323 And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	348	12	6	6	1	1	7	7	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	4	3	0
23	Full Text Contains 80039 And (Search FoundIn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search FoundIn Custodian ContainsAny Schaltkin, Keith)	349	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0

	All	Not Exported
Documents with Search Hits:	10,850	10,850
Related Documents:	8,476	8,476
Total Documents:	19,326	19,326
Size in GB:	4.469	4.469
Emails:	8,140	
Attachments:	11,186	
Loose Files:	0	



Search List: REQSTD-Icahn-v2-Terms-Zippo

Icahn Term ID	Search Term	Term ID	Full Text Hits	Docs Returned		Related Docs		Total Docs		Unique Families		Unique Documents		File Size		Related File Size		Total File Size		Total Docs Breakdown by Type		
				Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Total	Not Exp	Emails	Attachments	Loose Files
1	Full Text Contains (comp or compen* or pay or pay* or fee or fees) w/10 disclos* And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	453	408	115	115	171	171	286	286	73	73	55	55	0.070	0.070	0.020	0.020	0.100	0.100	103	183	0
2	Full Text Contains (Wachtel or Wachtel* or WL or WLRK or Brownstein or Roth or Casey or Cooper) and (CVR or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or bet or raff*) And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	435	8,738	465	465	387	387	852	852	322	322	256	256	0.210	0.210	0.030	0.030	0.240	0.240	413	439	0
3	Full Text Contains malpractice And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	436	32	19	19	27	27	46	46	13	13	10	10	0.010	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.010	19	27	0
4	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duett* or Deuch* or Duetch* or Deutsche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS) and (CVR or fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay* or litig* or lawsuit or suit or litigation or action or case or "engagement letter" or EL or raff*) And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	457	20,274	1,053	1,053	847	847	1,900	1,900	847	847	630	630	0.280	0.280	0.050	0.050	0.330	0.330	976	924	0
5	Full Text Contains customary w/20 (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	454	90	25	25	44	44	69	69	35	35	14	14	0.010	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.010	24	45	0
6	Full Text Contains Beigel or Beigel* or hbeigel* And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	437	1,186	462	462	145	145	607	607	432	432	339	339	0.060	0.060	0.000	0.000	0.070	0.070	421	186	0
7	Full Text Contains Stroock or "stroock.com" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	438	1,467	128	128	96	96	224	224	95	95	46	46	0.050	0.050	0.000	0.000	0.060	0.060	83	141	0
8	Full Text Contains Matelich or "matelich@jksco.com" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	439	118	78	78	40	40	118	118	1	1	1	1	0.050	0.050	0.000	0.000	0.050	0.050	40	78	0
9	Full Text Contains Tomkins or "tomkinsme@gmail.com" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	440	30	28	28	0	0	28	28	26	26	26	26	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	28	0	0
10	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff) w/20 (CVR or subpoena or invest*) or order or guidance or Wachtel or Wachtel* or WLRK or WL) And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	441	1,243	376	376	603	603	979	979	323	323	195	195	0.120	0.120	0.060	0.060	0.180	0.180	345	634	0
11	Full Text Contains Brady And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	442	592	254	254	225	225	479	479	241	241	172	172	0.060	0.060	0.010	0.010	0.080	0.080	235	244	0
12	Full Text Contains Bandy And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	443	3	2	2	3	3	5	5	1	1	1	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2	3	0
13	Full Text Contains (SEC or commission or staff or Brent) w/3 Fields And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	455	7	3	3	3	3	6	6	2	2	2	2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	3	3	0
14	Full Text Contains CVR and "sec.gov" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	444	14	1	1	3	3	4	4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1	3	0
15	Full Text Contains "Fried Frank" or FriedFrank or FF And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	452	3,617	1,004	1,004	98	98	1,102	1,102	947	947	917	917	0.100	0.100	0.000	0.000	0.110	0.110	966	136	0
16	Full Text Contains "Biffel.com" or "biffel.com" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	445	40	20	20	58	58	78	78	0	0	0	0	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.040	0.040	20	58	0
17	Full Text Contains (Deut* or Duett* or Deuch* or Duetch* or Deutsche or Duesche or DB or Goldman or GS or bank or SEC) and (sett* or resolv*) And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	446	9,430	767	767	1,402	1,402	2,169	2,169	800	800	356	356	0.240	0.240	0.090	0.090	0.330	0.330	738	1,431	0
18	Full Text Contains "cease and desist" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	447	63	14	14	16	16	30	30	5	5	5	5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	14	16	0
19	Full Text Contains "CD&I" or "compliance and disclosure interpretations" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	448	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
20	Full Text Contains guidance and (advic* or bank or bank*) and (fee or fees or comp or compen* or pay or pay*) And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	456	29,192	706	706	1,645	1,645	2,351	2,351	812	812	295	295	0.250	0.250	0.080	0.080	0.330	0.330	663	1,688	0
21	Full Text Contains "question 159.02" And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	449	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0
22	Full Text Contains 12323 And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	450	6	4	4	5	5	9	9	9	9	4	4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	4	5	0
23	Full Text Contains 80039 And (Search Foundn Combined Date (Email Sent/File Modified) Between 10/25/2013 and 05/15/2017) And (Search Foundn Custodian ContainsAny Zippo, Sue)	451	4	3	3	2	2	5	5	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2	3	0

	All	Not Exported
Documents with Search Hits:	4,100	4,100
Related Documents:	3,169	3,169
Total Documents:	7,269	7,269
Size in GB:	0.824	0.824

Emails:	3,922
Attachments:	3,347
Loose Files:	0

Tab 34

From: Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 6:08 PM
To: Herbert Beigel
Cc: Robert Viducich; Shuster, Michael S. (Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP); Gleeson, John (Debevoise & Plimpton LLP)
Subject: RE: Deposition schedule

Herb,

We wrote you on January 24 with our position on deposition scheduling. We would like to understand your response below. We are available this Friday, February 2 for a meet and confer to try to make progress on this. Are you available at 11am?

Thanks,
Vince

From: Herbert Beigel [<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 5:26 PM
To: Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvllaw.com>; Michael Shuster <mshuster@hsgllp.com>; Gleeson, John <jgleeson@debevoise.com>
Subject: Deposition schedule

Vince

Walter is available in KC for his deposition March 21, 22, 28, 29.

Schaitkin is available in NY on March 23.

I am not available on March 19, but am available on March 20 or 21, subject to your agreement not to use the deposition in support of any motion to disqualify as I am not a fact witness and am not testifying at trial, and your agreement to inform me of the subject matters of the deposition in advance and my agreement that the subject matters are appropriate for examination.

It is also imperative that you provide me with dates after March 7 for Savitt, Brownstein, and Roth, which you have repeatedly refused to do.

Finally, you have failed to confirm Icahn and Lipinski depositions.

I look forward (hope springs eternal, according some folks, but very few lawyers, including yours truly) to a cooperative prompt response.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

Tab 35

From: Herbert Beigel
To: [Vincent Levy](#)
Cc: [Robert Viducich](#); [Michael Shuster](#); [Gleeson, John](#); [Matthew Gurgel](#)
Subject: Re: Deposition schedule
Date: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 6:24:57 PM

ok, another waste of time, so be it; you're like an elderly aunt or uncle (or young opposing counsel) who can never be convinced of anything, no matter how sensible.

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

On Jan 31, 2018, at 4:22 PM, Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com> wrote:

We'll send a dial-in.

From: Herbert Beigel [<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 6:13 PM
To: Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvlaw.com>; Michael Shuster <mshuster@hsgllp.com>; Gleeson, John <jgleeson@debevoise.com>
Subject: Re: Deposition schedule

Yes, but I don't understand what there is to meet and confer. Scheduling depositions is a no brainer, as evidenced by your partner scheduling a deposition willy nilly without even consulting me and then ironically agreeing to to do the deposition at Matelich's office, while you persist in agreeing to reasonable dates for my clients.

So, I will talk to you on Friday but for once, why don't your put up or just shut up.

You must be operating under the illusion that you can do what you want, including smirking at Judges, I feel sorry for you. What a waste of an estimable pedigree.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

On Jan 31, 2018, at 4:08 PM, Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com> wrote:

Herb,

We wrote you on January 24 with our position on deposition scheduling. We would like to understand your response below. We are available this Friday, February 2 for a meet and confer to try to make progress on this. Are you available at 11am?

Thanks,
Vince

Vincent Levy
Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10019
(646) 837-5120 (office)
(917) 669-0870 (mobile)
www.hsgllp.com

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

From: Herbert Beigel [<mailto:hbeigel@me.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 5:26 PM
To: Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvlaw.com>; Michael Shuster <mshuster@hsgllp.com>; Gleeson, John <jgleeson@debevoise.com>
Subject: Deposition schedule

Vince

Walter is available in KC for his deposition March 21, 22, 28, 29.

Schaitkin is available in NY on March 23.

I am not available on March 19, but am available on March 20 or 21, subject to your agreement not to use the deposition in support of any motion to disqualify as I am not a fact witness and am not testifying at

trial, and your agreement to inform me of the subject matters of the deposition in advance and my agreement that the subject matters are appropriate for examination.

It is also imperative that you provide me with dates after March 7 for Savitt, Brownstein, and Roth, which you have repeatedly refused to do.

Finally, you have failed to confirm Icahn and Lipinski depositions.

I look forward (hope springs eternal, according some folks, but very few lawyers, including yours truly) to a cooperative prompt response.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

Tab 36

From: [Vincent Levy](#)
To: [Herbert Beigel](#)
Cc: [Robert Viducich](#)
Subject: RE: Depositions
Date: Thursday, February 8, 2018 11:55:08 PM

Herb:

As you know, the deposition schedule remains subject to adjustment in light of outstanding scheduling and document discovery matters. Subject to those issues, we can confirm the Matelich deposition for 10:00 a.m. on March 16 at Kelso's offices in New York, and the Lipinski deposition for 9:00 a.m. on March 22 at Holwell Shuster's offices in New York, but the Brownstein and Icahn depositions will have to take place later, assuming the schedule is extended. As to the remainder of the deposition schedule, we do not think it makes sense to confirm specific dates now given the outstanding discovery and scheduling matters, but we will be prepared to discuss deposition scheduling promptly once those matters are resolved.

Thanks

From: Herbert Beigel [mailto:hbeigel@me.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 8, 2018 1:12 AM
To: Vincent Levy <vlevy@hsgllp.com>
Cc: Robert Viducich <rviducich@rrvlaw.com>
Subject: Re: Depositions

Vince

I would appreciate a response to my email below.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
Hbeigel@me.com
520-825-1995. Office
520-869-5836 Mobile

On Feb 2, 2018, at 5:27 PM, Herbert Beigel <hbeigel@me.com> wrote:

Vince

Regardless of your request to extend fact discovery until 4/30, please send me confirmation of the dates, times, and locations for:

1. Icahn (3-26)

2. Lipinski (3-22)
3. Brownstein (3-8)
4. Matelich (3-16)

Also, I suggested dates for

1. Walter (March 21, 22, 28 or 29)
2. Schaitkin (March 23)
3. Beigel (March 19 or 20)

Please respond with dates from the ones I gave you or some other dates.

Please also suggest dates for:

1. Savett
2. Roth
3. Fred Frank
4. Debovoise 30b6

Finally, you indicated you may wish to take additional depositions. Please identify the deponents.

Herb

Herbert Beigel
38327 S. Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
520-825-1995 (office)
520-869-5836 (mobile)
520-844-6215 (efax)
hbeigel@me.com

Deposition Notices

Tab 1

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

CVR ENERGY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 14-cv-06566 (RJS)

AMENDED NOTICE OF DEPOSITION PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 30(b)(6)

Please take notice that, pursuant to Rules 26 and 30 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Civil Rule 26.3 of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, defendant Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, through its undersigned counsel, will take a deposition of plaintiff CVR Energy, Inc. in the above-referenced action at the offices of Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP, 750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor, New York, NY 10019 on February 12, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. or at such other time and place as may be mutually agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

Defendants will take this deposition upon oral examination of one or more officers, directors, managers, or other persons plaintiff designates, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6), as knowledgeable about the subject matters listed in the attached Exhibit A. The deposition will be taken before a notary public or other officer authorized to administer oaths under law and will be recorded by video, audio, and/or stenographic means.

Please take further notice that the deposition will continue day to day until completed.

Dated: January 12, 2018
New York, New York

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

By: /s/ Vincent Levy
Michael S. Shuster
mshuster@hsgllp.com
Vincent Levy
vlevy@hsgllp.com
Matthew Gurgel
mgurgel@hsgllp.com
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10023
(646) 837-5151

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

John Gleeson
jgleeson@debevoise.com
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 909-6000

Attorneys for Defendants

EXHIBIT A
SUBJECTS OF EXAMINATION

Topic No. 1

All communications between the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (or “SEC”) and CVR Energy, Inc. (“CVR”), including CVR’s counsel or anyone else acting on its behalf, relating to CVR’s securities filings on Schedule 14D-9 made between February 17, 2012 and May 3, 2012, or Wachtell Lipton’s representation of CVR, or the guidance issued by the SEC on November 18, 2016 regarding Section 14(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in response to “Tender Offers and Schedules” Compliance & Disclosure Interpretation Question 159.02. For the avoidance of doubt, this topic includes the number of such communications, the identity of the particular persons who were party to such communications, the dates of such communications, and the contents of such communications.

Topic No. 2

All communications known to CVR between the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (or “SEC”) and Carl C. Icahn, Icahn Enterprises L.P. or Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P., including their counsel or anyone else acting on their behalf, relating to CVR’s securities filings on Schedule 14D-9 made between February 17, 2012 and May 3, 2012, or Wachtell Lipton’s representation of CVR, or the guidance issued by the SEC on November 18, 2016 regarding Section 14(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in response to “Tender Offers and Schedules” Compliance & Disclosure Interpretation Question 159.02. For the avoidance of doubt, this topic includes the number of such communications, the identity of the particular persons who were party to such communications, the dates of such communications, and the contents of such communications.

Topic No. 3

An identification and description of the actions constituting the “remedial acts promptly undertaken by Respondent [CVR] and its extensive cooperation afforded the Commission staff” referred to in SEC Exchange Act of 1934 Release No. 80039 dated February 14, 2017.

Topic No. 4

The review and approval process that CVR applied to its settlement of the SEC’s investigation into the propriety of its securities filings on Schedule 14D-9 made between February 17, 2012 and May 3, 2012, including the identities of any persons who considered or approved draft or final settlement offers or agreements, and any meetings at which draft or final settlement offers or agreements were considered or approved.

Topic No. 5

All communications between Fried Frank and CVR, including CVR's other counsel or anyone else acting on its behalf, regarding the fees or costs Fried Frank billed or intended to bill CVR for its representation of CVR in the SEC investigation into the propriety of CVR's securities filings on Schedule 14D-9 made between February 17, 2012 and May 3, 2012. For the avoidance of doubt, this topic includes the number of such communications, the identity of the particular persons who were party to such communications, the dates of such communications, and the contents of such communications.

Topic No. 6

Non-privileged information concerning the events and circumstances that resulted in (i the engagement of Fried Frank to represent CVR in connection with SEC investigation into the propriety of CVR's securities filings on Schedule 14D-9 made between February 17, 2012 and May 3, 2012, (ii Fried Frank's ceasing to perform any work or otherwise represent CVR in connection with the SEC investigation, and (iii the engagement of Herbert Beigel to represent CVR in connection with the SEC investigation.

Topic No. 7

All communications between CVR, including CVR's counsel or anyone else acting on its behalf, and Goldman Sachs or Deutsche Bank relating to the potential or completed settlement of *Goldman, Sachs & Co. v. CVR Energy, Inc.*, Index No. 652149/2012 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. and *Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. v. CVR Energy Inc.*, Index No. 652800/2012 (Sup. Ct. N.Y.. For the avoidance of doubt, this topic includes the number of such communications, the identity of the particular persons who were party to such communications, the dates of such communications, and the contents of such communications.

Topic No. 8

The review and approval process that CVR applied to its settlement of *Goldman, Sachs & Co. v. CVR Energy, Inc.*, Index No. 652149/2012 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. and *Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. v. CVR Energy, Inc.*, Index No. 652800/2012 (Sup. Ct. N.Y., including the identities of any persons who considered or approved draft or final settlement offers or agreements, and any meetings at which draft or final settlement offers or agreements were considered or approved.

Topic No. 9

All communications between the Law Offices of Robert R. Viducich and CVR, including CVR's other counsel or anyone else acting on its behalf, regarding the expenses that the Law Offices of Robert R. Viducich have billed or intend to bill CVR or anyone else related to its

representation of CVR. For the avoidance of doubt, this topic includes the number of such communications, the identity of the particular persons who were party to such communications, the dates of such communications, and the contents of such communications.

Topic No. 10

All communications between the Law Offices of Herbert Beigel and Icahn or CVR, including CVR's other counsel or anyone else acting on its behalf, regarding the expenses that the Law Offices of Herbert Beigel have billed or intend to bill CVR or anyone else regarding its representation of CVR. For the avoidance of doubt, this topic includes the number of such communications, the identity of the particular persons who were party to such communications, the dates of such communications, and the contents of such communications.

Topic No. 11

All communications between CVR and Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, including all communications that allegedly reflected or contained negligent or improper advice, or that CVR otherwise claims support its claims in this action.

Topic No. 12

Any policies and procedures regarding the retention, preservation, or destruction of documents or data, including policies and procedures regarding litigation or legal holds, in place from January 1, 2012 until the present, including for the electronic and hard copy files of those persons who leave the employment of CVR.

Topic No. 13

All efforts taken to retain, preserve, or destroy the custodial documents or data of Edmund Gross, from January 1, 2012 until the present, including following the termination of his employment with CVR, and the events and circumstances concerning the destruction or lack of retention of Edmund Gross' emails and attachments following the termination of his employment with CVR, including:

- a. The reasons why Mr. Gross' emails were destroyed or otherwise not retained following the termination of his employment with CVR;
- b. The date CVR learned that Mr. Gross' emails were destroyed or otherwise not retained following the termination of his employment with CVR;
- c. The efforts undertaken to locate Mr. Gross' emails;

- d. A detailed description of the locations and systems considered as potential repositories of Mr. Gross' emails;
- e. A detailed description of the known locations not considered as potential repositories of Mr. Gross' emails, and an explanation of the reason why such locations were not considered; and
- f. The locations ultimately determined potentially likely to contain Mr. Gross' emails, if any, the method used to make that determination, and the persons involved in making that determination.

Topic No. 14

All litigation holds, legal holds, or any kind of document, data, or evidentiary preservation notice, request, or order issued by CVR, including anyone acting on its behalf, relating to this action or to *Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz v. CVR Energy, Inc. et al.*, Index No. 654343/2013 (Sup. Ct. N.Y.), including the date on which such notices, requests, orders were issued, the identity of the persons who authored and issued them, the identity of the persons to whom they were addressed and sent and any others who may have received them, and what actions, if any, recipients were directed to take in response to their issuance.

Topic No. 15

All procedures implemented or actions taken resulting from the notices, requests, or orders that were issued by CVR, including anyone acting on its behalf, relating to this action or to *Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz v. CVR Energy, Inc. et al.*, Index No. 654343/2013, including the dates on which such procedures were implemented or actions were taken, and the identity of the persons involved.

Topic No. 16

Any efforts to identify and locate documents or ESI responsive to Defendant Wachtell Lipton's First Request for Production and Inspection of Documents to CVR, dated October 4, 2017, including:

- a. a detailed description of the physical or electronic locations and systems considered as potential repositories of responsive information;
- b. a detailed description of the known physical or electronic locations not considered as potential repositories of responsive information, and an explanation of the reason why such locations were not considered;
- c. a list of the custodians considered as having potentially responsive information;

- d. the physical or electronic locations ultimately determined likely to contain responsive information, if any, and the method used to make that determination;
- e. the identity of the persons involved in making determinations regarding the custodians considered and the locations determined to contain responsive information;
- f. the methods used to determine whether the locations deemed likely to contain responsive information did in fact contain responsive information, including the rationale for using those methods; and
- g. the identity of the persons involved in choosing and implementing those methods.

Tab 2

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

CVR ENERGY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 14-cv-6566 (RJS)

**AMENDED
NOTICE OF DEPOSITION**

Please take notice that Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, through its counsel, will take a deposition of John Lipinski at the offices of Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP, 750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor, New York, NY 10019 on March 22, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. or at such other time and place as may be mutually agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court. The deposition will be taken before a notary public or other officer authorized to administer oaths under law and will be recorded by video, audio, and/or stenographic means. The deposition will continue day to day until completed.

Dated: January 12, 2018
New York, New York

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

By: /s/ Vincent Levy
Michael S. Shuster
mshuster@hsgllp.com
Vincent Levy
vlevy@hsgllp.com
Matthew Gurgel
mgurgel@hsgllp.com
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10023

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

John Gleeson
jgleeson@debevoise.com
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Attorneys for Wachtell, Lipton

Tab 3

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

CVR ENERGY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 14-cv-06566 (RJS)

**NOTICE OF SUBPOENA AD TESTIFICANDUM TO
EDMUND GROSS**

Please take notice that, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 30 and 45, Defendant Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz will cause the subpoena attached hereto to be served on Edmund Gross on December 19, 2017, commanding him to appear at the time, date and place set forth in the subpoena to testify at a deposition to be taken in this civil action.

Dated: November 20, 2017
New York, New York

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

By: /s/ Michael S. Shuster
Michael S. Shuster
mshuster@hsgllp.com
Vincent Levy
vlevy@hsgllp.com
Benjamin F. Heidlage
bheidlage@hsgllp.com
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10023
(646) 837-5151

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

John Gleeson
jgleeson@debevoise.com
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 909-6000

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of New York

CVR Energy, Inc.,

Plaintiff

v.

Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, et al.

Defendant

Civil Action No. 14-cv-06566 (RJS)

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: Edmund Gross

(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)

☒ **Testimony:** **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an organization, you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or those set forth in an attachment:

Place: Berkowitz Oliver LLP, 2600 Grand Blvd., Suite 1200,
Kansas City, MO 64108

Date and Time:

12/19/2017 9:00 am

The deposition will be recorded by this method: Stenographer and videographer

- ☐ **Production:** You, or your representatives, must also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and must permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material:

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: 11/21/2017

CLERK OF COURT

OR

/s/ Benjamin Heidlage

*Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk**Attorney's signature*

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing *(name of party)* Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, LLP

, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:
Benjamin Heidlage, Esq., Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP, 750 7th Ave, New York, New York, 10019
bheidlage@hsgllp.com, (646) 837-5124

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

Civil Action No. 14-cv-06566 (RJS)

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
on *(date)* _____.

☐ I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named individual as follows: _____

_____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the subpoena unexecuted because: _____
_____.

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also
tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of
\$ _____.

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)**(c) Place of Compliance.**

(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
 - (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
- (B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) *Appearance Not Required.* A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) *Objections.* A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) *When Required.* On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

- (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
- (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) *When Permitted.* To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) *Specifying Conditions as an Alternative.* In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) *Documents.* A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) *Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified.* If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) *Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form.* The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) *Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information.* The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) *Information Withheld.* A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

- (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) *Information Produced.* If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

Tab 4

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

CVR ENERGY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 14-cv-6566 (RJS)

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION

Please take notice that Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, through its counsel, will take a deposition of John Walter at the offices of Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP, 750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor, New York, NY 10019 on February 16, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. or at such other time and place as may be mutually agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court. The deposition will be taken before a notary public or other officer authorized to administer oaths under law and will be recorded by video, audio, and/or stenographic means. The deposition will continue day to day until completed.

Dated: January 12, 2018
New York, New York

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

By: /s/ Vincent Levy
Michael S. Shuster
mshuster@hsgllp.com
Vincent Levy
vlevy@hsgllp.com
Matthew Gurgel
mgurgel@hsgllp.com
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10023

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

John Gleeson
jgleeson@debevoise.com
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Attorneys for Wachtell, Lipton

Tab 5

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

CVR ENERGY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 14-cv-6566 (RJS)

**AMENDED
NOTICE OF DEPOSITION**

Please take notice that Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, through its counsel, will take a deposition of Carl Icahn at the offices of Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP, 750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor, New York, NY 10019 on March 26, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. or at such other time and place as may be mutually agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court. The deposition will be taken before a notary public or other officer authorized to administer oaths under law and will be recorded by video, audio, and/or stenographic means. The deposition will continue day to day until completed.

Dated: January 12, 2018
New York, New York

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

By: /s/ Vincent Levy
Michael S. Shuster
mshuster@hsgllp.com
Vincent Levy
vlevy@hsgllp.com
Matthew Gurgel
mgurgel@hsgllp.com
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10023

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

John Gleeson
jgleeson@debevoise.com
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Attorneys for Wachtell, Lipton

Tab 6

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

CVR ENERGY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 14-cv-6566 (RJS)

**AMENDED NOTICE OF SUBPOENA AD
TESTIFICANDUM TO KEITH SCHAITKIN**

Please take notice that pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 30 and 45, Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, through its undersigned counsel, will cause the subpoena attached hereto to be served on Keith Schaitkin, commanding him to appear at the time, date and place set forth in the subpoena to testify at a deposition. The deposition will be taken before a notary public or other officer authorized to administer oaths under law and will be recorded by video, audio, and/or stenographic means. Please take further notice that the deposition will continue day to day until completed.

Dated: January 12, 2018
New York, New York

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

By: /s/ Vincent Levy
Michael S. Shuster
mshuster@hsgllp.com
Vincent Levy
vlevy@hsgllp.com
Matthew Gurgel
mgurgel@hsgllp.com
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10023

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

John Gleeson
jgleeson@debevoise.com
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Attorneys for Wachtell, Lipton

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of New York

CVR Energy, Inc.,

Plaintiff

v.

Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, et al.

Defendant

Civil Action No. 14-cv-06566 (RJS)

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: Keith Schaitkin

(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)

☒ **Testimony:** **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an organization, you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or those set forth in an attachment:

Schedule A

Place: Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP, 750 7th Avenue,
26th Floor, New York, New York 10019

Date and Time:
02/22/18 at 9:00 a.m.

The deposition will be recorded by this method: Stenographer and videographer

☐ **Production:** You, or your representatives, must also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and must permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material:

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: 1/12/2018

CLERK OF COURT

OR

/s/ Matthew Gurgel

*Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk**Attorney's signature*

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing *(name of party)* Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, LLP

, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:
Matthew Gurgel, Esq., Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP, 750 7th Avenue, 26th Floor, New York, New York 10019
mgurgel@hsgllp.com, (646) 837-5137

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

Civil Action No. 14-cv-06566 (RJS)

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
on *(date)* _____.

☐ I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named individual as follows: _____

_____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the subpoena unexecuted because: _____
_____.

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also
tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of
\$ _____.

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)**(c) Place of Compliance.**

(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
 - (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
- (B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) *Appearance Not Required.* A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) *Objections.* A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) *When Required.* On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

- (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
- (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) *When Permitted.* To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) *Specifying Conditions as an Alternative.* In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) *Documents.* A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) *Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified.* If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) *Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form.* The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) *Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information.* The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) *Information Withheld.* A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

- (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) *Information Produced.* If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

Tab 7

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

CVR ENERGY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 14-cv-6566 (RJS)

**AMENDED
NOTICE OF DEPOSITION**

Please take notice that Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, through its counsel, will take a deposition of Herbert Beigel at the offices of Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP, 750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor, New York, NY 10019 on March 19, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. or at such other time and place as may be mutually agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court. The deposition will be taken before a notary public or other officer authorized to administer oaths under law and will be recorded by video, audio, and/or stenographic means. The deposition will continue day to day until completed.

Dated: January 12, 2018
New York, New York

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

By: /s/ Vincent Levy
Michael S. Shuster
mshuster@hsgllp.com
Vincent Levy
vlevy@hsgllp.com
Matthew Gurgel
mgurgel@hsgllp.com
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10023

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

John Gleeson
jgleeson@debevoise.com
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Attorneys for Wachtell, Lipton

Tab 8

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

CVR ENERGY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 14-cv-06566 (RJS)

**AMENDED NOTICE OF SUBPOENA AD TESTIFICANDUM
TO FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP**

Please take notice that, pursuant to Rules 30 and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Civil Rule 26.3 of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, defendant Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, through its undersigned counsel, will cause the subpoena attached hereto to be served on Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP (“Fried Frank”) commanding it to appear at the time, date and place set forth in the subpoena to testify at a deposition to be taken in this civil action.

Defendant will take the deposition upon oral examination of one or more officers, directors, managers, or other persons Fried Frank designates, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6), as knowledgeable about the subject matters listed in the attached Schedule A. The deposition will be taken before a notary public or other officer authorized to administer oaths under law and will be recorded by video, audio, and/or stenographic means.

Please take further notice that the deposition will continue day to day until completed.

Dated: January 12, 2018
New York, New York

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

By: /s/ Vincent Levy
Michael S. Shuster
mshuster@hsgllp.com
Vincent Levy
vlevy@hsgllp.com
Matthew Gurgel
mgurgel@hsgllp.com
750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10023
(646) 837-5151

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

John Gleeson
jgleeson@debevoise.com
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 909-6000

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of New York

CVR Energy, Inc.,

Plaintiff

v.

Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, et al.

Defendant

Civil Action No. 14-cv-06566 (RJS)

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP

(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)

☒ **Testimony:** **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an organization, you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or those set forth in an attachment:

Schedule A

Place: Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP 750 7th Avenue, New York, NY 10019

Date and Time:

02/20/18 at 9:00 a.m.

The deposition will be recorded by this method: Stenographer and videographer

☐ **Production:** You, or your representatives, must also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and must permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material:

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: 1/12/2018

CLERK OF COURT

OR

/s/ Matthew Gurgel

*Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk**Attorney's signature*

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing *(name of party)* Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, LLP, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

Matthew Gurgel, Esq., Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP, 750 7th Ave, New York, New York, 10019
mgurgel@hsgllp.com, (646) 837-5137

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

Civil Action No. 14-cv-06566 (RJS)

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
on *(date)* _____ .

☐ I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named individual as follows: _____

_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

☐ I returned the subpoena unexecuted because: _____
_____ .

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also
tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of
\$ _____ .

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)**(c) Place of Compliance.**

(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
 - (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
- (B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) *Appearance Not Required.* A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) *Objections.* A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) *When Required.* On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

- (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
- (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) *When Permitted.* To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) *Specifying Conditions as an Alternative.* In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) *Documents.* A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) *Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified.* If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) *Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form.* The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) *Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information.* The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) *Information Withheld.* A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

- (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) *Information Produced.* If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

SCHEDULE A

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

1.. The “Bank Engagement Letters” shall mean any of (1) the engagement letters between CVR and Goldman Sachs dated February 15, 2012 and March 21, 2012, and (2) the engagement letters between CVR and Deutsche Bank dated January 23, 2012 and March 23, 2012.

2. The “Federal Complaint” shall mean the Amended Complaint filed by CVR in *CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz et al.*, No. 14-cv-06566 (S.D.N.Y.).

3. “Icahn” shall mean Carl C. Icahn, Icahn Enterprises L.P., Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P., together with any known affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, predecessors-in-interest.

4. “Icahn Transaction” shall mean the transaction resulting from the February 2012 tender offer by Icahn referenced in paragraph 2 of the Federal Complaint in which Icahn acquired control over CVR.

5. The “Schedules 14D-9” shall mean the Schedules 14D-9 filed with the SEC by CVR in connection with Icahn’s tender offer commenced in February 2012 and the resulting Icahn Transaction.

6. The “SEC” shall mean the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

7. The “SEC Investigation” shall mean the investigation by the SEC relating to the Schedules 14D-9 and that resulted in the SEC Order.

8. The “SEC’s November 18, 2016 Guidance” shall mean the guidance issued by the SEC on November 18, 2016, related to Section 14(d) of the Exchange Act and Regulation 14D, in response to Compliance & Disclosure Interpretation (“CD&I”) Question 159.02.

9. The “SEC Order” shall mean the Order Instituting Cease-and-Desist Proceedings Pursuant to Section 21C of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings and Imposing

a Cease-and-Desist Order, in the case captioned *In re CVR Energy, Inc.* (SEC Release No. 80039), issued by the SEC on or about February 14, 2017.

10. The “Wachtell Lipton Litigation” shall mean the cases captioned *CVR Energy, Inc. v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz et al.*, No. 14-cv-06566 (S.D.N.Y.) and *Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz v. CVR Energy, Inc. et al.*, Index No. 654343/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.).

11. Unless otherwise stated in the topic, the time frame of each topic shall be January 1, 2012 through the present (the “Relevant Period”).

TOPICS FOR EXAMINATION

1. Fried Frank’s representations of CVR and Icahn, including the Fried Frank attorneys involved in the representations, the subject matter(s) of the representations and Fried Frank’s compensation for the representations.

2. The events and circumstances concerning the initiation of the SEC Investigation, including any communications prior to the initiation of the SEC Investigation between CVR, Icahn or any of their attorneys or representatives, and the SEC, concerning the Bank Engagement Letters, the Icahn Transaction or the Schedules 14D-9.

3. Your representation of CVR in connection with the SEC Investigation and SEC Order, including the events and circumstances that led to your retention; the nature of the work performed in connection with the representation; the amount of time that Fried Frank attorneys or representatives spent on the representation; the events and circumstances concerning your ceasing to perform work in connection with the SEC Investigation and SEC Order; your billing records and invoices for the representation; any payments made by CVR to Fried Frank in connection with the representation; and all communications between Fried Frank and CVR, includ-

ing CVR's other counsel or anyone else acting on its behalf, regarding the fees or costs Fried Frank billed or intended to bill CVR for the representation.

4. The communications between CVR and anyone acting on its behalf and the SEC concerning the Bank Engagement Letters, the Icahn Transaction, Wachtell Lipton, the Wachtell Lipton Litigation, the Schedules 14D-9, the SEC Investigation, the SEC Order and the SEC's November 18, 2016 Guidance.

5. The events and circumstances that resulted in the SEC Order, including any negotiations, proposals, offers, or counteroffers relating to the SEC Order or any other potential resolution of the SEC Investigation, and the review and approval process that CVR applied to its settlement of the SEC Investigation.

6. An identification and description of the actions constituting the "remedial acts promptly undertaken by Respondent [CVR] and its extensive cooperation afforded the Commission staff" referenced in paragraph 24 of the SEC Order.

7. The events and circumstances that led to Herbert Beigel's representation of CVR in connection with the SEC investigation and SEC Order.

8. The subject matter, content, participants and dates of communications between Fried Frank, on the one hand, and Herbert Beigel, any attorney associated with Friedman Kaplan, Icahn, or anyone acting on Icahn's behalf, concerning the Bank Engagement Letters, the Icahn Transaction, the Schedules 14D-9, the SEC Investigation, the SEC Order, the SEC's November 18, 2016 Guidance or the Wachtell Lipton Litigation.

9. Fried Frank's policies and procedures concerning the retention, preservation, or destruction of documents or data, including policies regarding litigation or legal holds.

10. All litigation holds, legal holds, or any kind of document, data, or evidentiary preservation notice, request, or order issued by Fried Frank relating to CVR, Icahn, the Bank Engagement Letters, the Icahn Transaction, the SEC Investigation or the Wachtell Lipton Litigation, including the date on which such notices, requests, orders were issued, the identity of the persons who authored and issued them, the identity of the persons to whom they were addressed and sent and any others who may have received them, and what actions, if any, recipients were directed to take in response to their issuance.

11. All procedures implemented or actions taken by Fried Frank resulting from any hold or preservation notices, requests, or orders that were issued by CVR, including anyone acting on its behalf, relating to CVR, Icahn, the Bank Engagement Letters, the Icahn Transaction, the SEC Investigation or the Wachtell Lipton Litigation, including the date on which such procedures were implemented or actions were taken, and the identity of the persons involved.

12. All physical and electronic locations of documents or electronically stored information (“ESI”) in the possession, custody or control of Fried Frank that may contain information responsive to the subpoena *duces tecum* served on Fried Frank by Wachtell Lipton on or about October 4, 2017 (the “Subpoena”).

13. Fried Frank’s document retention, search, collection, review and production in response to the Subpoena, including:

- a. Fried Frank’s efforts to identify and locate documents or ESI responsive to the subpoena, including the physical or electronic locations considered as potential repositories of responsive information; the custodians of potential repositories of responsive information considered and the method used to determine such custodians; the physical or electronic locations ultimately determined to contain responsive information, if any; the methods used to determine whether the locations deemed likely to contain responsive information did in fact contain responsive information, including the rationale for using those methods; and the identity of the persons involved in choosing and implementing those methods.

- b. a detailed description of the known physical or electronic locations not considered as potential repositories of responsive information, and an explanation of the reason why such locations were not considered;
- c. All litigation holds, legal holds, or any kind of document, data, or evidentiary preservation notice, request, or order relating to the subpoena, including the date on which such notices, requests, orders were issued, the identity of the persons who authored and issued them, the identity of the persons to whom they were addressed and sent and any others who may have received them, and what actions, if any, recipients were directed to take in response to their issuance;
- d. All procedures implemented or actions taken resulting from the notices, requests, or orders that were issued by Fried Frank, including anyone acting on its behalf, relating to the Subpoena, including the date on which such procedures were implemented or actions were taken, and the identity of the persons involved.
- e. Your steps, if any, to preserve documents and ESI responsive to the subpoena;
- f. Any physical or electronic locations of documents or ESI that Fried Frank determined may have previously contained information responsive to the Subpoena but where such responsive information was not retained or is no longer accessible, as a result of Fried Frank's documents retention policies and procedures or otherwise, including Fried Frank's efforts to identify any such locations, any relevant custodians for such locations and the reasons why such information was not retained or is no longer accessible.

Tab 9

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

CVR ENERGY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 14-cv-06566-RJS

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rules 26 and 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Local Rule 26.3 of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Plaintiff, CVR Energy, Inc. in the above-captioned action, by its undersigned attorneys, will take the deposition upon oral examination of one or more officers, directors, or managing agents of Defendant Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz (“Wachtell”), or other persons that Wachtell designates, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6), as knowledgeable about the subject matters listed in the attached Exhibit A.

The deposition will take place on January 17, 2018 at the New York office(s) of Wachtell and/or their counsel (as selected by them). The deposition will be recorded stenographically before a notary public or other officer authorized to administer oaths, and shall continue day to day until completed. You are invited to attend and participate in each of these depositions.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the deponent(s) is requested to bring and produce at his/her deposition, unless earlier produced, all documents, books, papers, diaries, calendars and other things in his posses-

sion, custody or control concerning the claims and issues in this action, as well as all documents which may be used by him/her to refresh his/her recollection in preparation for his deposition.

Dated: December 18, 2017

LAW OFFICES OF HERBERT BEIGEL

By: /s/ Herbert Beigel

Herbert Beigel

38327 South Arroyo Way

Tucson, AZ 85739

Tel: (520) 825-1995

Fax: (520) 844-6215

767 Fifth Avenue, #4700

New York, NY 10153

-and-

Robert R. Viducich

Law Office of Robert R. Viducich

40 Wall Street, 28th Floor

New York, New York 10005

(212) 400-7135

Attorneys for Plaintiff

cc: Vincent Levy, Esq.
Michael Shuster, Esq.
Benjamin Heidlage, Esq.
John Gleeson Esq.
Michael Brenner, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendants

EXHIBIT A

RELEVANT TIME FRAME

The designated topics shall include and encompass all information from January 1, 2012 to the present to the present, unless otherwise indicated below.

DESIGNATED TOPICS FOR DEPOSITION TESTIMONY

1. All communications by Defendant Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz (“Wachtell”) and/or its counsel with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”), and/or any other regulatory body or agency, concerning the SEC Form 14D-9 disclosure(s) that is the subject of the investigation (the “Investigation”) alleged in the Amended Complaint filed by Plaintiff CVR Energy, Inc. (“CVR”) in the above-captioned action.
2. All testimony provided, or presentations made by Wachtell or its counsel, to the SEC in connection with the Investigation.
3. Wachtell’s procedures for discovering and/or screening conflicts of interest, and its application of any such procedures to CVR’s engagement of Wachtell, including without limitation, any discussions concerning any such application.
4. CVR’s engagement of Wachtell, including the duration of that engagement and the duties owed to CVR under that engagement.
5. All communications by Wachtell and/or its counsel with Goldman, Sachs & Co. (and/or any of its members, partners, employees, agents, affiliates, attorneys or other representatives) and/or Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. (and/or any of its members, partners, employees, agents, affiliates, attorneys or other representatives) concerning this action and/or those banks’ prior lawsuits against CVR (including, without limitation, the subject matter of those prior lawsuits).
6. Any policies regarding the retention, preservation, or destruction of documents or data, including policies regarding litigation or legal holds, in place between January 1, 2012 until the present.
7. All procedures regarding the retention, preservation, or destruction of documents or data, whether systemic or not, in place between January 1, 2012 until the present.
8. All litigation holds, legal holds, or any kind of document, data, or evidentiary preservation notice, request, or order issued by Wachtell, including anyone acting on its behalf,

relating to this action or to *Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz v. CVR Energy, Inc. et al.*, Index No. 654343/2013 (Sup. Ct. N.Y.), including the date on which such notices, requests, orders were issued, the identity of the persons who authored and issued them, the identity of the persons to whom they were addressed and sent and any others who may have received them, and what actions, if any, recipients were directed to take in response to their issuance.

9. All procedures implemented or actions taken resulting from the notices, requests, or orders that were issued by Wachtell, including anyone acting on its behalf, relating to this action or to *Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz v. CVR Energy, Inc. et al.*, Index No. 654343/2013, including the dates on which such procedures were implemented or actions were taken, and the identity of the persons involved.
10. Any efforts to identify and locate documents or ESI responsive to Plaintiff's First Request to Defendants for Production of Documents, dated December 19, 2014, and Plaintiff's First Request to Defendants for Production of Documents, dated December 15, 2017, including:
 - a. a detailed description of the physical or electronic locations and systems considered as potential repositories of responsive information;
 - b. a detailed description of the known physical or electronic locations not considered as potential repositories of responsive information, and an explanation of the reason why such locations were not considered;
 - c. a list of the custodians considered as having potentially responsive information;
 - d. the physical or electronic locations ultimately determined likely to contain responsive
 - e. information, if any, and the method used to make that determination;
 - f. the identity of the persons involved in making determinations regarding the custodians considered and the locations determined to contain responsive information;
 - g. the methods used to determine whether the locations deemed likely to contain responsive information did in fact contain responsive information, including the rationale for using those methods; and
 - h. the identity of the persons involved in choosing and implementing those methods.

Tab 10

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

CVR ENERGY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 14-cv-06566-RJS

NOTICE OF DEPOSITIONS

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 30 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff, CVR Energy, Inc., by its undersigned attorneys, will take the deposition upon oral examination of the following individuals, at the date and time set forth below (or at such other date and time agreed upon) at the New York office(s) of Defendants and/or their counsel (as selected by Defendants):

Deponent

Date and Time of Deposition

Andrew R. Brownstein, Esq.

December 19, 2017 at 9:30 a.m.

Benjamin M. Roth, Esq.

December 20, 2017 at 9:30 a.m.

William D. Savitt, Esq.

December 21, 2017 at 9:30 a.m.

The deposition will be recorded stenographically before a notary public or other officer authorized to administer oaths, and shall continue day to day until completed. You are invited to attend and participate in each of these depositions.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, each deponent is requested to bring and produce at his deposition, unless earlier

produced, all documents, books, papers, diaries, calendars and other things in his possession, custody or control concerning the claims and issues in this action, as well as all documents which may be used by him to refresh his recollection in preparation for his deposition.

Dated: November 30, 2017

LAW OFFICES OF HERBERT BEIGEL

By: /s/ Herbert Beigel
Herbert Beigel
38327 South Arroyo Way
Tucson, AZ 85739
Tel: (520) 825-1995
Fax: (520) 844-6215

767 Fifth Avenue, #4700
New York, NY 10153

-and-

Robert R. Viducich
Law Office of Robert R. Viducich
40 Wall Street, 28th Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 400-7135

Attorneys for Plaintiff

cc: Vincent Levy, Esq.
Michael Shuster, Esq.
Benjamin Heidlage, Esq.
John Gleeson Esq.
Michael Brenner, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendants